

ISSN 1520-295X

A Framework for Defining and Measuring Resilience at the Community Scale: The PEOPLES Resilience Framework

by Chris S. Renschler, Amy E. Frazier, Lucy A. Arendt, Gian-Paolo Cimellaro, Andrei M. Reinhorn and Michel Bruneau



Technical Report MCEER-10-0006 October 8, 2010

This research was conducted at the University at Buffalo, State University of New York and was supported by the National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST), Building and Fire Research Laboratory under Award No. 60NANB9D9155_0.

NOTICE

This report was prepared by the University at Buffalo, State University of New York as a result of research sponsored by MCEER through an award from the National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST), Building and Fire Research Laboratory under Award No. 60NANB9D9155_0. Neither MCEER, associates of MCEER, its sponsors, the University at Buffalo, State University of New York, nor any person acting on their behalf:

- a. makes any warranty, express or implied, with respect to the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report or that such use may not infringe upon privately owned rights; or
- b. assumes any liabilities of whatsoever kind with respect to the use of, or the damage resulting from the use of, any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of MCEER, the National Science Foundation, or other sponsors.



A Framework for Defining and Measuring Resilience at the Community Scale: The PEOPLES Resilience Framework

by

Chris S. Renschler¹, Amy E. Frazier², Lucy A. Arendt³, Gian-Paolo Cimellaro⁴, Andrei M. Reinhorn⁵ and Michel Bruneau⁶

Publication Date: October 8, 2010

Technical Report MCEER-10-0006

Task Number 1-3

National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST) Building and Fire Research Laboratory Award No. 60NANB9D9155_0

- 1 Associate Professor, Department of Geography, University at Buffalo, State University of New York
- 2 Graduate Student, Department of Geography, University at Buffalo, State University of New York
- 3 Associate Professor, Austin E. Cofrin School of Business, University of Wisconsin-Green Bay
- 4 Assistant Professor, Department of Structural and Geotechnical Engineering (DIS-TR), Polytechnic of Torino, Italy
- 5 Clifford C. Furnas Eminent Professor, Department of Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering, University at Buffalo, State University of New York
- 6 Professor, Department of Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering, University at Buffalo, State University of New York

MCEER

University at Buffalo, State University of New York Red Jacket Quadrangle, Buffalo, NY 14261 Phone: (716) 645-3391; Fax (716) 645-3399 E-mail: *mceer@buffalo.edu*; WWW Site: *http://mceer.buffalo.edu*

Preface

The Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (MCEER) is a national center of excellence in advanced technology applications that is dedicated to the reduction of earthquake losses nationwide. Headquartered at the University at Buffalo, State University of New York, the Center was originally established by the National Science Foundation in 1986, as the National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (NCEER).

Comprising a consortium of researchers from numerous disciplines and institutions throughout the United States, the Center's mission is to reduce earthquake losses through research and the application of advanced technologies that improve engineering, preearthquake planning and post-earthquake recovery strategies. Toward this end, the Center coordinates a nationwide program of multidisciplinary team research, education and outreach activities.

MCEER's research is conducted under the sponsorship of two major federal agencies: the National Science Foundation (NSF) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and the State of New York. Significant support is derived from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), other state governments, academic institutions, foreign governments and private industry.

"A Framework for Defining and Measuring Disaster Resilience at the Community Scale," funded by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), builds on previous MCEER research linking the four resilience properties (robustness, redundancy, resourcefulness, and rapidity) and resilience dimensions (technical, organizational, societal and economic). The project is developing quantitative and qualitative models to measure the disaster resilience of communities in terms of capital assets such as hospitals and asset classes such as health care facilities. Over the longer term, these models will enable the development of decision-support software tools to help planners, key decision makers and stakeholders enhance the disaster resilience of their communities.

The objective of this research was to establish a holistic framework for defining and measuring disaster resilience for a community at various scales. Seven dimensions characterizing community functionality have been identified and are represented by the acronym PEOPLES: Population and Demographics, Environmental/Ecosystem, Organized Governmental Services, Physical Infrastructure, Lifestyle and Community Competence, Economic Development, and Social-Cultural Capital. The proposed PEOPLES Resilience Framework provides the basis for development of quantitative and qualitative models that continuously measure the functionality and resilience of communities against extreme events or disasters in any or a combination of the above-mentioned dimensions. Over the longer term, this framework will enable the development of geospatial and temporal decision-support software tools that help planners and other key decision makers and stakeholders to assess and enhance the resilience of their communities.

ABSTRACT

The objective of this research was to establish a holistic framework for defining and measuring disaster resilience for a community at various scales. Seven dimensions characterizing community functionality have been identified and are represented by the acronym PEOPLES: Population and Demographics, Environmental/Ecosystem, Organized Governmental Services, Physical Infrastructure, Lifestyle and Community Competence, Economic Development, and Social-Cultural Capital. The proposed PEOPLES Resilience Framework provides the basis for development of quantitative and qualitative models that measure continuously the functionality and resilience of communities against extreme events or disasters in any or a combination of the above-mentioned dimensions. Over the longer term, this framework will enable the development of geospatial and temporal decision-support software tools that help planners and other key decision makers and stakeholders to assess and enhance the resilience of their communities.

Keywords: Community functionality; disaster resilience; population and demographics; environment/ecosystem; organized governmental services; physical infrastructure; lifestyle, community competence; social and cultural services.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Financial support for the work described in this report was provided by the National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST), Building and Fire Research Laboratory, Award No. 60NANB9D9155_0. The support of the Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (MCEER) is gratefully acknowledged.

The research leading to these results has also received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme - Marie Curie International Reintegration Actions - FP7/2007-2013 under the Grant Agreement n° PIRG06-GA-2009-256316 of the project ICRED - Integrated European Disaster Community Resilience.

The authors acknowledge also the advice received from representatives active in the specific professional areas (alphabetically): Daniel J. Alesch, Stephanie Chang, Gary Dargush, and Rachel Davidson who contributed to the discussion on the conceptual portion of this study and made valuable suggestions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	2
1.2	Scope	6
1.3	Key Literature References	8
2	THE SEVEN DIMENSIONS OF COMMUNITY RESILIENCE	11
2.1	Introduction to PEOPLES	11
2.2	Population and Demographics	17
2.3	Environmental/Ecosystem	19
2.4	Organized Governmental Services	20
2.5	Physical Infrastructure	22
2.6	Lifestyle and Community Competence	25
2.7	Economic Development	27
2.8	Social-Cultural Capital	
3	VISUALIZATION OF PEOPLES FRAMEWORK	
3.1	PersonalBrain TM Conceptual Dynamic Diagrams	
4	KEY INTERDEPENDENCIES	37
4.1	Interdependencies	
4.2	Example of Interdependencies of Lifeline Systems	
5	INTEGRATION	45
5.1	Integrating Functionalities of the Seven Dimensions	45
5.2	Spatial distribution of components	46
5.3	Community Boundaries: Region of Interest	47
5.4	Resilience maps	48
5.5	Global Community Resilience Index	
6	SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER	
	RESEARCH	51
6.1	Summary	51
6.2	Recommendations for Future Research	
7	REFERENCES	53

APPENDIX A	GLOSSARY	••••••••••	•••••	••••••	5	9

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1-1	The Extreme Events Management Cycle	1
1-2	System and community performance measures (Bruneau et al., 2003)	3
1-3	System (community) resilience (adapted from Bruneau et al. 2003)	5
1-4	Functionality Curve and Resilience	8
2-1	PEOPLES Resilience Framework - Dimensions	11
2-2	PEOPLES Resilience Framework - Scales	12
3-1	Resilience Framework Dimensions as Personal Brain Visualization	
3-2	Resilience Framework Components	34
3-3	Physical Infrastructure Resilience Dimension, Components and Elements	34
3-4	Interdependency of Resilience Components – Example "Food Supply"	35
4-1	First Order-Dependency	
4-2	Closed-Loop interdependency	39
4-3	Cascading lifeline Failures	40
4-4	Interdependencies of physical infrastructural systems	41
4-5	Interdependencies of water distribution system	42
4-6	Interdependencies in coastal community resilience	42
5-1	Schematic representation of time dependent community functionality map	ps45
5-2	Schematic Representation of Physical Infrastructure Functionality Maps.	46
5-3	Example of region of interest divided in grid cells	47
5-4	Interdependencies among different functionalities	49

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE TITLE

PAGE

2-1	PEOPLES Resilience Framework – Scales I to V (Western New York)	13
2-2	Complete list of components and subcomponents of PEOPLES framework	14
2-3	Elements of Population and Demographics Dimension	17
2-4	Elements of Environmental/Ecosystem Dimension	19
2-5	Elements of Organized Governmental Services Dimension	21
2-6	Elements of Physical Infrastructure Dimension	24
2-7	Elements of Lifestyle and Community Competence Dimension	26
2-8	Elements of Economic Development Dimension	28
2-9	Elements of Social/Cultural Capital Dimension	30
	-	

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

The objective of this research is to establish a framework for defining and measuring disaster resilience at the community scale. Resilience, according to most dictionaries, is defined as the ability of systems to rebound after severe disturbances, disasters, or other forms of extreme events. The definition usually applies to physical, spiritual, ecological, engineering, social, and political systems. Community disaster resilience is defined as the ability of social units (e.g., organizations, communities) to mitigate hazards, contain the effects of disasters when they occur, and carry out recovery activities in ways that minimize social disruption, and mitigate the effects of future extreme events.

The objectives of enhancing disaster resilience are to minimize loss of life, injuries, and other economic losses, in short, to minimize any reduction in quality of life due to one or multiple hazards. Disaster resilience can be achieved by enhancing the ability of a community's infrastructure (e.g., lifelines, structures) to perform during and after a severe disturbance. It can also be achieved through emergency response and strategies that effectively cope with and contain losses and through recovery strategies that enable communities to return to levels of predisaster functioning (or other acceptable levels) as rapidly as possible (Bruneau et al., 2003).



1.1 Background

The concept of resilience evolved first from the disciplines of psychology and psychiatry in the 1940s, and it is mainly accredited to Garmezy (1973), and Werner and Smith (1985). After that, the word resilience has been used broadly in the field of ecology, social science, economy and engineering.

In earlier work by Bruneau et al. (2003), resilience was defined including technical, organizational, economic, and social aspects and with four main properties of robustness, redundancy, resourcefulness, and rapidity. The quantification and evaluation of disaster resilience was based on non-dimensional analytical functions related to the variations of functionality during a "period of interest," including the losses in the disaster and the recovery path (Cimellaro et al., 2008). This evolution over time, including recovery, differentiates the resilience approach from other approaches addressing only loss estimation and its momentary effects.

Because of their potential for producing high losses and extensive community disruption, earthquakes and other hazards (e.g., hurricanes, flooding, tsunami, man-made disasters) have been given high priority in efforts to enhance community disaster resistance. The implementation of voluntary practices or mandatory policies aimed at reducing the consequences of an earthquake or other hazards, along with training and preparedness measures to optimize the efficiency of emergency response immediately after a severe event, all contribute to abating the risk and the potential for future losses. While these activities are important, justified, and clearly related to resilience enhancement, there is no explicit set of procedures in the existing literature for quantifying resilience in the context of multiple hazards. Likewise, there is no explicit means for comparing communities in terms of their resilience, or for determining whether individual communities are moving in the direction of becoming more resilient in the face of various hazards. Considerable research has assessed direct and indirect losses attributable to earthquakes. and estimated the reduction of these losses vis-à-vis specific actions, policies, or scenarios. However, the notion of resilience suggests a much broader framework than the reduction of monetary losses alone. Equally important, in addition to focusing on the losses produced by a wide array of hazards, research must also address the ways in which specific pre- and post-event measures and strategies can prevent and contain losses (Bruneau et al., 2003).

The framework developed in this report builds on and expands previous research linking resilience properties (i.e., robustness, redundancy, resourcefulness, and rapidity) and resilience dimensions (i.e., technical, organizational, societal, and economic) so as to measure the disaster resilience of capital assets (e.g., hospitals) and asset classes (e.g., health care facilities). Moreover, the framework addresses multiple hazards derived from multiple domains (e.g., natural: earthquake, hurricane; technical: grid/lifeline failure; cultural-social: migration/terrorists,) and their specific spatial (i.e., local, regional, national, global) and temporal scales (i.e., short- vs. long-term onset). While most previous research and frameworks were developed as capital assets centric (cf. Cimellaro et al., 2009 in re: health care facilities and networks), a framework that integrates multiple assets' resilience and the socio-economic infrastructure that forms the community is not yet available. In this report, asset-based resilience of capital assets are integrated with the socio-economic-organizational aspects to develop a resilience assessment of basic community units at the local scale (i.e., town, village, and city) and expand it to a regional scale (i.e., county, state, national). The basic concept developed at MCEER (see Figure 1-2, Bruneau et al., 2003) will serve as the basis for further formulation scaling from assets up to local and regional communities.



Figure 1-2 System and community performance measures (Bruneau et al., 2003)

As suggested by Alesch, Arendt, and Holly (2009), the consequences of an earthquake or other disaster typically go beyond the direct and obvious visible damage that captures the attention of the media. These systemic consequences are rooted in the socio-economic-organizational

interdependencies that make up the core of a community's culture, the invisible norms and values that drive decisions around land use, architecture, business mix, and the like. One might imagine a community as one imagines an iceberg, with much of the substance lying beneath the water. In the case of a given community, what lies beneath is a uniquely arranged network of socio-economic-organizational interdependencies. In order to create a comprehensive framework for assessing community resilience, we must articulate - and to the extent that doing so is possible, quantify - the most critical and common socio-economic-organizational interdependencies. It may be the case, for example, that communities must have a certain number of available hospital beds within a given number of miles in order to support the healthcare needs of a working population that is at least 80 percent of the community's pre-disaster workforce. Or, it may be the case that certain types of businesses and organizations must be open and functional in order for the community to begin to return to its pre-disaster level of functioning. Related research examining the impact of hurricanes on communities suggests, for example, that gas stations, food markets, and healthcare clinics are essential to community functioning (Alesch et al., 2009). On further examination, it is clear that all of these organizations depend on potable water, reliable power, and navigable surface transportation routes.

The suggested integration is based on the concept (see Figure 1-3) that achieving community resilience depends on several factors. First, we must understand the basic disaster evolution due to multiple hazards, as shown in the flow for a "conventional system" going from an extreme event to response consequences. Second, we must be able to predict and measure the consequences of an extreme event (as shown in the "systems assessment"). Third, we must understand the preparedness and recovery process for asset components and networks (as shown in the "system actions" represented by the flow to the "system modifications" feeding back to the community). Fourth, we must be able to quantify, evaluate, and predict system resilience (as shown in the "assessment and decision system"). Fifth, we must use a rational decision system for actions. Finally, we must intervene, correct, and improve the community using specific preand post-event measures and strategies (as shown by the middle box in Figure 1-3).

The conceptual resilience framework developed here is designed to integrate various spatial and non-spatial data sources over time (e.g., survey data, remote sensing). The research team considered linkages to parallel research efforts in assessing the relationship of community resilience to the resilience of ecological systems (e.g., the impact of riparian and coastal wetlands on flooding and hurricane surges, respectively). GIS and remote sensing data play a major role in assessing the resilience of all integrated systems and feed a predictive resilience model.



Figure 1-3 System (community) resilience (adapted from Bruneau et al. 2003)

To be able to expand the assessment of resilience to a community and landscape perspective, the integrated conceptual resilience assessment approach is based on basic community organizational units at a local (i.e., neighborhoods, villages, towns or cities) and regional scale (i.e., counties/parishes, regions, or states). This required the combination of qualitative and quantitative data sources at various temporal and spatial scales, and as a consequence, information needs to be aggregated or disaggregated to match the scales of a resilience model and the scales of interest for the model output. Renschler developed the concept and implementation of a collaborative research and teaching environment that assesses the scaling effect of transformation of information (Renschler, 2003, 2006). This interdisciplinary, holistic,

information systems approach investigates the various representations of system properties and processes at various scales using commonly available data sources and remote sensing (measuring), GIS algorithms and indices (monitoring), and process-based environmental models (modeling) to support management decisions (Renschler et al., 2006).

In summary, the integrated conceptual framework described here provides the basis for development of quantitative and qualitative models that measure the disaster resilience of communities. Over the longer term, these models will enable the development of decision-support software tools that help planners and other key decision makers and stakeholders enhance the disaster resilience of their communities.

1.2 Scope

The concept of resilience was originally established in the field of ecology by Holling (1973). According to the author, "Resilience is a measure of the persistence of systems and of their ability to absorb change and disturbance and still maintain the same relationship between populations or state variables. Stability represents the ability of a system to return to an equilibrium state after a temporary disturbance; the more rapidly it returns to equilibrium and the less it fluctuates, the more stable it would be" (Holling, 1973, p15). Holling (1973) distinguishes between two types of resilience: engineering resilience and ecological resilience. Engineering resilience "conceives ecological systems to exist close to a stable steady state and the time required to return to the steady state following a perturbation" (Gunderson et al., 2002, p4). In ecological or environmental resilience, a disturbance may cause the system to transform into a new state (Gunderson et al., 2002). However, according to Carpenter et al. (2001), resiliency is the magnitude of disturbance a system can absorb *before* it changes its space and is controlled by a different set of processes. Therefore, resilience must be measured based on the pre-existing state prior to disturbance.

In recent years, the concept of resilience has gained attention recognizing the fact that not all threats or disasters can be averted. Indeed, societies are turning their attention to efforts and ways that can enhance the community resilience of entire communities against various types of extreme events. Resilience is clearly becoming increasingly important for modern societies as communities come to accept that they cannot prevent every risk from being realized but rather

must learn to adapt and manage risks in a way that minimizes impact on human and other systems. While studies on the disaster resilience of technical systems have been undertaken for quite some time (Chang and Shinozuka, 2004), the societal aspects and the inclusion of various and multiple types of extreme events are new developments. In this regard, communities around the world are increasingly debating ways to enhance their resilience.

At this time, there is no explicit set of procedures in the existing literature that suggests how to quantify resilience in the context of multiple hazards, how to compare communities with one another in terms of their resilience, or how to determine whether individual communities are moving in the direction of becoming more resilient in the face of various hazards. Considerable research has been accomplished to assess direct and indirect losses attributable to earthquakes, and to estimate the reduction of these losses as a result of specific actions, policies, or scenarios. However, the notion of resilience suggests a much broader framework than the reduction of monetary losses alone. Equally important, in addition to focusing on the losses produced by a wide range of hazards, research must also address the ways in which specific pre- and post-event measures and strategies can prevent and contain losses (Alesch, et al., 2009; Bruneau et al., 2003).

Resilience (R) may be defined as a function indicating the capability to sustain a level of functionality, or performance, for a given building, bridge, lifeline network, or community, over a period defined as the control time (T_{LC}). The control time is usually decided by building owners or society at large, for example, and corresponds to the expected life cycle or life span of the building or other system. Resilience, R, is defined graphically as the normalized shaded area underneath the function describing the **functionality** of a system, defined as Q(t). Q(t) is a non-stationary stochastic process, and each ensemble is a piecewise continuous function as shown in Figure 1-4, where Q(t) is the functionality of the region considered. The community functionality is an aggregation of all functionalities related to different facilities, lifelines, etc.



Figure 1-4 Functionality Curve and Resilience

The change in functionality due to extreme events is characterized by a drop, representing a loss of functionality, and a recovery. It should be noted that for communities, the loss of functionality can be gradual (as shown in Figure 1-4) or can be sudden, as well known for earthquakes for example (Bruneau and Reinhorn, 2007).

1.3 Key Literature References

The original definition of resilience included in the description of functionality of multiple complex components, or dimensions, includes technical, organizational, social, and economic facets (Bruneau et al., 2003). The two components, technical and economic, are related to the functionality of physical systems, such as lifeline systems and essential facilities. The other two components, organizational and social, are more related to the community affected by the physical systems. However, Bruneau et al. (2003) defined a fundamental framework for evaluating community resilience without a detailed quantification and definition. Various studies have been carried out subsequently, with the goal of practically evaluating the concept of resilience and identifying the main units of measurement.

The resilience quantification requires good definition of functionality and its variation in time. In case of extreme events when the drop of functionality, or loss, is sudden, it is important to determine clearly the change of functionality during the recovery. Miles and Chang (2003) present a comprehensive conceptual model of recovery, which establishes the relationships among a community's households, businesses, lifeline networks, and neighborhoods. The primary aim is to discuss issues of community recovery and to attempt to operationalize it.

Davidson and Cagnan (2004) developed a model of the post earthquake restoration processes for an electric power system. A discrete event simulation model based on available data was built, with the goal of improving the quantitative estimates of restoration times that are required to evaluate economic losses, and identify ways to improve the restoration processes in future earthquakes. Chang and Shinozuka (2004) discuss a quantitative measure of resilience based on the case study of the Memphis water system, and they explored the extent to which earthquake loss estimation models can be used to measure functionality and resilience. Finally, Cimellaro et al. (2005) attempted to formulate the first framework to quantify disaster resilience for physical infrastructures, however only the uncertainties of the ground intensity measures were considered. More recently, a community resilience framework was proposed (Cimellaro et al., 2009), including a full range of uncertainties in the functionality parameters.

The Glossary of Resilience Terms (provided in the Appendix A) contains a comprehensive set of definitions of key terminology and concepts and commonly used acronyms. A detailed reference is provided for each definition to enable the user to refer to the complete source document to obtain further information/context where needed. Notable, some terms have different definitions although share same terms; addressing different aspects, or dimensions defined in this report. Thus the final communication of these terms must be clarified when used. For example, in many cases the technological (engineering) concepts of resilience refer to different aspects than those used to describe the social aspects of resilience. This report attempts to reconcile some of the differences by providing multiple dimensions and their interrelations, along with some methods of quantification.

Previous studies do not cover the wide range of components and dimensions affecting the functionality of an entire community. The objective of the next section is to suggest the dimensions that must be considered when dealing with community resilience.

CHAPTER 2 THE SEVEN DIMENSIONS OF COMMUNITY RESILIENCE

2.1 Introduction to PEOPLES

Disaster resilience is often divided between technological units and social systems. On a small scale, when considering critical infrastructures, the focus is mainly on technological aspects. On a greater scale, when considering an entire community, the focus is broadened to include the interplay of multiple systems – human, environmental, and others – which together add up to ensure the healthy functioning of a society. At the community level, the human component is central, because in the case of a major disruptive event, resilience depends first on the actions of people operating at the individual and neighborhood scale (see Figure 2-1). Community resilience also depends heavily on the actions of different levels of government and its agencies at the local and regional scales when a disruptive extreme event occurs (see geographic scales II and III in Figure 2-2.

In order to emphasize the primary role of the human system in community resilience and sustainability, the authors of this report suggest (Renschler et al, 2010) using the acronym "PEOPLES" (see Figure 2-1). This nomenclature highlights both the physical and environmental assets as well as the socio-economic-political/organizational aspects of a particular community.



Figure 2-1 PEOPLES Resilience Framework - Dimensions

The PEOPLES Resilience Framework is built on, and expands, previous research at MCEER linking several previously identified resilience dimensions (i.e., technical, organizational, societal, and economic) and resilience properties (i.e., \mathbf{R}^4 : robustness, redundancy, resourcefulness, and rapidity) (Bruneau et al., 2003). PEOPLES incorporates MCEER's widely accepted definitions of service functionality, its components (assets, services, demographics) and the parameters influencing their integrity and resilience. While the components have different weights and values, the order of these dimensions in the acronym is not indicative to their importance.



Figure 2-2 PEOPLES Resilience Framework - Scales

The PEOPLES Resilience Framework defines components of functionality using a geospatialtemporal distribution within its geographical influence boundaries. Interdependencies between and among these components are key to determining the resilience of communities. PEOPLES enables the use of various *community resilience indices* that integrate, over space and time, the system functionality and services of a community in a landscape setting. In this particular dimension, historical and continuously gathered information through remote sensing and Geographic Information Systems (GIS) play a major role in assessing the resilience of all integrated systems and feed a predictive resilience model. Resilience can be considered as a dynamic quantity that changes over time and across space. As shown in Figure 2-2 and Table 2-1,

PEOPLES Community Scale	Healthcare and Veterinary Facilities
Scale I – Neighborhood Neighborhoods directly surrounding Mercy Hospital (A) in West Seneca (note that this facility is the largest and most important facility at this scale)	
Scale ii – Local (Town) Towns directly surrounding Mercy Hospital (D) near the map center ("ii" is subscale of "II" indicating that there are facilities in similar size close by)	
Scale II – Local (City) City close to Mercy Hospital (F) (the facility is one of several in a densely populated location, e.g. Buffalo)	
Scale iii – Regional (County): Larger administrative boundary surrounding Mercy Hospital (F) (the facility is one of several in Erie County)	
Scale III – Regional (Multi-County) Mercy Hospital (mapped as a dot only) is among a lot of facilities in Western New York (Rochester, Pennsylvania and Southern Ontario are in this map it includes also Scales IV and V)	

Table 2-1 PEOPLES Resilience Framework – Scales I to V (Western New York)

1) POPULATION AND DEMOGRAPHICS	4) PHYSICAL INFRASTRUCTURE	5) LIFESTYLE AND COMMUNITY
a) Distribution/Density	a) Facilities	COMPETENCE
i) Urban	i) Residential	a) Collective Action and Decision Making
ii) Suburban	(1) Housing Units	i) Conflict Resolution
iii) Rural	(2) Shelters	ii) Self-Organization
iv) Wildland	ii) Commercial	b.) Collective Efficacy and Empowerment
b) Composition	(1) Distribution Facilities	c.) Quality of Life
i) Age	(2) Hotels - Accommodations	
ii) Gender	(3) Manufacturing Facilities	6) ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
iii) Immigrant Status	(4) Office Buildings	a) Financial Services
iv) Race/Ethnicity	iii) Cultural	i) Asset Base of Financial Institutions
c) Socio-Economic Status	(1) Entertainment Venues	ii) Checking Account Balances (Personal
i) Educational Attainment	(2) Museums	and Commercial)
ii) Income	(3) Religious Institutions	iii) Consumer Price Index
iii) Poverty	(4) Schools	iv) Insurance
iv) Home Ownership	(5) Sports/Recreation Venues	v) Number and Average Amount of
v) Housing Vacancies	b) Lifelines	Loans
vi) Occupation	i) Communications	vi) Number of Bank and Credit Union
	(1) Internet	Members
2) ENVIRONMENTAL/ECOSYSTEM	(2) Phones	vii) Number of Banks and Credit Unions
a) Water Quality/Quantity	(3) TV	viii) Savings Account Balances
b) Air Quality	(4) Radio	(Personal and Commercial)
c) Soil Quality	(5) Postal	ix) Stock Market
d) Biodiversity	ii) Health Care	b) Industry – Employment - Services
e) Biomass (Vegetation)	(1) Acute Care	i) Agriculture
f) Other Natural Resources	(2) Long-Term Acute Care	ii) Construction
	(3) Primary Care	iii) Education and Health Services
	(4) Psychiatric	iv) Finance, Insurance and Real Estate
	(5) Specialty	v) Fortune 1000

Table 2-2 Complete list of components and subcomponents of PEOPLES framework

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (cont'd)	vi) Fortune 500	vii) Information, Professional Business,	Other	viii) Leisure and Hospitality	ix) Manufacturing	x) Number of Corporate Headquarters	xi) Other Business Services	xii) Professional and Business Services	(1) Employment Services	(a) Flexibilities	(b) Opportunities	(c) Placement	(2) Transport and Utilities	(3) Wholesale and Retail	c) Industry – Production	i) Food Supply	ii) Manufacturing	7) SOCIAL/CULTURAL CAPITAL	a) Child and Elderly Services	b) Commercial Centers	c) Community Participation	d) Cultural and Heritage Services	e) Education Services	f) Non-Profit Organizations	g) Place Attachment
PHYSICAL INFRASTRUCTURE (cont'd)	iii) Food Supply	iv) Utilities	(1) Electrical	(2) Fuel/Gas/Energy	(3) Waste	(4) Water	v) Transportation	(1) Aviation	(2) Bridges	(3) Highways	(4) Railways	(5) Transit	(6) Vehicles	(7) Waterways											
3) ORGANIZED GOVERNMENTAL	SERVICES	a) Executive/Administrative	i) Emergency Response and Rescue	ii) Health and Hygiene	b) Judicial	c) Legal/Security																			

the landscape perspective in the PEOPLES Resilience Framework is based on basic community organizational units at a local (i.e., neighborhoods, villages, towns or cities) and regional scale (i.e., counties/parishes, regions, or states).

The following describes briefly each of the seven component-dimensions associated with the PEOPLES Resilience Framework and some potential indicators. The dimensions are neither orthogonal nor synonymous. While they are discussed as distinct dimensions and while we anticipate developing measures that are often independent, the nature of community resilience is such that interdependence between and among the dimensions is expected. The potential indicators are intended to be illustrative rather than exhaustive. Importantly, the indicators that are identified are those that may be used to describe a community and its resilience at any time, and not simply post-extreme event. Ultimately, the value of the PEOPLES Resilience Framework is that it (a) identifies the distinct dimensions and related key indicators but also (b) aggregates the dimensions in ways that reflect community realities.

The PEOPLES Resilience Framework requires the combination of qualitative and quantitative data sources at various temporal and spatial scales, and as a consequence, information needs to be aggregated or disaggregated to match the scales of the resilience model and the scales of interest for the model output.

Table 2-2 shows the complete list of components and sub-components. In the following sections a detailed description of each component is complemented by attempts of quantification.

2.2 **Population and Demographics**

Population and demographic data that describe and differentiate a focal community provide a context for understanding the remaining PEOPLES dimensions (see Table 2-3). Knowing, for example, the median income and age distribution for a community is critical to understanding its economic health and potential resilience. Communities tend to differ on key demographics; to the extent that two or more communities may be similar, Community A and Community B, we can predict Community B's hypothetical response to a disaster based on Community A's actual response to a disaster.

Table 2-3 Elements of Population and Demographics Dimension

1) P	OPULATION AND DEMOGRAPHICS
a)	Distribution/Density
	i) Urban
	ii) Suburban
	iii) Rural
	iv) Wildland
b) Composition
	i) Age
	ii) Gender
	iii) Immigrant Status
	iv) Race/Ethnicity
(c)	Socio-Economic Status
	i) Educational Attainment
	ii) Income
	iii) Poverty
	iv) Home Ownership
	v) Housing Vacancies
	vi) Occupation

One measure of functionality of population and demographics (Qp) within a given community can be quantified by using the social vulnerability index (SoVI) proposed by Cutter (1996). Social vulnerability (a counterpart of social resilience) is defined as the inability of people, organizations, and societies to withstand adverse impacts from multiple stressors to which they are exposed. These impacts are due in part to characteristics inherent in social interactions, institutions, and systems of cultural values. Social vulnerability is a pre-existing condition of the community that affects the society's ability to prepare for and recover from a disruptive event. Resilience focuses on the quality of life of the people at risk and develops opportunities to enhance a better outcome, while vulnerability places stress on the production of nature (Smith and O'Keefe, 1996) to resist the natural hazard. Manyena (2006) evaluates all the possible definitions provided from the 90's up until the present, and compares the concept of resilience as the opposite of vulnerability.

This dimension of vulnerability can be measured using a social index that describes the socioeconomic status, the composition of the population (elderly and children), development density, rural agriculture, race, gender, ethnicity, infrastructure employment, and county debt/revenue. The social index described is based on Cutter's Hazards-of-Place Model of Vulnerability framework that integrates exposure to hazards with the social conditions that make people vulnerable to them (Cutter, 1996; Cutter et al., 2000). High SoVI indicates high vulnerability, and conversely, low SoVI indicates low vulnerability. Analytically, functionality of population can be given as follow:

$$Q_{P}(\mathbf{r},t) = 1/(f1+f2+f3+f4+f5+f6+f7+f8+f9+f10+f11)$$
(1)

where f1, f2, ..., fn are the 11 independent factors considered. Among the 11 independent factors are socioeconomic status, elderly and children, development density, rural agriculture, race, gender, ethnicity, infrastructure employment, and county debt/revenue. Additionally, qualitative and quantitative measures about population and demographics from the US Census database are an essential component for this dimension of the PEOPLES Resilience Framework. Key indicators include educational attainment, marital status, annual income, age, gender, race/ethnicity distribution, and other data that describe and differentiate the focal population.

2.3 Environmental/Ecosystem

While resilience is a critical element of resource management and is necessary to sustain desirable ecosystem states in the face of unknown futures and variable environments (Elmqvist et al., 2003), it is not easily assessed (Adger, 2000). Resilience of a system depends on various factors such as time scale, the actual disturbance, the structure of the system, and control measures or polices that are available to be implemented (Ludwig et al., 2002). Ecological or ecosystem resilience is typically measured by the amount of disturbance an ecosystem can absorb without drastically altering its functions, processes, and structures (Gunderson, 2000), or by the ability of an ecosystem to cope with disturbance.

Table 2-4 Elements of Environmental/Ecosystem Dimension

2)	EN	WIRONMENTAL/ ECOSYSTEM
	a)	Water Quality/Quantity
	b)	Air Quality
	c)	Soil Quality
	d)	Biodiversity
	e)	Biomass (Vegetation)
	f)	Other Natural Resources

In the context of the *PEOPLES Resilience Framework*, environmental and ecosystem resources serve as indicators for measuring the ability of the ecological system to return to or near its preevent state (Table 2-4). One such indicator is the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), which is calculated from satellite-derived remote sensing imagery that analyzes the density of green vegetation across a region. NDVI can be used in the framework as a proxy for ecosystem productivity and is calculated using the red (Red) and near infrared (NIR) absorption bands:

$$NDVI = (NIR - Red)/(NIR + Red)$$
(2)

NDVI correlates strongly with above-ground net primary productivity (NPP) (Pettorelli, 2005; Olofsson et al., 2007, Prince, 1991), which measures biomass accumulation and can be an indicator of ecosystem resilience. Simoniello et al., (2008) characterized the resilience of Italian

landscapes using a time series to calculate NDVI trends, and Diaz-Delgado et al. (2002) used NDVI values derived from Landsat imagery to monitor vegetation recovery after fire disturbance.

Building on previous research, the *PEOPLES Resilience Framework* quantifies a portion of ecological resilience through a comparison of stable-state NDVI trends to post-disturbance NDVI trends to determine differences in ecosystem productivity across spatial-temporal scales. NDVI is applicable for quantifying ecosystem structure following disturbances such as fire, flooding, and hurricanes. In other types of disasters such as terrorist attacks or blizzards, vegetation density and ecosystem structure may not be altered. In these instances, ecological resilience quantification through NDVI would be negligible and other indicators would be more relevant. As with the other dimensions, ecological resilience is the integration of all key indicators that include air, water and soil quality, biodiversity, and other natural resources.

2.4 Organized Governmental Services

In contrast to the more or less spontaneous individual and neighborhood responses to extreme events, organized governmental services are designed to allow an orderly response (see Table 2-5).

Table 2-5 Elements of Organized Governmental Services Dimension

3)	OF	RGANIZED GOVERNMENTAL
	SE	RVICES
	a)	Executive/Administrative
		i) Emergency Response and Rescue
		ii) Health and Hygiene
	b)	Judicial
	c)	Legal/Security

Organized governmental services include traditional legal and security services such as police, emergency, and fire departments and increasingly, the military. In this dimension, we also include the services provided by public health and hygiene departments as well as cultural heritage departments. Each of these organized government services plays a key role in sustaining communities both before and after extreme events. A good example of the necessity of a well-functioning government may be seen in the devastating January 12, 2010 earthquake in Haiti. In
the aftermath, the news media reported a lack of government services and orderly control, and a general perception that the government is not in a position to help its people (Schwartz, 2010). In contrast, the Darfield earthquake in New Zealand was followed by quick response on the part of local, territorial, and national government services.

Spontaneous helping behavior, convergence, mass volunteering, and emergent groups are sources of resilience, in that they infuse resources and creativity into disaster response activities (Stallings and Quarantelli, 1985; Drabek and McEntire, 2002). At the level of organizations and networks, organizational responses during crisis are most likely to be effective—and resilient—when they successfully blend discipline and agility (Harrald, 2006). Pre-existing plans, training, exercises, mutual aid agreements, and other concepts of operations help ensure disciplined and appropriate responses, but they do so not because they encourage the playing out of pre-determined scripts but rather because they facilitate collective sense-making and inspire action toward shared goals (Weick, 1995; Weick et al., 2005). Flexibility, adaptability, and improvisation among responding entities make their own distinctive contributions to resilience. Organizational expansion, extension, and emergence are key bases of resilient disaster responses (Sutton and Tierney, 2006).

The concept of collaborative emergency management seeks to engage all critical community sectors in preparing for and responding to disasters, including local elected and appointed officials; subject matter experts; community-based, faith based and other non-governmental organizations, the general public, including both community members that belong to groups such as community emergency response teams and volunteers; the private sector and business networks; and the mass media (Patton, 2007). Collaborative management, as opposed to top-down direction, is another characteristic of resilient systems. Hierarchies tend to stand in the way of upward information flow, the form of communication that is most essential during disasters. Less hierarchical forms of organization work best in all types of turbulent environments, including disasters, in part because they encourage a free flow of ideas, but also because flatter organizations and decentralized networks are more nimble in responding to those environments (Burns and Stalker, 1961; Waugh and Streib, 2006).

Key indicators for this dimension include the number of available response units and their capacity. Population and demographic numbers would be used to normalize the number and

capacity of these services. In addition to assessing the availability of government services in terms of personnel and equipment, this dimension also includes an evaluation of emergency preparedness planning. For example, surveys may reveal the extent to which organized government services have developed memoranda of understanding (MOUs) and other types of mutual aid agreements, and the extent to which various organized government services participate in emergency and evacuation drills and table-top exercises (Tierney, 2009).

2.5 Physical Infrastructure

The physical infrastructure dimension focuses on a community's built environment. It incorporates both facilities and lifelines (see Table 2-6).

Within the category of facilities, we include housing, commercial facilities, and cultural facilities. Within the category of lifelines, we include food supply, health care, utilities, transportation, and communication networks. Lifelines are those essential utility and transportation systems that serve communities across all jurisdictions and locales. Lifelines are thus components of the nation's critical infrastructure, which also includes medical, financial, and other infrastructure systems that create the fabric of modern society. For clarity, lifeline infrastructures are simply called in short *lifelines* in this report. Lifelines include: (a) energy utilities and companies (electric power and natural gas and liquid fuel pipelines); (b) transportation systems (roads and highways, railroads, airports, and seaports); (c) water, storm-water, and sewerage; (d) communication systems; and (e) health care facilities (hospitals, cliniques, emergency facilities, etc), most distributed in well linked networks.

Next to impacts on people, the physical infrastructure is often the most compelling "story" in the immediate aftermath of a disaster, as organized government services work to restore needed utilities and clear roadways of structural and other debris. After people had been evacuated from New Orleans after Hurricane Katrina in 2005, people focused on the physical infrastructure. Everywhere one looked, one saw destroyed houses, commercial buildings, and cultural and other critical facilities such as churches, schools, and hospitals. Photographs of destruction are used to communicate the devastating effects of the hurricane and subsequent flooding to the world outside New Orleans.

Without water and electricity, critical facilities such as hospitals cannot perform effectively their primary functions. Inaccessible roads make surface transportation impossible, creating an obstacle for supply chain management and efficient movement. When streets and buildings are cordoned off because of damage, businesses may be open, but will not be "in business." Even when businesses relocate for the short-term due to damage to facilities, customers may not find the businesses. Damaged schools shake a community's confidence in itself to overcome disasters and recover.

4)	PH	PHYSICAL INFRASTRUCTURE			
	a)	Fac	vilities		
		i)	Res	idential	
			(1)	Housing Units	
			(2)	Shelters	
		ii)	Con	nmercial	
			(1)	Distribution Facilities	
			(2)	Hotels - Accommodations	
			(3)	Manufacturing Facilities	
			(4)	Office Buildings	
		iii)	Cult	tural	
			(1)	Entertainment Venues	
			(2)	Museums	
			(3)	Religious Institutions	
			(4)	Schools	
			(5)	Sports/Recreation Venues	
	b)	Life	elines	3	
		i)	Con	nmunications	
			(1)	Internet	
			(2)	Phones	
			(3)	TV	
			(4)	Radio	
			(5)	Postal	
		ii)	Health Care		
			(1)	Acute Care	
			(2)	Long-Term Acute Care	
			(3)	Primary Care	
			(4)	Psychiatric	
			(5)	Specialty	
		111) · `	F00	d Supply	
		1V)	Util	Ities	
			(1)		
			(2)	Fuel/Gas/Energy	
			(3)	Water	
)	(4) Troi	water	
		V)	(1)	Aviation	
			(1)	Bridges	
			(2)	Highways	
			(3)	Railways	
			(5)	Transit	
			(6)	Vehicles	
			(0)	Waterways	
			()	vi ater ways	

Table 2-6 Elements of Physical Infrastructure Dimension

In terms of housing, key indicators may include proportion of housing stock not rated as substandard or hazardous and vacancy rates for rental housing (Tierney, 2009). In terms of communication networks, key indicators may include adequacy (or sufficiency) of procedures for communicating with the public and addressing the public's need for accurate information following disasters, adequacy of linkages between official and unofficial information sources, and adequacy of ties between emergency management entities and mass media serving diverse populations (Tierney, 2009).

In the aftermath of a disaster, the restoration and recovery of physical infrastructure remain byand-large technical issues, however those are tightly related and often driven by organizations, economics and socio-political events. The resilience must consider these interactive dimensions in order to be relevant to the system.

2.6 Lifestyle and Community Competence

As suggested by Harrald (cited in Micale, 2010, para. 5), "Resilience ... requires the building of collaborative relationships that will enable communities and businesses to better absorb, adapt, survive, and thrive when confronted with extreme events." Norris et al. (2008) describe community resilience as "a metaphor, theory, set of capabilities and strategy for disaster readiness" (p. 127). One of the capabilities they discuss is community competence. Community competence is essential to community resilience in the same way that individual competence is essential to personal hardiness. Community competence deals with community action, critical reflection and problem solving skills, flexibility and creativity, collective efficacy, empowerment, and political partnerships (Norris et al., 2008).

Table 2-7 Elements of Lifestyle and Community Competence Dimension

- 5) LIFESTYLE AND COMMUNITY COMPETENCE
 - a) Collective Action and Decision Making
 - i) Conflict Resolution
 - ii) Self-Organization
 - b.) Collective Efficacy and Empowerment
 - c.) Quality of Life

This dimension reflects the reality that community resilience is not simply a passive "bouncing back" to pre-disaster conditions (Brown and Kulig, 1996/97) but rather a concerted and active effort that relies on peoples' ability to creatively imagine a new future and then take the requisite steps to achieve that desired future. It captures both the raw *abilities* of the community (e.g., ability to develop multifaceted solutions to complex problems, ability to engage in meaningful political networks) and the community's *perceptions* of its ability to effect positive change. Communities that collectively believe that they can rebuild, restructure, and revive themselves are more likely to be persistent in the face of environmental, governmental, and other obstacles.

Quality of life surveys often reveal whether members of a given community are committed to that community and willing to engage in the activities necessary to sustain the community, regardless of whether a disaster strikes. Less soft general indicators of community competence may include measures of migration, measures of citizen involvement in politics, and others. Disaster-specific indicators may include the comprehensiveness of community warning plans and procedures, and the extensiveness of citizen and organizational disaster training programs (Tierney, 2009).

2.7 Economic Development

According to Radloff (2006), "A community needs to have access to resources to grow and react to changes. The difference between resilient and non-resilient resources is that the former focus on addressing local needs and are often locally based sources of employment, skills, and finances" (p. 16). There are six points to this dimension of resilience:

- 1. Employment in the community is diversified beyond a single employer or employment sector;
- 2. Major employers in the community are locally owned;
- 3. The community has a strategy for increasing independent local ownership;
- 4. There is openness to alternative ways of earning a living and economic activity.
- 5. The community looks outside itself to seek and secure resources (skills, expertise, finance) to address areas of identified weakness;
- 6. The community is aware of its competitive position in the broader economy (The Centre for Community Enterprise, 2000: 15-16).

Economic development includes both the static assessment of a community's current economy (economic activity) and the dynamic assessment of a community's ability to continuously sustain economic growth (economic development) (see Table 2-8).

As described in the RICSA Poverty Project (2010), economic *activity* takes into account the supply of labor for the production of economic goods and services, which includes:

"All production and processing of primary products whether for market, for barter or for own consumption, the production of all other goods for the market and, in the case of households which produce such goods and services for the market, the corresponding production for own consumption."

Economic *development* addresses the future and growth. It addresses a community's efforts to increase its:

"productive capacities ..., in terms of technologies (more efficient tools and machines), technical cultures (knowledge of nature, research and capacity to develop improved technologies), and the physical, technical and organizational capacities and skills of those engaged in production."

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT				
a)	Financial Services			
	i) Asset Base of Financial Institutions			
	ii) Checking Account Balances (Personal			
	and Commercial)			
	iii) Consumer Price Index			
	iv) Insurance			
	v) Number and Average Amount of			
	Loans			
	vi) Number of Bank and Credit Union			
	Members			
	vii) Number of Banks and Credit Unions			
	viii) Savings Account Balances			
	(Personal and Commercial)			
	ix) Stock Market			
b)	Industry – Employment - Services			
	i) Agriculture			
	ii) Construction			
	iii) Education and Health Services			
	iv) Finance, Insurance and Real Estate			
	v) Fortune 1000			
	vi) Fortune 500			
	vii) Information, Professional Business,			
	Other			
	viii) Leisure and Hospitality			
	ix) Manufacturing			
	x) Number of Corporate Headquarters			
	x1) Other Business Services			
	x11) Professional and Business Services			
	(1) Employment Services			
	(a) Flexibilities			
	(b) Opportunities			
	(c) Placement			
	(2) Whatagala and Datail			
	(5) wholesale and Ketall			
C)	i) Each Supply			
	i) Food Supply ii) Manufacturing			
	n) manufacturing			
	EC a) b)			

Table 2-8 Elements of Economic Development Dimension

Resilient communities are characterized by their involvement in a diverse array of products and services that are both produced in and available to the community. Diversity in production and employment is linked to a community's ability to substitute goods and services and shift employment patterns as the situation demands. The *PEOPLES Resilience Framework* incorporates three illustrative subcategories within this dimension: Industry – Production, Industry – Employment Distribution, and Financial Services. Primary indicators of this dimension include the proportion of the population that is employed within the various industries, and the variability that might characterize a community's industrial employment distribution.

This dimension is closely interconnected with the Population and Demographics dimension. For example, key indicators of economic development beyond employment and industry distribution include literacy rates, life expectancy, and poverty rates. Disaster-specific indicators related to economic development include extent of evacuation plans and drills for high-occupancy structures, adequacy of plans for inspecting damaged buildings following disasters, and adequacy of plans for post-disaster commercial reconstruction (Tierney, 2009).

2.8 Social-Cultural Capital

Similar to the Norris et al. (2008) conceptualization of social support, the Community Resilience Model's first dimension is "Resilient People," which consists of nine points:

- 1. Leadership is diversified and representative of age, gender, and community cultural composition;
- 2. Elected community leadership is visionary, shares power, and builds consensus;
- 3. Community members are involved in significant community decisions;
- 4. The community feels a sense of pride;
- 5. People feel optimistic about their community's future;
- 6. There is a spirit of mutual assistance and co-operation in the community;
- 7. People feel a sense of attachment to their community;
- 8. The community is self-reliant and looks to itself and its own resources to address major issues; and
- 9. There is a strong belief in and support for education at all levels (The Centre for Community Enterprise, 2000: 13-15).

According to Norris and her colleagues (2008), "individuals invest, access, and use resources embedded in social networks to gain returns" (p. 137). For our purposes, social/cultural capital incorporates several subcategories, including education service, child and elderly services,

cultural and heritage services, and community participation (see Table 2-9). Social/cultural capital is prerequisite to community competence (Norris et al., 2008) in that it incorporates the array of services that the community has chosen to provide for itself, understanding that community health requires more than good jobs and infrastructure. It also includes several intangible "goods," such as social support, sense of community, place attachment, and citizen participation (Norris et al. 2008).

T 11 A A	T1 /	C C · 1	(0, 1) 1	a · 1	D ' '
Table 7.0	Llomonto	of Vooiol	// 'ulturol	('omitol	Limongion
	LUCHICHIS	OF SOCIAL	A JULIULAL	Cabilar	LTHERSTON
10010 - /	LIUIIU	01 000101	Cartarar	Capital	Dimension

7) S	SOCIAL/CULTURAL CAPITAL				
a	Child and Elderly Services				
b) Commercial Centers				
c	Community Participation				
ď) Cultural and Heritage Services				
e	Education Services				
f)	Non-Profit Organizations				
g) Place Attachment				

For example, social support underlies many of the services associated with social/cultural capital. It includes both the "helping behaviors within family and friendship networks" and the "relationships between individuals and their larger neighborhoods and communities" (Norris et al., 2008, p. 139). People choose to provide social and cultural services that manifest and extend their sense of community, defined as an attitude of bonding with other members of one's group or locale (Perkins et al., 2002, cited in Norris et al., 2008). They may feel an emotional connection to their neighborhood or city, which may or may not relate to the people who inhabit those places (Manzo and Perkins, 2006). For example, after Hurricane Katrina, many displaced residents of New Orleans expressed a strong desire to return home, irrespective of the people they knew or the jobs they once had. It seems likely that people with a strong "place attachment" would be more willing to act in order to help their community bounce back after a disaster, assuming that other essential factors such as employment and housing were available. Citizen participation takes into account the "engagement of community members in formal organizations, including religious congregations, school and resident associations, neighborhood watches, and self-help groups" (Norris et al., 2008, p. 139). Participation in community organizations is a means of demonstrating one's care for one's community. Pragmatically, participation in community organizations is a means for meeting and understanding one's fellow citizens. It increases individuals' circle of influence and perception of control.

Measuring social/cultural capital requires acquisition of tallies, such as the number of members belonging to various civil and community organizations. It also requires surveys of community leaders and their perceptions (e.g., quality of life surveys). Disaster-specific indicators include existence of community plans targeting transportation-disadvantaged populations, adequacy of post-disaster sheltering plans, adequacy of plans for incorporating volunteers and others into official response activities, adequacy of donations management plans, and the community's plans to coordinate across diverse community networks (Tierney, 2009).

CHAPTER 3

VISUALIZATION OF PEOPLES FRAMEWORK

3.1 PersonalBrainTM Conceptual Dynamic Diagrams

Mind mapping is a way that depicts concepts as a graphical visualization of hierarchies and interdependencies. A software platform is used which is capable of linking and dynamically visualizing all seven PEOPLES dimensions in multiple layers of components and properties of functionality and resilience as well as pointing to information about quantitative and qualitative concepts, algorithms or models in various databases.

The software, Personal BrainTM, allows for the visualization of the interactions of resilience dimensions (see Figure 3-1), components (see Figure 3-2), and indicators, and the organization of accompanying references, databases, equations and other materials (see menus at bottom of Figure 3-1). The scheme is able to accept any formulation that may be developed in the future.

PEOPLES - PersonalBrain 5.5				
Eile Edit Inought View Options Window Help				
< ▶ ★ ☆ + - Q +⊗×⊗	PERSONAL BRAIN Free Edition			
PEOPLES Dimensions of	f Community Resilience			
1 POPULATION AND DEMOGRAPHICS	SE LIFESTYLE AND COMMUNITY COMPETENCE			
E ENTRONIMENTAL / FOOTFOTER	-3. EFESTILE AND COMMONIT COMPETENCE			
2. ENVIRONMENTAL/ECOSTSTEM	°6. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT			
3. ORGANIZED GOVERNMENTAL SERVICES	°7. SOCIAL/CULTURAL CAPITAL			
•4. PHYSICAL INFRASTRUCTURE				
Search Search Financial Industry - Employment Distribution	on 7. SOCIAL/CULTURAL CAPITAL PEOPLES Dimensions of Community Re			
Notes	Properties & Attachments Details Reports Search Tags			
File Edit View Ingert Format Tools Table	PEOPLES Dimensions of Community Resilience			
Arial <u>■ 12pt</u> B I U ≡ ≡ ≡ ⊟ ⊟ ≡ ≡ ▲ T ₂ ◀ □	Color V Type V Untyped V			
<u>_</u>	Label:			

Figure 3-1 Resilience Framework Dimensions as Personal Brain Visualization



Figure 3-2 Resilience Framework Components

The primary advantage in using the PersonalBrainTM software is its ability to automatically create multiple links between and among the elements that mimic the complex interdependencies that characterize communities. Figure 3-3 illustrates the physical infrastructure dimension with its "Lifelines" and "Facilities" components as well as the resilience framework elements at the next lower hierarchical level. A mouse click on the element "Food Supply" will lead to the visualization in Figure 3-4, that depicts the interdependency of this element to other resilience dimensions such as the "Economic Development" dimension.



Figure 3-3 Physical Infrastructure Resilience Dimension, Components and Elements



Figure 3-4 Interdependency of Resilience Components - Example "Food Supply"

The mind mapping and information storage capabilities enable tracking changes and merging of several experts' thoughts. As a collaborative tool the software creates a vital component in the further development of the PEOPLES Resilience Framework. A freely downloadable reader allows interested parties to explore and understand PEOPLES in an animated, interactive manner.

CHAPTER 4 KEY INTERDEPENDENCIES

4.1 Interdependencies

Interdependencies appear between all components and subcomponents described in the framework in CHAPTER 2 and CHAPTER 3. Each component and subcomponent can be represented by spatial- temporal functions describing their functionality. The interdependencies appear globally between their functionalities or more directly between the parameters (or variables) describing their functionality.

In order to begin understanding the interdependency an illustration is made using parts of the *physical infrastructure components*, more precisely for well known interacting lifelines.

Public- and private-sector lifeline owners, operators, and others involved in protection and regulation of lifelines and other elements of the critical infrastructure of society need to develop a greater awareness of interdependencies and a more complete understanding of what they mean. The appropriate role of local, state, and federal governments in support of the private-sector response to disruptions also needs to be defined. The failure to understand how disruptions to one lifeline system can cascade to other lifelines, compromise response and recovery efforts, or result in common-cause failures can leave planners, operators, and emergency response personnel unprepared to deal effectively with the impacts of such disruptions. For example, economically disasters can be catastrophic without proper planning for redundancy and alternative resources affected by the interaction of various lifelines, organizations, populations and social fabrics. Either public or private business may become economically obsolete although not directly affected by a disaster.

Interdependencies are discussed in the current project to illustrate the broader aspects of functionality using *lifelines* for the following reasons:

- Lifeline infrastructure interdependencies can often lead to cascading failures and area failures in disasters, with consequences far greater than those presented exclusively by the initial point failure;
- Analysis of lifeline networks through which failures are propagated can assist in reducing disaster impacts;
- Understanding network dependencies can be used to reduce disaster impacts; and
- Lifeline system failures and resulting loss of service constitutes a major component of disaster loss.

The purpose of this section is to illustrate how the performance of each component, such as utility and transportation system for example, may be affected by disruption of services from other critical lifelines, and to identify initial lessons that could be learned for improving lifeline system serviceability and reliability during extreme events. Some suggestions in this report for further data compilation and assessment activities may eventually lead to industry guidance for enabling (for example utility and transportation lifelines) owners and operators to better account for lifeline interdependencies during future extreme events.

4.2 Example of Interdependencies of Lifeline Systems

Lifeline interdependencies are manifested when, due to either geographical proximity or shared operations, an impact on one lifeline system has an impact on one or more other lifeline systems. Service supplier-customer relationship for lifelines is a "dependent" relationship as shown in Figure 4-1. In the event of a problem or failure in the first lifeline, the product or service to the second can be degraded or interrupted. An example of this type of connection is the cellular phone tower failure when individual cell towers had single power feeds from electric utility and they are not equipped with on-site generation and or battery backup systems when power is lost.



Figure 4-1 First Order-Dependency

A closed loop interdependent relationship is one where a lifeline system provides a product or service to a second lifeline, which in turn supplies the first with a critical product or service as shown in Figure 4-2. An example of this type of interdependency is the failure of the electric distribution systems that also results in the inability to deliver coal to power plants in a timely manner, which, in turn, affects electric generation.



Figure 4-2 Closed-Loop interdependency

Type of Lifelines failures: Two types of lifeline failures are defined below: (i) cascading and (ii) common cause.

Cascading: A cascading failure involves second-order, third-order, etc., dependent relationships amongst lifelines (Figure 4-3). It occurs where a change in state in the first lifeline (e.g., reduction in output or failure) causes a change in state in the second lifeline (e.g., degraded performance or failure) which, in turn, causes a change in state in a third lifeline (e.g., reduction in output or failure).



Figure 4-3 Cascading lifeline Failures

Common Cause: Common cause failures were also frequently reported. They occur where a single event disrupts two or more lifelines. Typical examples are:

A tree is blown over by high winds and the root ball rips up water and natural gas pipelines running in a single right-of-way along a street.

A tree is blown over by high winds and takes down electric distribution lines, television cable, and telephone cable with it.

In summary, at the community level interdependencies among different lifelines are very complex and they can have negative consequences of direct and indirect physical damage to individual components of the lifelines systems. In fact, lifelines are able to distribute services,

but they are also capable of distributing the consequences of loss of services. Therefore, it is evident that in the resilience evaluation, we must include dependencies and interdependencies between lifeline types such as energy, transportation, communication and water.

Critical lifelines interdependencies significantly reduce the resilience index at the community level (see quantification of resilience in CHAPTER 5), because they slow down the response and recovery process. A key strategy to increase resilience at the community level is to decouple lifeline systems, by providing alternative supply through redundancy or distributed supply.

The PEOPLES framework assists users in identifying sources of quantitative and qualitative information to assess the resilience of each system as well as interconnected systems. Figure 4-4 shows the interdependencies of various physical infrastructure systems and their respective resilience dimensions of a community.



Figure 4-4 Interdependencies of physical infrastructural systems

In Figure 4-5, we pick one of the previous identified physical infrastructure systems (the water distribution system) and investigate in more detail its dimensions, components and indicators. Each community will have very basic needs for information about a certain level of functionality of a system, e.g., 100% functionality would be to provide water to the entire population. In an extreme event, one would count the number of people that are not provided with this service and receive a lower percentage of service. However, each community is different and has different

values; therefore a community would need to account for different dimensions of water supply when they value landscape and environment as part of their, life style, or value race horses, llamas, etc, as investments or as part of their cultural heritage (tribal values in a particular animal species). PEOPLES will allow each community to identify, define, and assess their needs in a framework that they customize to their needs for an integrated community resilience assessment.



Figure 4-5 Interdependencies of water distribution system



Figure 4-6 Interdependencies in coastal community resilience

Figure 4-6 shows the elements that can improve coastal community resilience and how they can be grouped within the PEOPLE framework showing the interdependencies among different dimensions which are

- Governance: Leadership, legal framework, and institutions provide enabling conditions for resilience through community involvement with government.
- Society and Economy: Communities are engaged in diverse and environmentally sustainable livelihoods resistant to hazards.
- Coastal Resource Management: Active management of coastal resources sustains environmental services and livelihoods and reduces risks from coastal hazards.
- Land Use and Structural Design: Effective land use and structural design that complement environmental, economic, and community goals and reduce risks from hazards.
- Risk Knowledge: Leadership and community members are aware of hazards and risk information is utilized when making decisions.
- Warning and Evacuation: Community is capable of receiving notifications and alerts of coastal hazards, warning at-risk populations, and individuals acting on the alert.
- Emergency Response: Mechanisms and networks are established and maintained to respond quickly to coastal disasters and address emergency needs at the community level.
- Disaster Recovery: Plans are in place prior to hazard events that accelerate disaster recovery, engage communities in the recovery process, and minimize negative environmental, social, and economic impacts.

CHAPTER 5 INTEGRATION

5.1 Integrating Functionalities of the Seven Dimensions

Within the *PEOPLES Resilience Framework*, each dimension and/or service and its indicators or terms of functionality will be represented with a GIS layer of the area of interest as suggested in the example portrayed in Figure 5-1. In that figure, Q_{POP} = functionality of population in the community; Q_{ENV} = functionality of environmental fabric; Q_{ORG} = functionality of organizations; Q_{PHY} = functionality of physical infrastructure systems; all terms are function of location (**r**) and of time (**t**). The other temporal functionality maps include lifestyles, economics, and social / cultural aspects. For each layer is possible to define a *resilience index contour map* after integrating the functionality for the control time (T_{LC}) period as shown further in this section.



Figure 5-1 Schematic representation of time dependent community functionality maps

Each dimensional layer has a specific spatial functionality dictated by the influence area of the grid, jurisdiction, economic environment, social cultural fabric, etc., as shown in Figure 5-1.

Moreover, each layer of component functionality in Figure 5-1 can be represented by a combination of sub-dimensions (or layers), each having spatial-temporal dependent functionalities, each representing a subcomponent. For example the physical infrastructure layer can be subdivided into layers representing the housing, transportation, electric power, water, sewage, communications, etc.



Figure 5-2 Schematic Representation of Physical Infrastructure Functionality Maps

Figure 5-2 presents the dimensional layers included in the physical infrastructure. This list of functionality terms that is inserted within the physical infrastructure is not exhaustive. Additional terms can be added, such as functionality of schools, dams, fire stations, oil and natural gas systems, emergency centers, etc.

5.2 Spatial Distribution of Components

The spatial distribution of functionalities in each dimensional layer is also specific to the area of influence of the network of lifelines that it represents. For example the highway network and the electric grid have different boundaries as well as the areas served by the water system and by the

communication system. These areas of influence are not same for each layer and can be national, regional or local, dependent on the service provided.

5.3 Community Boundaries: Region of Interest

Multiple community resilience indices, R_i, for each component, i, or a single combined index, R, would be dependent on a defined temporal and geographic size or scale defined by community boundaries. The community will include in its boundaries an entire network, or several networks, but most likely will include only parts of the larger national or regional networks. Therefore in determining resilience, first, the boundaries of the region of interest should be defined. Geographic Information Systems (GIS) are increasingly being used to map vulnerability, and to better understand how various phenomena (hydrological, meteorological, geophysical, social, political and economic) effect human populations. The region of interest is further divided in grid cells and inside each cell, **r**, a definition of functionality $Q_i(r,t)$ at a given time instant, t, is given for each dimension (Figure 5-3). For example, if the community resilience index of the electric power network has to be calculated for a given region, first the definition of functionality Q_{ELE} needs to be defined, for example as

$$Q_{ELE}(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{N_{CP}(\mathbf{r},t)}{N_{C}(\mathbf{r},t)}$$
(3)

where N_{CP} =number of households receiving power in the grid cell and N_C = total number of households in the grid cell.



Figure 5-3 Example of region of interest divided in grid cells

Then, after evaluating the functionality $Q_{ELE}(\mathbf{r},t)$ in each grid cell for the entire period of control, T_{LC} , different ways of representing the data are available.

5.4 **Resilience maps**

At every instant t_0 a given *functionality maps* $Q_{ELE}(\mathbf{r}, t_0)$ (or contour plots) can be plotted over the region of interest. These maps can be used during the entire recovery process, especially in the days immediately after the extreme events and they can be updated on regular basis for example. They can be used to direct resources and personnel toward the zones more affected by the extreme event in the region.

At different time periods defined also by the control time, T_{LC} , it is possible integrate the functionality for the given control time and calculate the resilience at each location r.

$$R_{ELE}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) = \int_{t_{OE}}^{t_{OE}+T_{LC}} Q_{ELE}\left(\mathbf{r},t\right) / T_{LC} dt$$
(4)

Then the resilience indices can be used to develop the *resilience maps* in the form of contour plots. These maps can be used as information during the entire recovery process, or during any given control period. At the end of the emergency period they give you a status on the level of achieved resiliency of the component/dimension in the aftermath of the extreme event.

Finaly, a global *community resilience index for the specific dimension (electric power system* in the example below) can be provided by double integrating over the entire region, r_{LC} , and the control period, T_{LC} .

$$R_{ELE} = \int_{\mathbf{r}_{LC}(t)} R_{ELE} \left(\mathbf{r} \right) dr = \int_{\mathbf{r}_{LC}(t)} \int_{T_{LC}(t)} Q_{ELE} \left(\mathbf{r}, t \right) / T_{LC} dt dr$$
(5)

All equations above are presented for the functionality of the *electric power network*, only, but formulation can be easily extended to all components/dimensions.

5.5 Global Community Resilience Index

When a global resilience index is required to assess the entire community, as a result of all components and dimensions, this index can be obtained using the total functionality Q_{TOT} (r,t), that combines the different dimensions, so the final *community resilience index* is given by:

$$R = \int_{\mathbf{r}_{LC}(t)} R(\mathbf{r}) dr = \int_{\mathbf{r}_{LC}(t)} \int_{T_{LC}(t)} Q_{TOT}(\mathbf{r}, t) / T_{LC} dt dr$$
(6)

where $Q_{TOT}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the global functionality that is a function of time and space and combines **all** functionality terms considered; \mathbf{r}_{LC} is the region of interest that can change with time; t is the time parameter; T_{LC} = control time that can change through the time.

Note that the selection of *all* functionalities contributing to the total would be in most cases only *a smaller but relevant subset* of *all dimensions* describing communities.

All resilience dimensions and their respective indices to measure their performances are interdependent (Figure 5-4).



Figure 5-4 Interdependencies among different functionalities

A simple way of combining different functionalities is using the analogy with the probability axiom of arbitrary events therefore the global definition of functionality is given by

$$Q_{TOT} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_j - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=2}^{n} Q_i Q_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \sum_{k=3}^{n} (Q_i Q_j Q_k) - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \sum_{k=3}^{n} \dots \sum_{l=n-1}^{n} (Q_i Q_j Q_k \dots Q_l Q_n)$$
(7)

It is obvious that not all functionalities might have the same weight; therefore the global functionality can be determined using the mathematical expectation denoted by $E\{Q(\mathbf{r},t)\}$ that is defined by

$$Q_{TOT} = E\{Q(\mathbf{r},t)\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(\mathbf{r},t)Q_i(\mathbf{r},t)$$
(8)

where *n* is the number of dimensions considered relevant in the functionality, $p_i(\mathbf{r},t)$ =priority (probability) factors; Q_i =functionality associated to a given dimension of PEOPLE framework (see CHAPTER 2 and Table 2-2). Notable, for the total system functionality, the number of dimensions can be maximum seven (*n*=7) as indicated in the PEOPLES framework. However, the same formulation (recurrence formula), with suitable adjustment of the meaning of the indices, applies to the total functionality of a single component/dimension such as the physical infrastructure, or the organizational system, thus allowing to determine the resilience index of just that component/dimension.

The formulation suggested above provides the base for further development of the resilience indices for various locations using a probability framework while considering inherent uncertainties in the systems.

CHAPTER 6

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

6.1 Summary

The purpose of this study is to identify gaps in the definitions and quantification of resilience at the community scale with the goal of developing a consistent framework that can address simultaneously the assets of the community and their functionality at various geographic and temporal scales. From the outset of this study it was clear that the current state of the art addresses only limited aspects of community resilience, with little, or no, capability to address continuously changing components of various sizes. Therefore, a new framework was suggested including an attempt to mix the multiple dimensions contributing to the functionality of the community system. Moreover, each component is described also as a system with its functionality contributing to the overall community system functionality. As such, a "system of systems" was created. The elements of the new framework were defined and justified based on available information. However, much of the quantification is still in its infancy and requires aggregation of widely used methods in systems analysis and management.

The framework visualizes integration of multiple components that can be viewed as interacting layers of functions in space and time, representing functionality of component dimensions, which can be overlaid with proper interactive connections and interactions.

The framework presented in this report uses as a central part in the definition and quantification of resilience, the basic *functionality* of various components contributing to community resilience. These *functionalities* are complex functions of various parameters, which need to be yet defined and quantified. Previous attempts of such quantifications (Cimellaro et al, 2009) indicate that there is still much to be done before the implementation of this concept is feasible and efficient. However, the initial framework defined in this report, can serve as guide for definitions of functionalities, parameters identifications, data collection, computational evaluations, etc.

6.2 **Recommendations for Future Research**

The report suggests the base of a new framework defining resilience at the community level, considering multiple dimensions and their interactions. The PEOPLES framework suggested here attempts to identify and group all the important components with common roles in the community welfare. However to better and consistently represent the resilience of communities more details of the above mentioned framework need to be developed.

First and foremost it is suggested to develop a consistent formulation for the quantification of resilience with focus on *a subset of PEOPLES Resilience Framework's dimensions* that includes only the Population and Demographics, Physical Infrastructure, and Economic Development. These relevant dimensions may allow developing key interrelations and their mathematical formulations as well as defining relevant data through sensitivity formulations. Note that the interaction between these three dimensions, as well as the other four dimensions, will be the major driver for the development. The consistent formulation for quantification of resilience indices (or indicators) should use a temporal-spatial probabilistic framework. Note also that an interdisciplinary team with knowledge of engineering, social sciences, management and economics, well motivated and coordinated for an integrated approach is necessary. The further developments, although addressing only three dimensions, should not exclude any of the other dimensions are excluded, the new formulation may default to the prior, much simplified, definitions by MCEER and others.

An illustration using a case study can clarify the new concepts and their comprehensive approach. Therefore, it is recommended to select and develop a strong case study supporting the value and effect of the *PEOPLES Resilience Framework*. A region of interest was identified by the authors as a possible case study, a site in Western New York that includes already established physical infrastructure resilience and environmental projects on hospitals and the impacts of natural hazards (earthquake and flooding). Proximity of researchers to the sites in the case study may prove to be a key factor in the selection of the project. Case studies may choose desired "regions of interest" depending on access and security of the sensitive information and data needed for success of such studies. The authors of this report will continue the development of this approach along the lines of these recommendations.

CHAPTER 7

REFERENCES

- Adger, W. N. (2000). Social and Ecological Resilience: Are They Related? Progress in Human Geography 24 (3):347-364.
- Ahmed, R., Seedat, M., Niekerk, A. V., and Bulbulia, S. (2004). "Resilience in Disadvantaged Communities in the Context of Violence and Injury Prevention." *South African Journal of Psychology*, 34(3), 386-408.
- Alesch, D. J., Arendt, L. A., and Holly, J. N. (2009). Managing for long-term recovery in the aftermath of disaster. Fairfax, VA: Public Entity Risk Institute (PERI).
- Birkmann, J. (2006). *Measuring Vulnerability to Natural Hazards: Towards Disaster Resilient Societies*, United Nations University Press, TOKYO NEW YORK PARIS.
- Birkmann, J. (2007). "Risk and vulnerability indicators at different scales: Applicability, usefulness and policy implications." *Environmental Hazards*, 7(1), 20-31.
- Bodin, P., and Wiman, B. L. B. (2004). "Resilience and other stability concepts in ecology: notes on their origin, validity and usefulness." *the ESS Bulletin*, 2(2), 33-43.
- Brown, D., and Kulig, J. (1996/97). The concept of resiliency: Theoretical lessons from community research. Health and Canadian Society, 4, 29-52.
- Bruneau, M., Chang, S., Eguchi, R., Lee, G., O'Rourke, T., Reinhorn, A. M., Shinozuka, M., Tierney, K., Wallace, W., and Winterfelt, D. (2003). A framework to Quantitatively Assess and Enhance the Seismic Resilience of Communities. Earthquake Spectra, 19(4), 733-752.
- Bruneau, M. and Reinhorn, A. M., (2007), Exploring the Concept of Seismic Resilience for Acute Care Facilities, Earthquake Spectra, 23(1), 41-62
- Burns, T., and Stalker, G. M. (1961). The management of innovation. London: Tavistock.
- Carpenter, S., Walker, B., Anderies, J. M. and Abel, N. (2001). From Metaphor to Measurement: Resilience of What to What?. *Ecosystems*, 4, 765-781.
- Chang, S. E., and Shinozuka, M. (2004), Measuring Improvements in the Disaster Resilience of Communities. Earthquake Spectra. 20(2), 739-755
- Cimellaro, G.P., Fumo, C., Reinhorn, A. M., and Bruneau, M. Quantification of Seismic Resilience of Health care facilities. (2009). MCEER Technical Report-MCEER-09-0009. Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research, Buffalo, NY,
- Cimellaro, G. P., Reinhorn, A. M., and Bruneau, M. (2010). "Seismic resilience of a hospital system." Structure and Infrastructure Engineering, 6(1-2), 127-144.

- Cimellaro, G. P., Reinhorn, A. M., and Bruneau, M. (2010). "Framework for analytical quantification of Disaster resilience." Engineering Structures, in Press, DOI: 10.1016/j.engstruct.2010.08.008.
- CSBG (Community Services Block Grant) Monitoring and Assessment Task Force Scales and Ladders Committee. September 1999. *Scales, From A to Y: Almost Everything You Wanted to Know but Were Afraid to Ask.* www.roma1.org/files/rtr/scalesA-Ybw.pdf
- Cutter, S. L., J. T. Mitchell, et al., (2000). Revealing the vulnerability of people and places: A case study of Georgetown County, South Carolina. Annals of American Geographers, 90(4), 713-737.
- Cutter, S. L. (1996). Vulnerability to Environmental Hazards. Progress in Human Geography 20(4):529–39.
- Cutter, S., Barnes, L., Berry, M., Burton, C., Evans, E., Tate, E., and Webb, J. (2008). "A placebased model for understanding community resilience to natural disasters " *Global Environmental Change*, 18(4), 598-606
- Diaz-Delgado, R., Lloret, F., Pons, X., and Terradas, J. (2002). Satellite Evidence of Decreasing Resilience in Mediterranean Plant Communities After Recurrent Wildfires, Ecology, 83(8), 2293-2303.
- Drabek, T. E., and D. A. McEntire. (2002). Emergent Phenomena and Multiorganizational Coordination in Disasters: Lessons from the Research Literature. International Journal of Mass Emergencies and Disasters 20:197–224.
- Elmqvist, T., Folke, C., Nystrom, M., Peterson, G., Bengtsson, J., Walker, B. and Norberg, J. (2003). Response diversity, ecosystem change, and resilience. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 1(9), 488-494.
- FEMA. (2000). "FEMA 356 Prestandard and Commentary for the seismic rehabilitation of buildings." Federal Emergency management Agency Washington DC.
- Garmezy, N. (1973). Competence and adaptation in adult schizophrenic patients and children at risk. In Dean, S. R. (Ed.), Schizophrenia: The first ten Dean Award Lectures (pp. 163-204). NY: MSS Information Corp.
- Garmezy, N. (1985). "Broadening research on developmental risk: Implications from studies of vulnerable and stress-resistant children in W. K. Frankenburg, R. N. Emde & J. W. Sullivan (Eds.)." Early identification of children at risk: An international prospective, Plenum Press, New York.
- Gordon, J. (1978). Structures. , Penguin Books, Harmondsworth, UK.
- Gunderson, L. (2000). Ecological resilience In theory and application. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 31, 425-439.

- Harrald, J. (2006). Agility and Discipline: Critical Success Factors for Disaster Response. Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science 604 (March): 256–272.
- Helton, L. R., and Keller, S. M. (2010). "Appalachian Women: A Study of Resiliency Assets and Cultural Values." Journal of Social Service Research, 36(2), 151-161.
- Hill, E., Wial, H., and Wolman, H. (2006). "Exploring Regional Economic Resilience." Working Paper 2008-04, Institute for Urban and Regional Development, Berkeley, University of California.
- Hillery, G. A. (1955). "Definitions of Community: Areas of Agreement." *Rural Sociology*, 20, 111-123.
- Holling, C. S. (1973). "Resilience and Stability of Ecological Systems." *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 4, 1-23.
- Holling, C. S. (2001). Understanding the complexity of economic, ecological, and social systems. Ecosystems 4: 390-405.
- Klein, R. J. T., Nicholls, R. J., and Thomalla, F. (2003). "Resilience to natural hazards: How useful is this concept? ." *Global Environmental Change Part B: Environmental Hazards*, 5(1-2), 35-45.
- Longstaff, P. H. (2005). "Security, resilience, and communication in unpredictable environments such as terrorism, natural disasters and complex technology." Harvard University and the Center for Information Policy Research, Program on Information Resources Policy, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA.
- Ludwig, D., B.H. Walker, and C.S. Holling. (2002). Models and Metaphors of Sustainability, Stability, and Resilience. In Resilience and the Behavior of Large-Scale Systems, edited by L.
- McFarlane, A., and Norris, F. (2006). "Definitions and concepts in disaster research." Methods for disaster mental health research, S. G. In F. Norris, M. Friedman, & P. Watson (Eds.), ed., Guilford Press, New York, 3-19.
- Manzo, L., and Perkins, D. (2006). Finding common ground: The importance of place attachment to community participation and planning. Journal of Planning Literature, 20, 335-350.
- Mileti, D. S., and Gailus, J. L. (2005). "Sustainable development and hazards mitigation in the United States: Disasters by Design revisited." *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 10(3), 491-504.
- Norris, F. H., Stevens, S. P., Pfefferbaum, B., Wyche, K. F., and Pfefferbaum, R. L. (2008).
 "Community Resilience as a Metaphor, Theory, Set of Capacities, and Strategy for Disaster Readiness." *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 41(1-2), 127-150.

- Olofsson, P., Eklundh, L., Lagergren, F., Jonsson, P., and Lindroth, A. (2007). Estimating net primary production for Scandinavian forests using data from Terra/MODIS. Adv. Space Res., 39, 125-130.
- Patton, A. (2007). Collaborative Emergency Management, pp. 71–85 in W. Waugh and K. Tierney, eds., Emergency Management: Principles and Practice for Local Government. Washington, DC: International City and County Management Association.
- Pettorelli, N., Vik, J., Mysterud, A., Gaillard, J., Tucker, C., and Stenseth, N. (2005). Using the satellite-derived NDVI to assess ecological responses to environmental change. TRENDS in Ecology and Evolution, 20(9), 503-510.
- Pimm, S. L. (1984). "The complexity and stability of ecosystems." Nature, 307(26), 321-326.
- Prince, S. (1991). A model of regional primary production for use with coarse resolution satellite data. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 12: 1313-1330.
- Renschler, C. S., Frazier, A. E., Arendt, L. A. Cimellaro, G. P., Reinhorn, A. M., and Bruneau, M. (2010). Developing the 'PEOPLES' resilience framework for defining and measuring disaster resilience at the community scale. 9th US and 10th Canadian Conference on Earthquake Engineering. Toronto, Canada, July 25-29 2010.
- RICSA (2010) RISCA Poverty Project. URL: http://web.uct.ac.za/depts/ricsa/projects/publicli/poverty.
- Schwartz, D. (2010). A big question: Where is Haiti's government? Retrieved March 1, 2010 from: http://www.cbc.ca/world/story/2010/01/22/f-haiti-govt.html
- Simoniello, T., Lanfredi, M., Liberti, M., Coppola, R., and Macchiato, M. (2008). Estimation of vegetation cover resilience from satellite time series. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 12, 1053-1064.
- Sonn, C. C., and Fisher, A. T. (1998). "Sense of community: community resilient responses to oppression and change." *Journal of Community Psychology*, 26(5), 457-472.
- Stallings, R. A., and Quarantelli, E. L. (1985). Emergent Citizen Groups and Emergency Management. Public Administration Review 45 (special issue): 93–100.
- Sutton, J., and Tierney, K. (2006). Disaster Preparedness: Concepts, Guidance, and Research. San Francisco, CA: The Fritz Institute.
- Tierney, K. (2009). Disaster response: Research findings and their implications for resilience measures. CARRI Research Report 6. Oak Ridge, TN: Community and Regional Resilience Initiative (CARRI). URL: www.resilientUS.org
- Timmerman, P. (1981). "Vulnerability, Resilience, and the Collapse of Society." *Institute of Environmental Studies Research Paper*, Toronto, Canada.
- Villagrán_De_León, J. C. (2006). "Vulnerability Assessment in the Context of Disaster-Risk, a Conceptual and Methodological Review." *SOURCE SOURCE No. 4/20*.
- Waller, M. A. (2001). "Resilience in Ecosystemic Context: Evolution of the Concept." *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 71(3), 290-297.
- Waugh, W. L. Jr., and Streib, G. (2006). Collaboration and Leadership for Effective Emergency Management. Public Administration Review 66 (December) 131–140.
- Weick, K. E. (1995). Sensemaking in Organizations. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Weick, K. E., Sutcliffe, K., and Obstfeld, D. (2005). Organizing and the Process of Sensemaking. Organization Science 16: 409–421.
- Werner, E. E., and Smith, R. S. (1992). *Overcoming the odds: High risk children from birth to adulthood*, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York.

Appendix A GLOSSARY

The Glossary of Resilience Terms contains a comprehensive set of definitions of key terminology and concepts and commonly used acronyms.

A detailed reference is provided for each definition to enable the user to refer to the complete source document to obtain further information/context where needed. The source information provided relates to the source from where the definition was extracted for inclusion in the Glossary. This source document may not in fact have been the original source of the definition but it is the medium that promoted it recently. Interested party may search in more depth for the original source.

Notable, some terms have different definitions although share same terms; addressing different aspects, or dimensions defined in this report. Thus the final communication of these terms must be clarified when used. For example, in many cases the engineering concepts of resilience refer to different aspects than the social aspects of resilience. This report attempts to reconcile some of the differences by providing multiple dimensions and their interrelations, along with some methods of quantification.

To continue the assembly of this glosary, contributions and other related information should be sent to the original collector:

Gian Paolo Cimellaro, PhD, PE Politecnico di Torino, Department of Structural and Geotechnical Engineering (DISTR) Corso Duca degli aBruzzi 24, 10129 Turin, ITALY Phone: +39 331 4655646; E-mail: <u>gianpaolo.cimellaro@polito.it</u>

Term	Definition	Source
Anticipation	Continuous risk identification and analysis so that you	
	have a good appreciation of the dynamic risk	
	environment in which you operate and are able, as far	
	as is reasonable, to foresee potential consequences	
	(direct, indirect and interdependent) and arrangements	
	for managing these.	
Community	A social group of any size whose members reside in a	www.dictionary.com
	specific locality, share government, and often have a	
	common cultural and historical heritage.	
Community	A group of people (1) who share social interaction and	Hillery 1955
	(2) some common ties between themselves and the	
	other members of the group and (3) who share an area	
	for at least some of the time.	
Community	An entity that has geographic boundaries and shared	Norris et al. 2008
	fate. Communities are composed of built, natural,	
	social, and economic environments that influence one	
	another in complex ways.	
Community	A social, religious, occupational, or other group sharing	www.dictionary.com
	common characteristics or interests and perceived or	
	perceiving itself as distinct in some respect from the	
	larger society within which it exists.	
Community resilience	The process through which mediating structures	Sonn 1998
	(schools, peer groups, family) and activity settings	
	moderate the impact of oppressive systems.	
Community resilience	The development of material, physical, socio-political,	Ahmed 2004
	socio-cultural, and psychological resources that	
	promote safety of residents and buffer adversity.	
Community Resilience	The capacity of a system, community, or society	Subcommittee on Disaster
	potentially exposed to hazards to adapt, by resisting or	Reduction (SDR) 2005
	changing, in order to reach and maintain an acceptable	
	level of functioning and structure. This is determined	
	by the degree to which the social system is capable of	
	organizing itself to increase its capacity for learning	
	from past disasters for better future protection and to	
	improve risk reduction measures.	
Community Resilience	A community is an open system, comprising	Alesch, Arendt, and Holly

Term	Definition	Source
	individuals and institutions (elements) with patterned	2009
	relationships among themselves and with the external	
	environment.	
Community Resilience	A community or region's capability to prepare for,	SERRI (www.serri.org/
	respond to, and recover from significant disturbance-	community_resilience.html)
	driven changes: while maintaining community	
	character, cohesion and capacity, and without	
	permanent impairment of the community's public	
	safety and health, economic, social, and national	
	security functions, thus, accelerating recovery.	
Community resilience	A process linking a set of networked adaptive capacities	Norris et al. 2008
	to a positive trajectory of functioning and adaptation in	
	constituent populations after a disturbance.	
Community Seismic	The ability of social units to mitigate hazards, contain	Bruneau et al. 2003
Resilience	the effects of disasters when they occur, and carry out	
	recovery activities in ways that minimize social	
	disruption and mitigate the effects of future	
	earthquakes.	
Complex adaptive	Systems of people and nature in which complexity	Holling, C.S. 2001
systems	emerges from a small set of critical processes which	
	create and maintain the self-organizing properties of the	
	system.	
Control period	The period during which the community resilience is	Cimellaro et al. 2009
	evaluated.	MCEER Report
Crisis	What happens when a surprise reveals a failure of the	Longstaff 2005
	rules, norms, behavior or infrastructure used to handle	
	that type of surprise.	
Disaster	A potentially traumatic event that is collectively	McFarlane and Norris 2006
	experienced, has an acute onset, and is time delimited;	
	disasters may be attributed to natural, technological, or	
	human causes.	
Ecological resilience	The persistence of relationships within a system; a	Holling 1973
	measure of the ability of systems to absorb changes of	
	state variables, driving variables, and parameters, and	
	still persist.	
Ecological Resilience	Positive adaptation in response to adversity; it is not the	Waller 2001
	absence of vulnerability, not an inherent characteristic,	

Term	Definition	Source
	and not static.	
Ecologic Resilience	The ability of an ecosystem to absorb and adapt to	Holling 1973
	change and maintain its existing state of functioning.	
Engineering Resilience	A measure of a system's capacity to absorb and recover	Timmerman 1981
	from the occurrence of a hazardous event; virtually	
	synonymous with "elasticity"; reflective of a society's	
	ability to cope and continue to cope in the future.	
Engineering Resilience	The ability of a material to return to a pre-existing state	Pimm 1984
	after being stressed.	
Indicator	Specific, descriptive items of information that are used	CSBG 1999
	to track changes in a condition or function of a	
	community, agency, or family.	
Indicators	Measures intended to represent a characteristic or a	Birkmann 2006; Villagrán de
	parameter of a system of interest. An indicator may be	León 2006
	composed of a single variable (e.g., income) or a	
	combination of variables (e.g., gross domestic product).	
	Multiple indicators can be combined to construct	
	composite indicators, or indices, which attempt to distill	
	the complexity of an entire system to a single metric.	
Physical Resilience	The ability to store strain energy and deflect elastically	Gordon 1978
	under a load without breaking or being deformed.	
Physical Resilience	The speed with which a system returns to equilibrium	Bodin 2004
	after displacement, irrespective of how many	
	oscillations are required.	
Preparedness	Having a plan for identified risks, identifying the	
	resources required and any gaps, training and	
	exercising, having a process for updating plans and	
	capability assessments, and being ready to respond.	
Quality of life	One component of wellness that captures how people	Norris et al. 2008
	generally feel about their lives as a whole and in	
	domains of work or school, family, health, leisure, and	
	neighborhood.	
4 dimensions of	Anticipate	CARRI
Resilience according to	Reduce vulnerability	
CARRI (Community and	Respond efficiently	
Regional Resilience	Recover faster	
Initiative)		

Term	Definition	Source
Robustness	The ability of elements, systems or other units of	Bruneau et al. 2003
	analysis to withstand a given level of stress, or demand	
	without suffering degradation or loss of function.	
Rapidity	Capacity to meet priorities and achieve goals in a	Bruneau et al. 2003
	timely manner in order to contain losses and avoid	
	future disruption.	
Resourcefulness	The capacity to identify problems, establish priorities,	Bruneau et al. 2003
	and mobilize resources when conditions exist that	
	threaten to disrupt some element, system, or other unit	
	of analysis.	
Redundancy	The quality of having alternative paths in the structure	FEMA 356 2000
	by which the lateral forces can be transferred, which	
	allows the structure to remain stable following the	
	failure of any single element.	
Recovery	The time necessary to return to the initial value of	Cimellaro et al. MCEER
period/downtime	functionality before the extreme event.	2009
Regional Economic	The ability of a regional economy to maintain a pre-	Hill et al. 2008
Resilience	existing state (typically assumed to be an equilibrium	
	state) in the presence of some type of exogenous shock.	
Resilience	The amount of disturbance a system can absorb and still	Klein, Nicholls, and
	remain within the same statethe degree to which the	Thomalla 2003
	system is capable of self-organization (p. 35)the	
	degree to which the system can build and increase the	
	capacity for learning and adaptation	
Resilience	The ability of a human system to respond and recover.	Cutter et al.2008
	It includes those inherent conditions that allow the	
	system to absorb impacts and cope with the event, as	
	well as postevent adaptive processes that facilitate the	
	ability of the system to reorganize, change, and learn in	
	response to the event.	
Resilience	A process linking a set of adaptive capacities to a	Norris et al. 2008
	positive trajectory of functioning and adaptation after a	
	disturbance.	
Resilience of institutions	The ability of institutions of common property	
	management to cope with external pressures and stress.	
Social Community	The ability of groups or communities to cope with	Adger 2000
Resilience	external stresses and disturbances as a result of social,	

Term	Definition	Source
	political, and environmental change (p. 347) ability	
	of communities to withstand external shocks to their	
	social infrastructure.	
Sustainability	The ability of a locality to tolerate—and overcome—	Mileti and Gailus 2005
	damage, diminished productivity and reduced quality of	
	life inflicted by an extreme event without significant	
	outside assistance.	
Sustainability	The ability to sustain the use of facilities, equipment	
	and staffing arrangements, which is important because	
	emergencies sometimes require a prolonged response	
	and/or recovery effort.	
Vulnerability (resilience)	The pre-event, inherent characteristics or qualities of	Cutter et al.2008
	systems that create the potential for harm or differential	
	ability to recover following an event. Vulnerability is a	
	function of the exposure (who or what is at risk) and the	
	sensitivity of the system (the degree to which people	
	and places can be harmed).	

MCEER Technical Reports

MCEER publishes technical reports on a variety of subjects written by authors funded through MCEER. These reports are available from both MCEER Publications and the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). Requests for reports should be directed to MCEER Publications, MCEER, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, 133A Ketter Hall, Buffalo, New York 14260. Reports can also be requested through NTIS, P.O. Box 1425, Springfield, Virginia 22151. NTIS accession numbers are shown in parenthesis, if available.

- NCEER-87-0001 "First-Year Program in Research, Education and Technology Transfer," 3/5/87, (PB88-134275, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0002 "Experimental Evaluation of Instantaneous Optimal Algorithms for Structural Control," by R.C. Lin, T.T. Soong and A.M. Reinhorn, 4/20/87, (PB88-134341, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0003 "Experimentation Using the Earthquake Simulation Facilities at University at Buffalo," by A.M. Reinhorn and R.L. Ketter, to be published.
- NCEER-87-0004 "The System Characteristics and Performance of a Shaking Table," by J.S. Hwang, K.C. Chang and G.C. Lee, 6/1/87, (PB88-134259, A03, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0005 "A Finite Element Formulation for Nonlinear Viscoplastic Material Using a Q Model," by O. Gyebi and G. Dasgupta, 11/2/87, (PB88-213764, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0006 "Symbolic Manipulation Program (SMP) Algebraic Codes for Two and Three Dimensional Finite Element Formulations," by X. Lee and G. Dasgupta, 11/9/87, (PB88-218522, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0007 "Instantaneous Optimal Control Laws for Tall Buildings Under Seismic Excitations," by J.N. Yang, A. Akbarpour and P. Ghaemmaghami, 6/10/87, (PB88-134333, A06, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0008 "IDARC: Inelastic Damage Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Frame Shear-Wall Structures," by Y.J. Park, A.M. Reinhorn and S.K. Kunnath, 7/20/87, (PB88-134325, A09, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0009 "Liquefaction Potential for New York State: A Preliminary Report on Sites in Manhattan and Buffalo," by M. Budhu, V. Vijayakumar, R.F. Giese and L. Baumgras, 8/31/87, (PB88-163704, A03, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0010 "Vertical and Torsional Vibration of Foundations in Inhomogeneous Media," by A.S. Veletsos and K.W. Dotson, 6/1/87, (PB88-134291, A03, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0011 "Seismic Probabilistic Risk Assessment and Seismic Margins Studies for Nuclear Power Plants," by Howard H.M. Hwang, 6/15/87, (PB88-134267, A03, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0012 "Parametric Studies of Frequency Response of Secondary Systems Under Ground-Acceleration Excitations," by Y. Yong and Y.K. Lin, 6/10/87, (PB88-134309, A03, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0013 "Frequency Response of Secondary Systems Under Seismic Excitation," by J.A. HoLung, J. Cai and Y.K. Lin, 7/31/87, (PB88-134317, A05, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0014 "Modelling Earthquake Ground Motions in Seismically Active Regions Using Parametric Time Series Methods," by G.W. Ellis and A.S. Cakmak, 8/25/87, (PB88-134283, A08, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0015 "Detection and Assessment of Seismic Structural Damage," by E. DiPasquale and A.S. Cakmak, 8/25/87, (PB88-163712, A05, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).

- NCEER-87-0016 "Pipeline Experiment at Parkfield, California," by J. Isenberg and E. Richardson, 9/15/87, (PB88-163720, A03, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0017 "Digital Simulation of Seismic Ground Motion," by M. Shinozuka, G. Deodatis and T. Harada, 8/31/87, (PB88-155197, A04, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0018 "Practical Considerations for Structural Control: System Uncertainty, System Time Delay and Truncation of Small Control Forces," J.N. Yang and A. Akbarpour, 8/10/87, (PB88-163738, A08, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0019 "Modal Analysis of Nonclassically Damped Structural Systems Using Canonical Transformation," by J.N. Yang, S. Sarkani and F.X. Long, 9/27/87, (PB88-187851, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0020 "A Nonstationary Solution in Random Vibration Theory," by J.R. Red-Horse and P.D. Spanos, 11/3/87, (PB88-163746, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0021 "Horizontal Impedances for Radially Inhomogeneous Viscoelastic Soil Layers," by A.S. Veletsos and K.W. Dotson, 10/15/87, (PB88-150859, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0022 "Seismic Damage Assessment of Reinforced Concrete Members," by Y.S. Chung, C. Meyer and M. Shinozuka, 10/9/87, (PB88-150867, A05, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0023 "Active Structural Control in Civil Engineering," by T.T. Soong, 11/11/87, (PB88-187778, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0024 "Vertical and Torsional Impedances for Radially Inhomogeneous Viscoelastic Soil Layers," by K.W. Dotson and A.S. Veletsos, 12/87, (PB88-187786, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0025 "Proceedings from the Symposium on Seismic Hazards, Ground Motions, Soil-Liquefaction and Engineering Practice in Eastern North America," October 20-22, 1987, edited by K.H. Jacob, 12/87, (PB88-188115, A23, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0026 "Report on the Whittier-Narrows, California, Earthquake of October 1, 1987," by J. Pantelic and A. Reinhorn, 11/87, (PB88-187752, A03, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0027 "Design of a Modular Program for Transient Nonlinear Analysis of Large 3-D Building Structures," by S. Srivastav and J.F. Abel, 12/30/87, (PB88-187950, A05, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0028 "Second-Year Program in Research, Education and Technology Transfer," 3/8/88, (PB88-219480, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0001 "Workshop on Seismic Computer Analysis and Design of Buildings With Interactive Graphics," by W. McGuire, J.F. Abel and C.H. Conley, 1/18/88, (PB88-187760, A03, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0002 "Optimal Control of Nonlinear Flexible Structures," by J.N. Yang, F.X. Long and D. Wong, 1/22/88, (PB88-213772, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0003 "Substructuring Techniques in the Time Domain for Primary-Secondary Structural Systems," by G.D. Manolis and G. Juhn, 2/10/88, (PB88-213780, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0004 "Iterative Seismic Analysis of Primary-Secondary Systems," by A. Singhal, L.D. Lutes and P.D. Spanos, 2/23/88, (PB88-213798, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0005 "Stochastic Finite Element Expansion for Random Media," by P.D. Spanos and R. Ghanem, 3/14/88, (PB88-213806, A03, MF-A01).

- NCEER-88-0006 "Combining Structural Optimization and Structural Control," by F.Y. Cheng and C.P. Pantelides, 1/10/88, (PB88-213814, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0007 "Seismic Performance Assessment of Code-Designed Structures," by H.H-M. Hwang, J-W. Jaw and H-J. Shau, 3/20/88, (PB88-219423, A04, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0008 "Reliability Analysis of Code-Designed Structures Under Natural Hazards," by H.H-M. Hwang, H. Ushiba and M. Shinozuka, 2/29/88, (PB88-229471, A07, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0009 "Seismic Fragility Analysis of Shear Wall Structures," by J-W Jaw and H.H-M. Hwang, 4/30/88, (PB89-102867, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0010 "Base Isolation of a Multi-Story Building Under a Harmonic Ground Motion A Comparison of Performances of Various Systems," by F-G Fan, G. Ahmadi and I.G. Tadjbakhsh, 5/18/88, (PB89-122238, A06, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0011 "Seismic Floor Response Spectra for a Combined System by Green's Functions," by F.M. Lavelle, L.A. Bergman and P.D. Spanos, 5/1/88, (PB89-102875, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0012 "A New Solution Technique for Randomly Excited Hysteretic Structures," by G.Q. Cai and Y.K. Lin, 5/16/88, (PB89-102883, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0013 "A Study of Radiation Damping and Soil-Structure Interaction Effects in the Centrifuge," by K. Weissman, supervised by J.H. Prevost, 5/24/88, (PB89-144703, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0014 "Parameter Identification and Implementation of a Kinematic Plasticity Model for Frictional Soils," by J.H. Prevost and D.V. Griffiths, to be published.
- NCEER-88-0015 "Two- and Three- Dimensional Dynamic Finite Element Analyses of the Long Valley Dam," by D.V. Griffiths and J.H. Prevost, 6/17/88, (PB89-144711, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0016 "Damage Assessment of Reinforced Concrete Structures in Eastern United States," by A.M. Reinhorn, M.J. Seidel, S.K. Kunnath and Y.J. Park, 6/15/88, (PB89-122220, A04, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0017 "Dynamic Compliance of Vertically Loaded Strip Foundations in Multilayered Viscoelastic Soils," by S. Ahmad and A.S.M. Israil, 6/17/88, (PB89-102891, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0018 "An Experimental Study of Seismic Structural Response With Added Viscoelastic Dampers," by R.C. Lin, Z. Liang, T.T. Soong and R.H. Zhang, 6/30/88, (PB89-122212, A05, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0019 "Experimental Investigation of Primary Secondary System Interaction," by G.D. Manolis, G. Juhn and A.M. Reinhorn, 5/27/88, (PB89-122204, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0020 "A Response Spectrum Approach For Analysis of Nonclassically Damped Structures," by J.N. Yang, S. Sarkani and F.X. Long, 4/22/88, (PB89-102909, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0021 "Seismic Interaction of Structures and Soils: Stochastic Approach," by A.S. Veletsos and A.M. Prasad, 7/21/88, (PB89-122196, A04, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0022 "Identification of the Serviceability Limit State and Detection of Seismic Structural Damage," by E. DiPasquale and A.S. Cakmak, 6/15/88, (PB89-122188, A05, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0023 "Multi-Hazard Risk Analysis: Case of a Simple Offshore Structure," by B.K. Bhartia and E.H. Vanmarcke, 7/21/88, (PB89-145213, A05, MF-A01).

- NCEER-88-0024 "Automated Seismic Design of Reinforced Concrete Buildings," by Y.S. Chung, C. Meyer and M. Shinozuka, 7/5/88, (PB89-122170, A06, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0025 "Experimental Study of Active Control of MDOF Structures Under Seismic Excitations," by L.L. Chung, R.C. Lin, T.T. Soong and A.M. Reinhorn, 7/10/88, (PB89-122600, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0026 "Earthquake Simulation Tests of a Low-Rise Metal Structure," by J.S. Hwang, K.C. Chang, G.C. Lee and R.L. Ketter, 8/1/88, (PB89-102917, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0027 "Systems Study of Urban Response and Reconstruction Due to Catastrophic Earthquakes," by F. Kozin and H.K. Zhou, 9/22/88, (PB90-162348, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0028 "Seismic Fragility Analysis of Plane Frame Structures," by H.H-M. Hwang and Y.K. Low, 7/31/88, (PB89-131445, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0029 "Response Analysis of Stochastic Structures," by A. Kardara, C. Bucher and M. Shinozuka, 9/22/88, (PB89-174429, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0030 "Nonnormal Accelerations Due to Yielding in a Primary Structure," by D.C.K. Chen and L.D. Lutes, 9/19/88, (PB89-131437, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0031 "Design Approaches for Soil-Structure Interaction," by A.S. Veletsos, A.M. Prasad and Y. Tang, 12/30/88, (PB89-174437, A03, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0032 "A Re-evaluation of Design Spectra for Seismic Damage Control," by C.J. Turkstra and A.G. Tallin, 11/7/88, (PB89-145221, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0033 "The Behavior and Design of Noncontact Lap Splices Subjected to Repeated Inelastic Tensile Loading," by V.E. Sagan, P. Gergely and R.N. White, 12/8/88, (PB89-163737, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0034 "Seismic Response of Pile Foundations," by S.M. Mamoon, P.K. Banerjee and S. Ahmad, 11/1/88, (PB89-145239, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0035 "Modeling of R/C Building Structures With Flexible Floor Diaphragms (IDARC2)," by A.M. Reinhorn, S.K. Kunnath and N. Panahshahi, 9/7/88, (PB89-207153, A07, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0036 "Solution of the Dam-Reservoir Interaction Problem Using a Combination of FEM, BEM with Particular Integrals, Modal Analysis, and Substructuring," by C-S. Tsai, G.C. Lee and R.L. Ketter, 12/31/88, (PB89-207146, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0037 "Optimal Placement of Actuators for Structural Control," by F.Y. Cheng and C.P. Pantelides, 8/15/88, (PB89-162846, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0038 "Teflon Bearings in Aseismic Base Isolation: Experimental Studies and Mathematical Modeling," by A. Mokha, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 12/5/88, (PB89-218457, A10, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0039 "Seismic Behavior of Flat Slab High-Rise Buildings in the New York City Area," by P. Weidlinger and M. Ettouney, 10/15/88, (PB90-145681, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0040 "Evaluation of the Earthquake Resistance of Existing Buildings in New York City," by P. Weidlinger and M. Ettouney, 10/15/88, to be published.
- NCEER-88-0041 "Small-Scale Modeling Techniques for Reinforced Concrete Structures Subjected to Seismic Loads," by W. Kim, A. El-Attar and R.N. White, 11/22/88, (PB89-189625, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0042 "Modeling Strong Ground Motion from Multiple Event Earthquakes," by G.W. Ellis and A.S. Cakmak, 10/15/88, (PB89-174445, A03, MF-A01).

- NCEER-88-0043 "Nonstationary Models of Seismic Ground Acceleration," by M. Grigoriu, S.E. Ruiz and E. Rosenblueth, 7/15/88, (PB89-189617, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0044 "SARCF User's Guide: Seismic Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Frames," by Y.S. Chung, C. Meyer and M. Shinozuka, 11/9/88, (PB89-174452, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0045 "First Expert Panel Meeting on Disaster Research and Planning," edited by J. Pantelic and J. Stoyle, 9/15/88, (PB89-174460, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0046 "Preliminary Studies of the Effect of Degrading Infill Walls on the Nonlinear Seismic Response of Steel Frames," by C.Z. Chrysostomou, P. Gergely and J.F. Abel, 12/19/88, (PB89-208383, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0047 "Reinforced Concrete Frame Component Testing Facility Design, Construction, Instrumentation and Operation," by S.P. Pessiki, C. Conley, T. Bond, P. Gergely and R.N. White, 12/16/88, (PB89-174478, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0001 "Effects of Protective Cushion and Soil Compliancy on the Response of Equipment Within a Seismically Excited Building," by J.A. HoLung, 2/16/89, (PB89-207179, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0002 "Statistical Evaluation of Response Modification Factors for Reinforced Concrete Structures," by H.H-M. Hwang and J-W. Jaw, 2/17/89, (PB89-207187, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0003 "Hysteretic Columns Under Random Excitation," by G-Q. Cai and Y.K. Lin, 1/9/89, (PB89-196513, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0004 "Experimental Study of `Elephant Foot Bulge' Instability of Thin-Walled Metal Tanks," by Z-H. Jia and R.L. Ketter, 2/22/89, (PB89-207195, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0005 "Experiment on Performance of Buried Pipelines Across San Andreas Fault," by J. Isenberg, E. Richardson and T.D. O'Rourke, 3/10/89, (PB89-218440, A04, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-89-0006 "A Knowledge-Based Approach to Structural Design of Earthquake-Resistant Buildings," by M. Subramani, P. Gergely, C.H. Conley, J.F. Abel and A.H. Zaghw, 1/15/89, (PB89-218465, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0007 "Liquefaction Hazards and Their Effects on Buried Pipelines," by T.D. O'Rourke and P.A. Lane, 2/1/89, (PB89-218481, A09, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0008 "Fundamentals of System Identification in Structural Dynamics," by H. Imai, C-B. Yun, O. Maruyama and M. Shinozuka, 1/26/89, (PB89-207211, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0009 "Effects of the 1985 Michoacan Earthquake on Water Systems and Other Buried Lifelines in Mexico," by A.G. Ayala and M.J. O'Rourke, 3/8/89, (PB89-207229, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-R010 "NCEER Bibliography of Earthquake Education Materials," by K.E.K. Ross, Second Revision, 9/1/89, (PB90-125352, A05, MF-A01). This report is replaced by NCEER-92-0018.
- NCEER-89-0011 "Inelastic Three-Dimensional Response Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Building Structures (IDARC-3D), Part I - Modeling," by S.K. Kunnath and A.M. Reinhorn, 4/17/89, (PB90-114612, A07, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-89-0012 "Recommended Modifications to ATC-14," by C.D. Poland and J.O. Malley, 4/12/89, (PB90-108648, A15, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0013 "Repair and Strengthening of Beam-to-Column Connections Subjected to Earthquake Loading," by M. Corazao and A.J. Durrani, 2/28/89, (PB90-109885, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0014 "Program EXKAL2 for Identification of Structural Dynamic Systems," by O. Maruyama, C-B. Yun, M. Hoshiya and M. Shinozuka, 5/19/89, (PB90-109877, A09, MF-A01).

- NCEER-89-0015 "Response of Frames With Bolted Semi-Rigid Connections, Part I Experimental Study and Analytical Predictions," by P.J. DiCorso, A.M. Reinhorn, J.R. Dickerson, J.B. Radziminski and W.L. Harper, 6/1/89, to be published.
- NCEER-89-0016 "ARMA Monte Carlo Simulation in Probabilistic Structural Analysis," by P.D. Spanos and M.P. Mignolet, 7/10/89, (PB90-109893, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-P017 "Preliminary Proceedings from the Conference on Disaster Preparedness The Place of Earthquake Education in Our Schools," Edited by K.E.K. Ross, 6/23/89, (PB90-108606, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0017 "Proceedings from the Conference on Disaster Preparedness The Place of Earthquake Education in Our Schools," Edited by K.E.K. Ross, 12/31/89, (PB90-207895, A012, MF-A02). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-89-0018 "Multidimensional Models of Hysteretic Material Behavior for Vibration Analysis of Shape Memory Energy Absorbing Devices, by E.J. Graesser and F.A. Cozzarelli, 6/7/89, (PB90-164146, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0019 "Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Three-Dimensional Base Isolated Structures (3D-BASIS)," by S. Nagarajaiah, A.M. Reinhorn and M.C. Constantinou, 8/3/89, (PB90-161936, A06, MF-A01). This report has been replaced by NCEER-93-0011.
- NCEER-89-0020 "Structural Control Considering Time-Rate of Control Forces and Control Rate Constraints," by F.Y. Cheng and C.P. Pantelides, 8/3/89, (PB90-120445, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0021 "Subsurface Conditions of Memphis and Shelby County," by K.W. Ng, T-S. Chang and H-H.M. Hwang, 7/26/89, (PB90-120437, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0022 "Seismic Wave Propagation Effects on Straight Jointed Buried Pipelines," by K. Elhmadi and M.J. O'Rourke, 8/24/89, (PB90-162322, A10, MF-A02).
- NCEER-89-0023 "Workshop on Serviceability Analysis of Water Delivery Systems," edited by M. Grigoriu, 3/6/89, (PB90-127424, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0024 "Shaking Table Study of a 1/5 Scale Steel Frame Composed of Tapered Members," by K.C. Chang, J.S. Hwang and G.C. Lee, 9/18/89, (PB90-160169, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0025 "DYNA1D: A Computer Program for Nonlinear Seismic Site Response Analysis Technical Documentation," by Jean H. Prevost, 9/14/89, (PB90-161944, A07, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-89-0026 "1:4 Scale Model Studies of Active Tendon Systems and Active Mass Dampers for Aseismic Protection," by A.M. Reinhorn, T.T. Soong, R.C. Lin, Y.P. Yang, Y. Fukao, H. Abe and M. Nakai, 9/15/89, (PB90-173246, A10, MF-A02). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-89-0027 "Scattering of Waves by Inclusions in a Nonhomogeneous Elastic Half Space Solved by Boundary Element Methods," by P.K. Hadley, A. Askar and A.S. Cakmak, 6/15/89, (PB90-145699, A07, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0028 "Statistical Evaluation of Deflection Amplification Factors for Reinforced Concrete Structures," by H.H.M. Hwang, J-W. Jaw and A.L. Ch'ng, 8/31/89, (PB90-164633, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0029 "Bedrock Accelerations in Memphis Area Due to Large New Madrid Earthquakes," by H.H.M. Hwang, C.H.S. Chen and G. Yu, 11/7/89, (PB90-162330, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0030 "Seismic Behavior and Response Sensitivity of Secondary Structural Systems," by Y.Q. Chen and T.T. Soong, 10/23/89, (PB90-164658, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0031 "Random Vibration and Reliability Analysis of Primary-Secondary Structural Systems," by Y. Ibrahim, M. Grigoriu and T.T. Soong, 11/10/89, (PB90-161951, A04, MF-A01).

- NCEER-89-0032 "Proceedings from the Second U.S. Japan Workshop on Liquefaction, Large Ground Deformation and Their Effects on Lifelines, September 26-29, 1989," Edited by T.D. O'Rourke and M. Hamada, 12/1/89, (PB90-209388, A22, MF-A03).
- NCEER-89-0033 "Deterministic Model for Seismic Damage Evaluation of Reinforced Concrete Structures," by J.M. Bracci, A.M. Reinhorn, J.B. Mander and S.K. Kunnath, 9/27/89, (PB91-108803, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0034 "On the Relation Between Local and Global Damage Indices," by E. DiPasquale and A.S. Cakmak, 8/15/89, (PB90-173865, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0035 "Cyclic Undrained Behavior of Nonplastic and Low Plasticity Silts," by A.J. Walker and H.E. Stewart, 7/26/89, (PB90-183518, A10, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0036 "Liquefaction Potential of Surficial Deposits in the City of Buffalo, New York," by M. Budhu, R. Giese and L. Baumgrass, 1/17/89, (PB90-208455, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0037 "A Deterministic Assessment of Effects of Ground Motion Incoherence," by A.S. Veletsos and Y. Tang, 7/15/89, (PB90-164294, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0038 "Workshop on Ground Motion Parameters for Seismic Hazard Mapping," July 17-18, 1989, edited by R.V. Whitman, 12/1/89, (PB90-173923, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0039 "Seismic Effects on Elevated Transit Lines of the New York City Transit Authority," by C.J. Costantino, C.A. Miller and E. Heymsfield, 12/26/89, (PB90-207887, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0040 "Centrifugal Modeling of Dynamic Soil-Structure Interaction," by K. Weissman, Supervised by J.H. Prevost, 5/10/89, (PB90-207879, A07, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0041 "Linearized Identification of Buildings With Cores for Seismic Vulnerability Assessment," by I-K. Ho and A.E. Aktan, 11/1/89, (PB90-251943, A07, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0001 "Geotechnical and Lifeline Aspects of the October 17, 1989 Loma Prieta Earthquake in San Francisco," by T.D. O'Rourke, H.E. Stewart, F.T. Blackburn and T.S. Dickerman, 1/90, (PB90-208596, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0002 "Nonnormal Secondary Response Due to Yielding in a Primary Structure," by D.C.K. Chen and L.D. Lutes, 2/28/90, (PB90-251976, A07, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0003 "Earthquake Education Materials for Grades K-12," by K.E.K. Ross, 4/16/90, (PB91-251984, A05, MF-A05). This report has been replaced by NCEER-92-0018.
- NCEER-90-0004 "Catalog of Strong Motion Stations in Eastern North America," by R.W. Busby, 4/3/90, (PB90-251984, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0005 "NCEER Strong-Motion Data Base: A User Manual for the GeoBase Release (Version 1.0 for the Sun3)," by P. Friberg and K. Jacob, 3/31/90 (PB90-258062, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0006 "Seismic Hazard Along a Crude Oil Pipeline in the Event of an 1811-1812 Type New Madrid Earthquake," by H.H.M. Hwang and C-H.S. Chen, 4/16/90, (PB90-258054, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0007 "Site-Specific Response Spectra for Memphis Sheahan Pumping Station," by H.H.M. Hwang and C.S. Lee, 5/15/90, (PB91-108811, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0008 "Pilot Study on Seismic Vulnerability of Crude Oil Transmission Systems," by T. Ariman, R. Dobry, M. Grigoriu, F. Kozin, M. O'Rourke, T. O'Rourke and M. Shinozuka, 5/25/90, (PB91-108837, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0009 "A Program to Generate Site Dependent Time Histories: EQGEN," by G.W. Ellis, M. Srinivasan and A.S. Cakmak, 1/30/90, (PB91-108829, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0010 "Active Isolation for Seismic Protection of Operating Rooms," by M.E. Talbott, Supervised by M. Shinozuka, 6/8/9, (PB91-110205, A05, MF-A01).

- NCEER-90-0011 "Program LINEARID for Identification of Linear Structural Dynamic Systems," by C-B. Yun and M. Shinozuka, 6/25/90, (PB91-110312, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0012 "Two-Dimensional Two-Phase Elasto-Plastic Seismic Response of Earth Dams," by A.N. Yiagos, Supervised by J.H. Prevost, 6/20/90, (PB91-110197, A13, MF-A02).
- NCEER-90-0013 "Secondary Systems in Base-Isolated Structures: Experimental Investigation, Stochastic Response and Stochastic Sensitivity," by G.D. Manolis, G. Juhn, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 7/1/90, (PB91-110320, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0014 "Seismic Behavior of Lightly-Reinforced Concrete Column and Beam-Column Joint Details," by S.P. Pessiki, C.H. Conley, P. Gergely and R.N. White, 8/22/90, (PB91-108795, A11, MF-A02).
- NCEER-90-0015 "Two Hybrid Control Systems for Building Structures Under Strong Earthquakes," by J.N. Yang and A. Danielians, 6/29/90, (PB91-125393, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0016 "Instantaneous Optimal Control with Acceleration and Velocity Feedback," by J.N. Yang and Z. Li, 6/29/90, (PB91-125401, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0017 "Reconnaissance Report on the Northern Iran Earthquake of June 21, 1990," by M. Mehrain, 10/4/90, (PB91-125377, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0018 "Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential in Memphis and Shelby County," by T.S. Chang, P.S. Tang, C.S. Lee and H. Hwang, 8/10/90, (PB91-125427, A09, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0019 "Experimental and Analytical Study of a Combined Sliding Disc Bearing and Helical Steel Spring Isolation System," by M.C. Constantinou, A.S. Mokha and A.M. Reinhorn, 10/4/90, (PB91-125385, A06, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-90-0020 "Experimental Study and Analytical Prediction of Earthquake Response of a Sliding Isolation System with a Spherical Surface," by A.S. Mokha, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 10/11/90, (PB91-125419, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0021 "Dynamic Interaction Factors for Floating Pile Groups," by G. Gazetas, K. Fan, A. Kaynia and E. Kausel, 9/10/90, (PB91-170381, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0022 "Evaluation of Seismic Damage Indices for Reinforced Concrete Structures," by S. Rodriguez-Gomez and A.S. Cakmak, 9/30/90, PB91-171322, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0023 "Study of Site Response at a Selected Memphis Site," by H. Desai, S. Ahmad, E.S. Gazetas and M.R. Oh, 10/11/90, (PB91-196857, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0024 "A User's Guide to Strongmo: Version 1.0 of NCEER's Strong-Motion Data Access Tool for PCs and Terminals," by P.A. Friberg and C.A.T. Susch, 11/15/90, (PB91-171272, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0025 "A Three-Dimensional Analytical Study of Spatial Variability of Seismic Ground Motions," by L-L. Hong and A.H.-S. Ang, 10/30/90, (PB91-170399, A09, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0026 "MUMOID User's Guide A Program for the Identification of Modal Parameters," by S. Rodriguez-Gomez and E. DiPasquale, 9/30/90, (PB91-171298, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0027 "SARCF-II User's Guide Seismic Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Frames," by S. Rodriguez-Gomez, Y.S. Chung and C. Meyer, 9/30/90, (PB91-171280, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0028 "Viscous Dampers: Testing, Modeling and Application in Vibration and Seismic Isolation," by N. Makris and M.C. Constantinou, 12/20/90 (PB91-190561, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0029 "Soil Effects on Earthquake Ground Motions in the Memphis Area," by H. Hwang, C.S. Lee, K.W. Ng and T.S. Chang, 8/2/90, (PB91-190751, A05, MF-A01).

- NCEER-91-0001 "Proceedings from the Third Japan-U.S. Workshop on Earthquake Resistant Design of Lifeline Facilities and Countermeasures for Soil Liquefaction, December 17-19, 1990," edited by T.D. O'Rourke and M. Hamada, 2/1/91, (PB91-179259, A99, MF-A04).
- NCEER-91-0002 "Physical Space Solutions of Non-Proportionally Damped Systems," by M. Tong, Z. Liang and G.C. Lee, 1/15/91, (PB91-179242, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0003 "Seismic Response of Single Piles and Pile Groups," by K. Fan and G. Gazetas, 1/10/91, (PB92-174994, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0004 "Damping of Structures: Part 1 Theory of Complex Damping," by Z. Liang and G. Lee, 10/10/91, (PB92-197235, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-91-0005 "3D-BASIS Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Three Dimensional Base Isolated Structures: Part II," by S. Nagarajaiah, A.M. Reinhorn and M.C. Constantinou, 2/28/91, (PB91-190553, A07, MF-A01). This report has been replaced by NCEER-93-0011.
- NCEER-91-0006 "A Multidimensional Hysteretic Model for Plasticity Deforming Metals in Energy Absorbing Devices," by E.J. Graesser and F.A. Cozzarelli, 4/9/91, (PB92-108364, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0007 "A Framework for Customizable Knowledge-Based Expert Systems with an Application to a KBES for Evaluating the Seismic Resistance of Existing Buildings," by E.G. Ibarra-Anaya and S.J. Fenves, 4/9/91, (PB91-210930, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0008 "Nonlinear Analysis of Steel Frames with Semi-Rigid Connections Using the Capacity Spectrum Method," by G.G. Deierlein, S-H. Hsieh, Y-J. Shen and J.F. Abel, 7/2/91, (PB92-113828, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0009 "Earthquake Education Materials for Grades K-12," by K.E.K. Ross, 4/30/91, (PB91-212142, A06, MF-A01). This report has been replaced by NCEER-92-0018.
- NCEER-91-0010 "Phase Wave Velocities and Displacement Phase Differences in a Harmonically Oscillating Pile," by N. Makris and G. Gazetas, 7/8/91, (PB92-108356, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0011 "Dynamic Characteristics of a Full-Size Five-Story Steel Structure and a 2/5 Scale Model," by K.C. Chang, G.C. Yao, G.C. Lee, D.S. Hao and Y.C. Yeh," 7/2/91, (PB93-116648, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0012 "Seismic Response of a 2/5 Scale Steel Structure with Added Viscoelastic Dampers," by K.C. Chang, T.T. Soong, S-T. Oh and M.L. Lai, 5/17/91, (PB92-110816, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0013 "Earthquake Response of Retaining Walls; Full-Scale Testing and Computational Modeling," by S. Alampalli and A-W.M. Elgamal, 6/20/91, to be published.
- NCEER-91-0014 "3D-BASIS-M: Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Multiple Building Base Isolated Structures," by P.C. Tsopelas, S. Nagarajaiah, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 5/28/91, (PB92-113885, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0015 "Evaluation of SEAOC Design Requirements for Sliding Isolated Structures," by D. Theodossiou and M.C. Constantinou, 6/10/91, (PB92-114602, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-91-0016 "Closed-Loop Modal Testing of a 27-Story Reinforced Concrete Flat Plate-Core Building," by H.R. Somaprasad, T. Toksoy, H. Yoshiyuki and A.E. Aktan, 7/15/91, (PB92-129980, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0017 "Shake Table Test of a 1/6 Scale Two-Story Lightly Reinforced Concrete Building," by A.G. El-Attar, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 2/28/91, (PB92-222447, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0018 "Shake Table Test of a 1/8 Scale Three-Story Lightly Reinforced Concrete Building," by A.G. El-Attar, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 2/28/91, (PB93-116630, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0019 "Transfer Functions for Rigid Rectangular Foundations," by A.S. Veletsos, A.M. Prasad and W.H. Wu, 7/31/91, to be published.

- NCEER-91-0020 "Hybrid Control of Seismic-Excited Nonlinear and Inelastic Structural Systems," by J.N. Yang, Z. Li and A. Danielians, 8/1/91, (PB92-143171, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0021 "The NCEER-91 Earthquake Catalog: Improved Intensity-Based Magnitudes and Recurrence Relations for U.S. Earthquakes East of New Madrid," by L. Seeber and J.G. Armbruster, 8/28/91, (PB92-176742, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0022 "Proceedings from the Implementation of Earthquake Planning and Education in Schools: The Need for Change The Roles of the Changemakers," by K.E.K. Ross and F. Winslow, 7/23/91, (PB92-129998, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-91-0023 "A Study of Reliability-Based Criteria for Seismic Design of Reinforced Concrete Frame Buildings," by H.H.M. Hwang and H-M. Hsu, 8/10/91, (PB92-140235, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0024 "Experimental Verification of a Number of Structural System Identification Algorithms," by R.G. Ghanem, H. Gavin and M. Shinozuka, 9/18/91, (PB92-176577, A18, MF-A04).
- NCEER-91-0025 "Probabilistic Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential," by H.H.M. Hwang and C.S. Lee," 11/25/91, (PB92-143429, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0026 "Instantaneous Optimal Control for Linear, Nonlinear and Hysteretic Structures Stable Controllers," by J.N. Yang and Z. Li, 11/15/91, (PB92-163807, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0027 "Experimental and Theoretical Study of a Sliding Isolation System for Bridges," by M.C. Constantinou, A. Kartoum, A.M. Reinhorn and P. Bradford, 11/15/91, (PB92-176973, A10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-92-0001 "Case Studies of Liquefaction and Lifeline Performance During Past Earthquakes, Volume 1: Japanese Case Studies," Edited by M. Hamada and T. O'Rourke, 2/17/92, (PB92-197243, A18, MF-A04).
- NCEER-92-0002 "Case Studies of Liquefaction and Lifeline Performance During Past Earthquakes, Volume 2: United States Case Studies," Edited by T. O'Rourke and M. Hamada, 2/17/92, (PB92-197250, A20, MF-A04).
- NCEER-92-0003 "Issues in Earthquake Education," Edited by K. Ross, 2/3/92, (PB92-222389, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0004 "Proceedings from the First U.S. Japan Workshop on Earthquake Protective Systems for Bridges," Edited by I.G. Buckle, 2/4/92, (PB94-142239, A99, MF-A06).
- NCEER-92-0005 "Seismic Ground Motion from a Haskell-Type Source in a Multiple-Layered Half-Space," A.P. Theoharis, G. Deodatis and M. Shinozuka, 1/2/92, to be published.
- NCEER-92-0006 "Proceedings from the Site Effects Workshop," Edited by R. Whitman, 2/29/92, (PB92-197201, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0007 "Engineering Evaluation of Permanent Ground Deformations Due to Seismically-Induced Liquefaction," by M.H. Baziar, R. Dobry and A-W.M. Elgamal, 3/24/92, (PB92-222421, A13, MF-A03).
- NCEER-92-0008 "A Procedure for the Seismic Evaluation of Buildings in the Central and Eastern United States," by C.D. Poland and J.O. Malley, 4/2/92, (PB92-222439, A20, MF-A04).
- NCEER-92-0009 "Experimental and Analytical Study of a Hybrid Isolation System Using Friction Controllable Sliding Bearings," by M.Q. Feng, S. Fujii and M. Shinozuka, 5/15/92, (PB93-150282, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0010 "Seismic Resistance of Slab-Column Connections in Existing Non-Ductile Flat-Plate Buildings," by A.J. Durrani and Y. Du, 5/18/92, (PB93-116812, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0011 "The Hysteretic and Dynamic Behavior of Brick Masonry Walls Upgraded by Ferrocement Coatings Under Cyclic Loading and Strong Simulated Ground Motion," by H. Lee and S.P. Prawel, 5/11/92, to be published.
- NCEER-92-0012 "Study of Wire Rope Systems for Seismic Protection of Equipment in Buildings," by G.F. Demetriades, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 5/20/92, (PB93-116655, A08, MF-A02).

- NCEER-92-0013 "Shape Memory Structural Dampers: Material Properties, Design and Seismic Testing," by P.R. Witting and F.A. Cozzarelli, 5/26/92, (PB93-116663, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0014 "Longitudinal Permanent Ground Deformation Effects on Buried Continuous Pipelines," by M.J. O'Rourke, and C. Nordberg, 6/15/92, (PB93-116671, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0015 "A Simulation Method for Stationary Gaussian Random Functions Based on the Sampling Theorem," by M. Grigoriu and S. Balopoulou, 6/11/92, (PB93-127496, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0016 "Gravity-Load-Designed Reinforced Concrete Buildings: Seismic Evaluation of Existing Construction and Detailing Strategies for Improved Seismic Resistance," by G.W. Hoffmann, S.K. Kunnath, A.M. Reinhorn and J.B. Mander, 7/15/92, (PB94-142007, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0017 "Observations on Water System and Pipeline Performance in the Limón Area of Costa Rica Due to the April 22, 1991 Earthquake," by M. O'Rourke and D. Ballantyne, 6/30/92, (PB93-126811, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0018 "Fourth Edition of Earthquake Education Materials for Grades K-12," Edited by K.E.K. Ross, 8/10/92, (PB93-114023, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0019 "Proceedings from the Fourth Japan-U.S. Workshop on Earthquake Resistant Design of Lifeline Facilities and Countermeasures for Soil Liquefaction," Edited by M. Hamada and T.D. O'Rourke, 8/12/92, (PB93-163939, A99, MF-E11).
- NCEER-92-0020 "Active Bracing System: A Full Scale Implementation of Active Control," by A.M. Reinhorn, T.T. Soong, R.C. Lin, M.A. Riley, Y.P. Wang, S. Aizawa and M. Higashino, 8/14/92, (PB93-127512, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0021 "Empirical Analysis of Horizontal Ground Displacement Generated by Liquefaction-Induced Lateral Spreads," by S.F. Bartlett and T.L. Youd, 8/17/92, (PB93-188241, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0022 "IDARC Version 3.0: Inelastic Damage Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Structures," by S.K. Kunnath, A.M. Reinhorn and R.F. Lobo, 8/31/92, (PB93-227502, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0023 "A Semi-Empirical Analysis of Strong-Motion Peaks in Terms of Seismic Source, Propagation Path and Local Site Conditions, by M. Kamiyama, M.J. O'Rourke and R. Flores-Berrones, 9/9/92, (PB93-150266, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0024 "Seismic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures with Nonductile Details, Part I: Summary of Experimental Findings of Full Scale Beam-Column Joint Tests," by A. Beres, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 9/30/92, (PB93-227783, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0025 "Experimental Results of Repaired and Retrofitted Beam-Column Joint Tests in Lightly Reinforced Concrete Frame Buildings," by A. Beres, S. El-Borgi, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 10/29/92, (PB93-227791, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0026 "A Generalization of Optimal Control Theory: Linear and Nonlinear Structures," by J.N. Yang, Z. Li and S. Vongchavalitkul, 11/2/92, (PB93-188621, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0027 "Seismic Resistance of Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures Designed Only for Gravity Loads: Part I -Design and Properties of a One-Third Scale Model Structure," by J.M. Bracci, A.M. Reinhorn and J.B. Mander, 12/1/92, (PB94-104502, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0028 "Seismic Resistance of Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures Designed Only for Gravity Loads: Part II -Experimental Performance of Subassemblages," by L.E. Aycardi, J.B. Mander and A.M. Reinhorn, 12/1/92, (PB94-104510, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0029 "Seismic Resistance of Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures Designed Only for Gravity Loads: Part III -Experimental Performance and Analytical Study of a Structural Model," by J.M. Bracci, A.M. Reinhorn and J.B. Mander, 12/1/92, (PB93-227528, A09, MF-A01).

- NCEER-92-0030 "Evaluation of Seismic Retrofit of Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures: Part I Experimental Performance of Retrofitted Subassemblages," by D. Choudhuri, J.B. Mander and A.M. Reinhorn, 12/8/92, (PB93-198307, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0031 "Evaluation of Seismic Retrofit of Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures: Part II Experimental Performance and Analytical Study of a Retrofitted Structural Model," by J.M. Bracci, A.M. Reinhorn and J.B. Mander, 12/8/92, (PB93-198315, A09, MF-A03).
- NCEER-92-0032 "Experimental and Analytical Investigation of Seismic Response of Structures with Supplemental Fluid Viscous Dampers," by M.C. Constantinou and M.D. Symans, 12/21/92, (PB93-191435, A10, MF-A03). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-92-0033 "Reconnaissance Report on the Cairo, Egypt Earthquake of October 12, 1992," by M. Khater, 12/23/92, (PB93-188621, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0034 "Low-Level Dynamic Characteristics of Four Tall Flat-Plate Buildings in New York City," by H. Gavin, S. Yuan, J. Grossman, E. Pekelis and K. Jacob, 12/28/92, (PB93-188217, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0001 "An Experimental Study on the Seismic Performance of Brick-Infilled Steel Frames With and Without Retrofit," by J.B. Mander, B. Nair, K. Wojtkowski and J. Ma, 1/29/93, (PB93-227510, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0002 "Social Accounting for Disaster Preparedness and Recovery Planning," by S. Cole, E. Pantoja and V. Razak, 2/22/93, (PB94-142114, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-93-0003 "Assessment of 1991 NEHRP Provisions for Nonstructural Components and Recommended Revisions," by T.T. Soong, G. Chen, Z. Wu, R-H. Zhang and M. Grigoriu, 3/1/93, (PB93-188639, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0004 "Evaluation of Static and Response Spectrum Analysis Procedures of SEAOC/UBC for Seismic Isolated Structures," by C.W. Winters and M.C. Constantinou, 3/23/93, (PB93-198299, A10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-93-0005 "Earthquakes in the Northeast Are We Ignoring the Hazard? A Workshop on Earthquake Science and Safety for Educators," edited by K.E.K. Ross, 4/2/93, (PB94-103066, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0006 "Inelastic Response of Reinforced Concrete Structures with Viscoelastic Braces," by R.F. Lobo, J.M. Bracci, K.L. Shen, A.M. Reinhorn and T.T. Soong, 4/5/93, (PB93-227486, A05, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0007 "Seismic Testing of Installation Methods for Computers and Data Processing Equipment," by K. Kosar, T.T. Soong, K.L. Shen, J.A. HoLung and Y.K. Lin, 4/12/93, (PB93-198299, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0008 "Retrofit of Reinforced Concrete Frames Using Added Dampers," by A. Reinhorn, M. Constantinou and C. Li, to be published.
- NCEER-93-0009 "Seismic Behavior and Design Guidelines for Steel Frame Structures with Added Viscoelastic Dampers," by K.C. Chang, M.L. Lai, T.T. Soong, D.S. Hao and Y.C. Yeh, 5/1/93, (PB94-141959, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0010 "Seismic Performance of Shear-Critical Reinforced Concrete Bridge Piers," by J.B. Mander, S.M. Waheed, M.T.A. Chaudhary and S.S. Chen, 5/12/93, (PB93-227494, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0011 "3D-BASIS-TABS: Computer Program for Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Three Dimensional Base Isolated Structures," by S. Nagarajaiah, C. Li, A.M. Reinhorn and M.C. Constantinou, 8/2/93, (PB94-141819, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0012 "Effects of Hydrocarbon Spills from an Oil Pipeline Break on Ground Water," by O.J. Helweg and H.H.M. Hwang, 8/3/93, (PB94-141942, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0013 "Simplified Procedures for Seismic Design of Nonstructural Components and Assessment of Current Code Provisions," by M.P. Singh, L.E. Suarez, E.E. Matheu and G.O. Maldonado, 8/4/93, (PB94-141827, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0014 "An Energy Approach to Seismic Analysis and Design of Secondary Systems," by G. Chen and T.T. Soong, 8/6/93, (PB94-142767, A11, MF-A03).

- NCEER-93-0015 "Proceedings from School Sites: Becoming Prepared for Earthquakes Commemorating the Third Anniversary of the Loma Prieta Earthquake," Edited by F.E. Winslow and K.E.K. Ross, 8/16/93, (PB94-154275, A16, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0016 "Reconnaissance Report of Damage to Historic Monuments in Cairo, Egypt Following the October 12, 1992 Dahshur Earthquake," by D. Sykora, D. Look, G. Croci, E. Karaesmen and E. Karaesmen, 8/19/93, (PB94-142221, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0017 "The Island of Guam Earthquake of August 8, 1993," by S.W. Swan and S.K. Harris, 9/30/93, (PB94-141843, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-93-0018 "Engineering Aspects of the October 12, 1992 Egyptian Earthquake," by A.W. Elgamal, M. Amer, K. Adalier and A. Abul-Fadl, 10/7/93, (PB94-141983, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-93-0019 "Development of an Earthquake Motion Simulator and its Application in Dynamic Centrifuge Testing," by I. Krstelj, Supervised by J.H. Prevost, 10/23/93, (PB94-181773, A-10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-93-0020 "NCEER-Taisei Corporation Research Program on Sliding Seismic Isolation Systems for Bridges: Experimental and Analytical Study of a Friction Pendulum System (FPS)," by M.C. Constantinou, P. Tsopelas, Y-S. Kim and S. Okamoto, 11/1/93, (PB94-142775, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0021 "Finite Element Modeling of Elastomeric Seismic Isolation Bearings," by L.J. Billings, Supervised by R. Shepherd, 11/8/93, to be published.
- NCEER-93-0022 "Seismic Vulnerability of Equipment in Critical Facilities: Life-Safety and Operational Consequences," by K. Porter, G.S. Johnson, M.M. Zadeh, C. Scawthorn and S. Eder, 11/24/93, (PB94-181765, A16, MF-A03).
- NCEER-93-0023 "Hokkaido Nansei-oki, Japan Earthquake of July 12, 1993, by P.I. Yanev and C.R. Scawthorn, 12/23/93, (PB94-181500, A07, MF-A01).
- NCEER-94-0001 "An Evaluation of Seismic Serviceability of Water Supply Networks with Application to the San Francisco Auxiliary Water Supply System," by I. Markov, Supervised by M. Grigoriu and T. O'Rourke, 1/21/94, (PB94-204013, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0002 "NCEER-Taisei Corporation Research Program on Sliding Seismic Isolation Systems for Bridges: Experimental and Analytical Study of Systems Consisting of Sliding Bearings, Rubber Restoring Force Devices and Fluid Dampers," Volumes I and II, by P. Tsopelas, S. Okamoto, M.C. Constantinou, D. Ozaki and S. Fujii, 2/4/94, (PB94-181740, A09, MF-A02 and PB94-181757, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0003 "A Markov Model for Local and Global Damage Indices in Seismic Analysis," by S. Rahman and M. Grigoriu, 2/18/94, (PB94-206000, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0004 "Proceedings from the NCEER Workshop on Seismic Response of Masonry Infills," edited by D.P. Abrams, 3/1/94, (PB94-180783, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0005 "The Northridge, California Earthquake of January 17, 1994: General Reconnaissance Report," edited by J.D. Goltz, 3/11/94, (PB94-193943, A10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0006 "Seismic Energy Based Fatigue Damage Analysis of Bridge Columns: Part I Evaluation of Seismic Capacity," by G.A. Chang and J.B. Mander, 3/14/94, (PB94-219185, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0007 "Seismic Isolation of Multi-Story Frame Structures Using Spherical Sliding Isolation Systems," by T.M. Al-Hussaini, V.A. Zayas and M.C. Constantinou, 3/17/94, (PB94-193745, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0008 "The Northridge, California Earthquake of January 17, 1994: Performance of Highway Bridges," edited by I.G. Buckle, 3/24/94, (PB94-193851, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0009 "Proceedings of the Third U.S.-Japan Workshop on Earthquake Protective Systems for Bridges," edited by I.G. Buckle and I. Friedland, 3/31/94, (PB94-195815, A99, MF-A06).

- NCEER-94-0010 "3D-BASIS-ME: Computer Program for Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Seismically Isolated Single and Multiple Structures and Liquid Storage Tanks," by P.C. Tsopelas, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 4/12/94, (PB94-204922, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0011 "The Northridge, California Earthquake of January 17, 1994: Performance of Gas Transmission Pipelines," by T.D. O'Rourke and M.C. Palmer, 5/16/94, (PB94-204989, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-94-0012 "Feasibility Study of Replacement Procedures and Earthquake Performance Related to Gas Transmission Pipelines," by T.D. O'Rourke and M.C. Palmer, 5/25/94, (PB94-206638, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0013 "Seismic Energy Based Fatigue Damage Analysis of Bridge Columns: Part II Evaluation of Seismic Demand," by G.A. Chang and J.B. Mander, 6/1/94, (PB95-18106, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0014 "NCEER-Taisei Corporation Research Program on Sliding Seismic Isolation Systems for Bridges: Experimental and Analytical Study of a System Consisting of Sliding Bearings and Fluid Restoring Force/Damping Devices," by P. Tsopelas and M.C. Constantinou, 6/13/94, (PB94-219144, A10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0015 "Generation of Hazard-Consistent Fragility Curves for Seismic Loss Estimation Studies," by H. Hwang and J-R. Huo, 6/14/94, (PB95-181996, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0016 "Seismic Study of Building Frames with Added Energy-Absorbing Devices," by W.S. Pong, C.S. Tsai and G.C. Lee, 6/20/94, (PB94-219136, A10, A03).
- NCEER-94-0017 "Sliding Mode Control for Seismic-Excited Linear and Nonlinear Civil Engineering Structures," by J. Yang, J. Wu, A. Agrawal and Z. Li, 6/21/94, (PB95-138483, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0018 "3D-BASIS-TABS Version 2.0: Computer Program for Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Three Dimensional Base Isolated Structures," by A.M. Reinhorn, S. Nagarajaiah, M.C. Constantinou, P. Tsopelas and R. Li, 6/22/94, (PB95-182176, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0019 "Proceedings of the International Workshop on Civil Infrastructure Systems: Application of Intelligent Systems and Advanced Materials on Bridge Systems," Edited by G.C. Lee and K.C. Chang, 7/18/94, (PB95-252474, A20, MF-A04).
- NCEER-94-0020 "Study of Seismic Isolation Systems for Computer Floors," by V. Lambrou and M.C. Constantinou, 7/19/94, (PB95-138533, A10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0021 "Proceedings of the U.S.-Italian Workshop on Guidelines for Seismic Evaluation and Rehabilitation of Unreinforced Masonry Buildings," Edited by D.P. Abrams and G.M. Calvi, 7/20/94, (PB95-138749, A13, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0022 "NCEER-Taisei Corporation Research Program on Sliding Seismic Isolation Systems for Bridges: Experimental and Analytical Study of a System Consisting of Lubricated PTFE Sliding Bearings and Mild Steel Dampers," by P. Tsopelas and M.C. Constantinou, 7/22/94, (PB95-182184, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0023 "Development of Reliability-Based Design Criteria for Buildings Under Seismic Load," by Y.K. Wen, H. Hwang and M. Shinozuka, 8/1/94, (PB95-211934, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0024 "Experimental Verification of Acceleration Feedback Control Strategies for an Active Tendon System," by S.J. Dyke, B.F. Spencer, Jr., P. Quast, M.K. Sain, D.C. Kaspari, Jr. and T.T. Soong, 8/29/94, (PB95-212320, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-94-0025 "Seismic Retrofitting Manual for Highway Bridges," Edited by I.G. Buckle and I.F. Friedland, published by the Federal Highway Administration (PB95-212676, A15, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0026 "Proceedings from the Fifth U.S.-Japan Workshop on Earthquake Resistant Design of Lifeline Facilities and Countermeasures Against Soil Liquefaction," Edited by T.D. O'Rourke and M. Hamada, 11/7/94, (PB95-220802, A99, MF-E08).

- NCEER-95-0001 "Experimental and Analytical Investigation of Seismic Retrofit of Structures with Supplemental Damping: Part 1 - Fluid Viscous Damping Devices," by A.M. Reinhorn, C. Li and M.C. Constantinou, 1/3/95, (PB95-266599, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0002 "Experimental and Analytical Study of Low-Cycle Fatigue Behavior of Semi-Rigid Top-And-Seat Angle Connections," by G. Pekcan, J.B. Mander and S.S. Chen, 1/5/95, (PB95-220042, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0003 "NCEER-ATC Joint Study on Fragility of Buildings," by T. Anagnos, C. Rojahn and A.S. Kiremidjian, 1/20/95, (PB95-220026, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0004 "Nonlinear Control Algorithms for Peak Response Reduction," by Z. Wu, T.T. Soong, V. Gattulli and R.C. Lin, 2/16/95, (PB95-220349, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-95-0005 "Pipeline Replacement Feasibility Study: A Methodology for Minimizing Seismic and Corrosion Risks to Underground Natural Gas Pipelines," by R.T. Eguchi, H.A. Seligson and D.G. Honegger, 3/2/95, (PB95-252326, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0006 "Evaluation of Seismic Performance of an 11-Story Frame Building During the 1994 Northridge Earthquake," by F. Naeim, R. DiSulio, K. Benuska, A. Reinhorn and C. Li, to be published.
- NCEER-95-0007 "Prioritization of Bridges for Seismic Retrofitting," by N. Basöz and A.S. Kiremidjian, 4/24/95, (PB95-252300, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0008 "Method for Developing Motion Damage Relationships for Reinforced Concrete Frames," by A. Singhal and A.S. Kiremidjian, 5/11/95, (PB95-266607, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0009 "Experimental and Analytical Investigation of Seismic Retrofit of Structures with Supplemental Damping: Part II - Friction Devices," by C. Li and A.M. Reinhorn, 7/6/95, (PB96-128087, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-95-0010 "Experimental Performance and Analytical Study of a Non-Ductile Reinforced Concrete Frame Structure Retrofitted with Elastomeric Spring Dampers," by G. Pekcan, J.B. Mander and S.S. Chen, 7/14/95, (PB96-137161, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0011 "Development and Experimental Study of Semi-Active Fluid Damping Devices for Seismic Protection of Structures," by M.D. Symans and M.C. Constantinou, 8/3/95, (PB96-136940, A23, MF-A04).
- NCEER-95-0012 "Real-Time Structural Parameter Modification (RSPM): Development of Innervated Structures," by Z. Liang, M. Tong and G.C. Lee, 4/11/95, (PB96-137153, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-95-0013 "Experimental and Analytical Investigation of Seismic Retrofit of Structures with Supplemental Damping: Part III - Viscous Damping Walls," by A.M. Reinhorn and C. Li, 10/1/95, (PB96-176409, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-95-0014 "Seismic Fragility Analysis of Equipment and Structures in a Memphis Electric Substation," by J-R. Huo and H.H.M. Hwang, 8/10/95, (PB96-128087, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0015 "The Hanshin-Awaji Earthquake of January 17, 1995: Performance of Lifelines," Edited by M. Shinozuka, 11/3/95, (PB96-176383, A15, MF-A03).
- NCEER-95-0016 "Highway Culvert Performance During Earthquakes," by T.L. Youd and C.J. Beckman, available as NCEER-96-0015.
- NCEER-95-0017 "The Hanshin-Awaji Earthquake of January 17, 1995: Performance of Highway Bridges," Edited by I.G. Buckle, 12/1/95, to be published.
- NCEER-95-0018 "Modeling of Masonry Infill Panels for Structural Analysis," by A.M. Reinhorn, A. Madan, R.E. Valles, Y. Reichmann and J.B. Mander, 12/8/95, (PB97-110886, MF-A01, A06).
- NCEER-95-0019 "Optimal Polynomial Control for Linear and Nonlinear Structures," by A.K. Agrawal and J.N. Yang, 12/11/95, (PB96-168737, A07, MF-A02).

- NCEER-95-0020 "Retrofit of Non-Ductile Reinforced Concrete Frames Using Friction Dampers," by R.S. Rao, P. Gergely and R.N. White, 12/22/95, (PB97-133508, A10, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0021 "Parametric Results for Seismic Response of Pile-Supported Bridge Bents," by G. Mylonakis, A. Nikolaou and G. Gazetas, 12/22/95, (PB97-100242, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-95-0022 "Kinematic Bending Moments in Seismically Stressed Piles," by A. Nikolaou, G. Mylonakis and G. Gazetas, 12/23/95, (PB97-113914, MF-A03, A13).
- NCEER-96-0001 "Dynamic Response of Unreinforced Masonry Buildings with Flexible Diaphragms," by A.C. Costley and D.P. Abrams," 10/10/96, (PB97-133573, MF-A03, A15).
- NCEER-96-0002 "State of the Art Review: Foundations and Retaining Structures," by I. Po Lam, to be published.
- NCEER-96-0003 "Ductility of Rectangular Reinforced Concrete Bridge Columns with Moderate Confinement," by N. Wehbe, M. Saiidi, D. Sanders and B. Douglas, 11/7/96, (PB97-133557, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-96-0004 "Proceedings of the Long-Span Bridge Seismic Research Workshop," edited by I.G. Buckle and I.M. Friedland, to be published.
- NCEER-96-0005 "Establish Representative Pier Types for Comprehensive Study: Eastern United States," by J. Kulicki and Z. Prucz, 5/28/96, (PB98-119217, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-96-0006 "Establish Representative Pier Types for Comprehensive Study: Western United States," by R. Imbsen, R.A. Schamber and T.A. Osterkamp, 5/28/96, (PB98-118607, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-96-0007 "Nonlinear Control Techniques for Dynamical Systems with Uncertain Parameters," by R.G. Ghanem and M.I. Bujakov, 5/27/96, (PB97-100259, A17, MF-A03).
- NCEER-96-0008 "Seismic Evaluation of a 30-Year Old Non-Ductile Highway Bridge Pier and Its Retrofit," by J.B. Mander, B. Mahmoodzadegan, S. Bhadra and S.S. Chen, 5/31/96, (PB97-110902, MF-A03, A10).
- NCEER-96-0009 "Seismic Performance of a Model Reinforced Concrete Bridge Pier Before and After Retrofit," by J.B. Mander, J.H. Kim and C.A. Ligozio, 5/31/96, (PB97-110910, MF-A02, A10).
- NCEER-96-0010 "IDARC2D Version 4.0: A Computer Program for the Inelastic Damage Analysis of Buildings," by R.E. Valles, A.M. Reinhorn, S.K. Kunnath, C. Li and A. Madan, 6/3/96, (PB97-100234, A17, MF-A03).
- NCEER-96-0011 "Estimation of the Economic Impact of Multiple Lifeline Disruption: Memphis Light, Gas and Water Division Case Study," by S.E. Chang, H.A. Seligson and R.T. Eguchi, 8/16/96, (PB97-133490, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-96-0012 "Proceedings from the Sixth Japan-U.S. Workshop on Earthquake Resistant Design of Lifeline Facilities and Countermeasures Against Soil Liquefaction, Edited by M. Hamada and T. O'Rourke, 9/11/96, (PB97-133581, A99, MF-A06).
- NCEER-96-0013 "Chemical Hazards, Mitigation and Preparedness in Areas of High Seismic Risk: A Methodology for Estimating the Risk of Post-Earthquake Hazardous Materials Release," by H.A. Seligson, R.T. Eguchi, K.J. Tierney and K. Richmond, 11/7/96, (PB97-133565, MF-A02, A08).
- NCEER-96-0014 "Response of Steel Bridge Bearings to Reversed Cyclic Loading," by J.B. Mander, D-K. Kim, S.S. Chen and G.J. Premus, 11/13/96, (PB97-140735, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-96-0015 "Highway Culvert Performance During Past Earthquakes," by T.L. Youd and C.J. Beckman, 11/25/96, (PB97-133532, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-97-0001 "Evaluation, Prevention and Mitigation of Pounding Effects in Building Structures," by R.E. Valles and A.M. Reinhorn, 2/20/97, (PB97-159552, A14, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0002 "Seismic Design Criteria for Bridges and Other Highway Structures," by C. Rojahn, R. Mayes, D.G. Anderson, J. Clark, J.H. Hom, R.V. Nutt and M.J. O'Rourke, 4/30/97, (PB97-194658, A06, MF-A03).

- NCEER-97-0003 "Proceedings of the U.S.-Italian Workshop on Seismic Evaluation and Retrofit," Edited by D.P. Abrams and G.M. Calvi, 3/19/97, (PB97-194666, A13, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0004 "Investigation of Seismic Response of Buildings with Linear and Nonlinear Fluid Viscous Dampers," by A.A. Seleemah and M.C. Constantinou, 5/21/97, (PB98-109002, A15, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0005 "Proceedings of the Workshop on Earthquake Engineering Frontiers in Transportation Facilities," edited by G.C. Lee and I.M. Friedland, 8/29/97, (PB98-128911, A25, MR-A04).
- NCEER-97-0006 "Cumulative Seismic Damage of Reinforced Concrete Bridge Piers," by S.K. Kunnath, A. El-Bahy, A. Taylor and W. Stone, 9/2/97, (PB98-108814, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0007 "Structural Details to Accommodate Seismic Movements of Highway Bridges and Retaining Walls," by R.A. Imbsen, R.A. Schamber, E. Thorkildsen, A. Kartoum, B.T. Martin, T.N. Rosser and J.M. Kulicki, 9/3/97, (PB98-108996, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-97-0008 "A Method for Earthquake Motion-Damage Relationships with Application to Reinforced Concrete Frames," by A. Singhal and A.S. Kiremidjian, 9/10/97, (PB98-108988, A13, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0009 "Seismic Analysis and Design of Bridge Abutments Considering Sliding and Rotation," by K. Fishman and R. Richards, Jr., 9/15/97, (PB98-108897, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-97-0010 "Proceedings of the FHWA/NCEER Workshop on the National Representation of Seismic Ground Motion for New and Existing Highway Facilities," edited by I.M. Friedland, M.S. Power and R.L. Mayes, 9/22/97, (PB98-128903, A21, MF-A04).
- NCEER-97-0011 "Seismic Analysis for Design or Retrofit of Gravity Bridge Abutments," by K.L. Fishman, R. Richards, Jr. and R.C. Divito, 10/2/97, (PB98-128937, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-97-0012 "Evaluation of Simplified Methods of Analysis for Yielding Structures," by P. Tsopelas, M.C. Constantinou, C.A. Kircher and A.S. Whittaker, 10/31/97, (PB98-128929, A10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0013 "Seismic Design of Bridge Columns Based on Control and Repairability of Damage," by C-T. Cheng and J.B. Mander, 12/8/97, (PB98-144249, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0014 "Seismic Resistance of Bridge Piers Based on Damage Avoidance Design," by J.B. Mander and C-T. Cheng, 12/10/97, (PB98-144223, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-97-0015 "Seismic Response of Nominally Symmetric Systems with Strength Uncertainty," by S. Balopoulou and M. Grigoriu, 12/23/97, (PB98-153422, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0016 "Evaluation of Seismic Retrofit Methods for Reinforced Concrete Bridge Columns," by T.J. Wipf, F.W. Klaiber and F.M. Russo, 12/28/97, (PB98-144215, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0017 "Seismic Fragility of Existing Conventional Reinforced Concrete Highway Bridges," by C.L. Mullen and A.S. Cakmak, 12/30/97, (PB98-153406, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-97-0018 "Loss Assessment of Memphis Buildings," edited by D.P. Abrams and M. Shinozuka, 12/31/97, (PB98-144231, A13, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0019 "Seismic Evaluation of Frames with Infill Walls Using Quasi-static Experiments," by K.M. Mosalam, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 12/31/97, (PB98-153455, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-97-0020 "Seismic Evaluation of Frames with Infill Walls Using Pseudo-dynamic Experiments," by K.M. Mosalam, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 12/31/97, (PB98-153430, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-97-0021 "Computational Strategies for Frames with Infill Walls: Discrete and Smeared Crack Analyses and Seismic Fragility," by K.M. Mosalam, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 12/31/97, (PB98-153414, A10, MF-A02).

- NCEER-97-0022 "Proceedings of the NCEER Workshop on Evaluation of Liquefaction Resistance of Soils," edited by T.L. Youd and I.M. Idriss, 12/31/97, (PB98-155617, A15, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0001 "Extraction of Nonlinear Hysteretic Properties of Seismically Isolated Bridges from Quick-Release Field Tests," by Q. Chen, B.M. Douglas, E.M. Maragakis and I.G. Buckle, 5/26/98, (PB99-118838, A06, MF-A01).
- MCEER-98-0002 "Methodologies for Evaluating the Importance of Highway Bridges," by A. Thomas, S. Eshenaur and J. Kulicki, 5/29/98, (PB99-118846, A10, MF-A02).
- MCEER-98-0003 "Capacity Design of Bridge Piers and the Analysis of Overstrength," by J.B. Mander, A. Dutta and P. Goel, 6/1/98, (PB99-118853, A09, MF-A02).
- MCEER-98-0004 "Evaluation of Bridge Damage Data from the Loma Prieta and Northridge, California Earthquakes," by N. Basoz and A. Kiremidjian, 6/2/98, (PB99-118861, A15, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0005 "Screening Guide for Rapid Assessment of Liquefaction Hazard at Highway Bridge Sites," by T. L. Youd, 6/16/98, (PB99-118879, A06, not available on microfiche).
- MCEER-98-0006 "Structural Steel and Steel/Concrete Interface Details for Bridges," by P. Ritchie, N. Kauhl and J. Kulicki, 7/13/98, (PB99-118945, A06, MF-A01).
- MCEER-98-0007 "Capacity Design and Fatigue Analysis of Confined Concrete Columns," by A. Dutta and J.B. Mander, 7/14/98, (PB99-118960, A14, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0008 "Proceedings of the Workshop on Performance Criteria for Telecommunication Services Under Earthquake Conditions," edited by A.J. Schiff, 7/15/98, (PB99-118952, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-98-0009 "Fatigue Analysis of Unconfined Concrete Columns," by J.B. Mander, A. Dutta and J.H. Kim, 9/12/98, (PB99-123655, A10, MF-A02).
- MCEER-98-0010 "Centrifuge Modeling of Cyclic Lateral Response of Pile-Cap Systems and Seat-Type Abutments in Dry Sands," by A.D. Gadre and R. Dobry, 10/2/98, (PB99-123606, A13, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0011 "IDARC-BRIDGE: A Computational Platform for Seismic Damage Assessment of Bridge Structures," by A.M. Reinhorn, V. Simeonov, G. Mylonakis and Y. Reichman, 10/2/98, (PB99-162919, A15, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0012 "Experimental Investigation of the Dynamic Response of Two Bridges Before and After Retrofitting with Elastomeric Bearings," by D.A. Wendichansky, S.S. Chen and J.B. Mander, 10/2/98, (PB99-162927, A15, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0013 "Design Procedures for Hinge Restrainers and Hinge Sear Width for Multiple-Frame Bridges," by R. Des Roches and G.L. Fenves, 11/3/98, (PB99-140477, A13, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0014 "Response Modification Factors for Seismically Isolated Bridges," by M.C. Constantinou and J.K. Quarshie, 11/3/98, (PB99-140485, A14, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0015 "Proceedings of the U.S.-Italy Workshop on Seismic Protective Systems for Bridges," edited by I.M. Friedland and M.C. Constantinou, 11/3/98, (PB2000-101711, A22, MF-A04).
- MCEER-98-0016 "Appropriate Seismic Reliability for Critical Equipment Systems: Recommendations Based on Regional Analysis of Financial and Life Loss," by K. Porter, C. Scawthorn, C. Taylor and N. Blais, 11/10/98, (PB99-157265, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-98-0017 "Proceedings of the U.S. Japan Joint Seminar on Civil Infrastructure Systems Research," edited by M. Shinozuka and A. Rose, 11/12/98, (PB99-156713, A16, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0018 "Modeling of Pile Footings and Drilled Shafts for Seismic Design," by I. PoLam, M. Kapuskar and D. Chaudhuri, 12/21/98, (PB99-157257, A09, MF-A02).

- MCEER-99-0001 "Seismic Evaluation of a Masonry Infilled Reinforced Concrete Frame by Pseudodynamic Testing," by S.G. Buonopane and R.N. White, 2/16/99, (PB99-162851, A09, MF-A02).
- MCEER-99-0002 "Response History Analysis of Structures with Seismic Isolation and Energy Dissipation Systems: Verification Examples for Program SAP2000," by J. Scheller and M.C. Constantinou, 2/22/99, (PB99-162869, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-99-0003 "Experimental Study on the Seismic Design and Retrofit of Bridge Columns Including Axial Load Effects," by A. Dutta, T. Kokorina and J.B. Mander, 2/22/99, (PB99-162877, A09, MF-A02).
- MCEER-99-0004 "Experimental Study of Bridge Elastomeric and Other Isolation and Energy Dissipation Systems with Emphasis on Uplift Prevention and High Velocity Near-source Seismic Excitation," by A. Kasalanati and M. C. Constantinou, 2/26/99, (PB99-162885, A12, MF-A03).
- MCEER-99-0005 "Truss Modeling of Reinforced Concrete Shear-flexure Behavior," by J.H. Kim and J.B. Mander, 3/8/99, (PB99-163693, A12, MF-A03).
- MCEER-99-0006 "Experimental Investigation and Computational Modeling of Seismic Response of a 1:4 Scale Model Steel Structure with a Load Balancing Supplemental Damping System," by G. Pekcan, J.B. Mander and S.S. Chen, 4/2/99, (PB99-162893, A11, MF-A03).
- MCEER-99-007 "Effect of Vertical Ground Motions on the Structural Response of Highway Bridges," by M.R. Button, C.J. Cronin and R.L. Mayes, 4/10/99, (PB2000-101411, A10, MF-A03).
- MCEER-99-0008 "Seismic Reliability Assessment of Critical Facilities: A Handbook, Supporting Documentation, and Model Code Provisions," by G.S. Johnson, R.E. Sheppard, M.D. Quilici, S.J. Eder and C.R. Scawthorn, 4/12/99, (PB2000-101701, A18, MF-A04).
- MCEER-99-0009 "Impact Assessment of Selected MCEER Highway Project Research on the Seismic Design of Highway Structures," by C. Rojahn, R. Mayes, D.G. Anderson, J.H. Clark, D'Appolonia Engineering, S. Gloyd and R.V. Nutt, 4/14/99, (PB99-162901, A10, MF-A02).
- MCEER-99-0010 "Site Factors and Site Categories in Seismic Codes," by R. Dobry, R. Ramos and M.S. Power, 7/19/99, (PB2000-101705, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-99-0011 "Restrainer Design Procedures for Multi-Span Simply-Supported Bridges," by M.J. Randall, M. Saiidi, E. Maragakis and T. Isakovic, 7/20/99, (PB2000-101702, A10, MF-A02).
- MCEER-99-0012 "Property Modification Factors for Seismic Isolation Bearings," by M.C. Constantinou, P. Tsopelas, A. Kasalanati and E. Wolff, 7/20/99, (PB2000-103387, A11, MF-A03).
- MCEER-99-0013 "Critical Seismic Issues for Existing Steel Bridges," by P. Ritchie, N. Kauhl and J. Kulicki, 7/20/99, (PB2000-101697, A09, MF-A02).
- MCEER-99-0014 "Nonstructural Damage Database," by A. Kao, T.T. Soong and A. Vender, 7/24/99, (PB2000-101407, A06, MF-A01).
- MCEER-99-0015 "Guide to Remedial Measures for Liquefaction Mitigation at Existing Highway Bridge Sites," by H.G. Cooke and J. K. Mitchell, 7/26/99, (PB2000-101703, A11, MF-A03).
- MCEER-99-0016 "Proceedings of the MCEER Workshop on Ground Motion Methodologies for the Eastern United States," edited by N. Abrahamson and A. Becker, 8/11/99, (PB2000-103385, A07, MF-A02).
- MCEER-99-0017 "Quindío, Colombia Earthquake of January 25, 1999: Reconnaissance Report," by A.P. Asfura and P.J. Flores, 10/4/99, (PB2000-106893, A06, MF-A01).
- MCEER-99-0018 "Hysteretic Models for Cyclic Behavior of Deteriorating Inelastic Structures," by M.V. Sivaselvan and A.M. Reinhorn, 11/5/99, (PB2000-103386, A08, MF-A02).

- MCEER-99-0019 "Proceedings of the 7th U.S.- Japan Workshop on Earthquake Resistant Design of Lifeline Facilities and Countermeasures Against Soil Liquefaction," edited by T.D. O'Rourke, J.P. Bardet and M. Hamada, 11/19/99, (PB2000-103354, A99, MF-A06).
- MCEER-99-0020 "Development of Measurement Capability for Micro-Vibration Evaluations with Application to Chip Fabrication Facilities," by G.C. Lee, Z. Liang, J.W. Song, J.D. Shen and W.C. Liu, 12/1/99, (PB2000-105993, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-99-0021 "Design and Retrofit Methodology for Building Structures with Supplemental Energy Dissipating Systems," by G. Pekcan, J.B. Mander and S.S. Chen, 12/31/99, (PB2000-105994, A11, MF-A03).
- MCEER-00-0001 "The Marmara, Turkey Earthquake of August 17, 1999: Reconnaissance Report," edited by C. Scawthorn; with major contributions by M. Bruneau, R. Eguchi, T. Holzer, G. Johnson, J. Mander, J. Mitchell, W. Mitchell, A. Papageorgiou, C. Scaethorn, and G. Webb, 3/23/00, (PB2000-106200, A11, MF-A03).
- MCEER-00-0002 "Proceedings of the MCEER Workshop for Seismic Hazard Mitigation of Health Care Facilities," edited by G.C. Lee, M. Ettouney, M. Grigoriu, J. Hauer and J. Nigg, 3/29/00, (PB2000-106892, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-00-0003 "The Chi-Chi, Taiwan Earthquake of September 21, 1999: Reconnaissance Report," edited by G.C. Lee and C.H. Loh, with major contributions by G.C. Lee, M. Bruneau, I.G. Buckle, S.E. Chang, P.J. Flores, T.D. O'Rourke, M. Shinozuka, T.T. Soong, C-H. Loh, K-C. Chang, Z-J. Chen, J-S. Hwang, M-L. Lin, G-Y. Liu, K-C. Tsai, G.C. Yao and C-L. Yen, 4/30/00, (PB2001-100980, A10, MF-A02).
- MCEER-00-0004 "Seismic Retrofit of End-Sway Frames of Steel Deck-Truss Bridges with a Supplemental Tendon System: Experimental and Analytical Investigation," by G. Pekcan, J.B. Mander and S.S. Chen, 7/1/00, (PB2001-100982, A10, MF-A02).
- MCEER-00-0005 "Sliding Fragility of Unrestrained Equipment in Critical Facilities," by W.H. Chong and T.T. Soong, 7/5/00, (PB2001-100983, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-00-0006 "Seismic Response of Reinforced Concrete Bridge Pier Walls in the Weak Direction," by N. Abo-Shadi, M. Saiidi and D. Sanders, 7/17/00, (PB2001-100981, A17, MF-A03).
- MCEER-00-0007 "Low-Cycle Fatigue Behavior of Longitudinal Reinforcement in Reinforced Concrete Bridge Columns," by J. Brown and S.K. Kunnath, 7/23/00, (PB2001-104392, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-00-0008 "Soil Structure Interaction of Bridges for Seismic Analysis," I. PoLam and H. Law, 9/25/00, (PB2001-105397, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-00-0009 "Proceedings of the First MCEER Workshop on Mitigation of Earthquake Disaster by Advanced Technologies (MEDAT-1), edited by M. Shinozuka, D.J. Inman and T.D. O'Rourke, 11/10/00, (PB2001-105399, A14, MF-A03).
- MCEER-00-0010 "Development and Evaluation of Simplified Procedures for Analysis and Design of Buildings with Passive Energy Dissipation Systems, Revision 01," by O.M. Ramirez, M.C. Constantinou, C.A. Kircher, A.S. Whittaker, M.W. Johnson, J.D. Gomez and C. Chrysostomou, 11/16/01, (PB2001-105523, A23, MF-A04).
- MCEER-00-0011 "Dynamic Soil-Foundation-Structure Interaction Analyses of Large Caissons," by C-Y. Chang, C-M. Mok, Z-L. Wang, R. Settgast, F. Waggoner, M.A. Ketchum, H.M. Gonnermann and C-C. Chin, 12/30/00, (PB2001-104373, A07, MF-A02).
- MCEER-00-0012 "Experimental Evaluation of Seismic Performance of Bridge Restrainers," by A.G. Vlassis, E.M. Maragakis and M. Saiid Saiidi, 12/30/00, (PB2001-104354, A09, MF-A02).
- MCEER-00-0013 "Effect of Spatial Variation of Ground Motion on Highway Structures," by M. Shinozuka, V. Saxena and G. Deodatis, 12/31/00, (PB2001-108755, A13, MF-A03).
- MCEER-00-0014 "A Risk-Based Methodology for Assessing the Seismic Performance of Highway Systems," by S.D. Werner, C.E. Taylor, J.E. Moore, II, J.S. Walton and S. Cho, 12/31/00, (PB2001-108756, A14, MF-A03).

- MCEER-01-0001 "Experimental Investigation of P-Delta Effects to Collapse During Earthquakes," by D. Vian and M. Bruneau, 6/25/01, (PB2002-100534, A17, MF-A03).
- MCEER-01-0002 "Proceedings of the Second MCEER Workshop on Mitigation of Earthquake Disaster by Advanced Technologies (MEDAT-2)," edited by M. Bruneau and D.J. Inman, 7/23/01, (PB2002-100434, A16, MF-A03).
- MCEER-01-0003 "Sensitivity Analysis of Dynamic Systems Subjected to Seismic Loads," by C. Roth and M. Grigoriu, 9/18/01, (PB2003-100884, A12, MF-A03).
- MCEER-01-0004 "Overcoming Obstacles to Implementing Earthquake Hazard Mitigation Policies: Stage 1 Report," by D.J. Alesch and W.J. Petak, 12/17/01, (PB2002-107949, A07, MF-A02).
- MCEER-01-0005 "Updating Real-Time Earthquake Loss Estimates: Methods, Problems and Insights," by C.E. Taylor, S.E. Chang and R.T. Eguchi, 12/17/01, (PB2002-107948, A05, MF-A01).
- MCEER-01-0006 "Experimental Investigation and Retrofit of Steel Pile Foundations and Pile Bents Under Cyclic Lateral Loadings," by A. Shama, J. Mander, B. Blabac and S. Chen, 12/31/01, (PB2002-107950, A13, MF-A03).
- MCEER-02-0001 "Assessment of Performance of Bolu Viaduct in the 1999 Duzce Earthquake in Turkey" by P.C. Roussis, M.C. Constantinou, M. Erdik, E. Durukal and M. Dicleli, 5/8/02, (PB2003-100883, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-02-0002 "Seismic Behavior of Rail Counterweight Systems of Elevators in Buildings," by M.P. Singh, Rildova and L.E. Suarez, 5/27/02. (PB2003-100882, A11, MF-A03).
- MCEER-02-0003 "Development of Analysis and Design Procedures for Spread Footings," by G. Mylonakis, G. Gazetas, S. Nikolaou and A. Chauncey, 10/02/02, (PB2004-101636, A13, MF-A03, CD-A13).
- MCEER-02-0004 "Bare-Earth Algorithms for Use with SAR and LIDAR Digital Elevation Models," by C.K. Huyck, R.T. Eguchi and B. Houshmand, 10/16/02, (PB2004-101637, A07, CD-A07).
- MCEER-02-0005 "Review of Energy Dissipation of Compression Members in Concentrically Braced Frames," by K.Lee and M. Bruneau, 10/18/02, (PB2004-101638, A10, CD-A10).
- MCEER-03-0001 "Experimental Investigation of Light-Gauge Steel Plate Shear Walls for the Seismic Retrofit of Buildings" by J. Berman and M. Bruneau, 5/2/03, (PB2004-101622, A10, MF-A03, CD-A10).
- MCEER-03-0002 "Statistical Analysis of Fragility Curves," by M. Shinozuka, M.Q. Feng, H. Kim, T. Uzawa and T. Ueda, 6/16/03, (PB2004-101849, A09, CD-A09).
- MCEER-03-0003 "Proceedings of the Eighth U.S.-Japan Workshop on Earthquake Resistant Design f Lifeline Facilities and Countermeasures Against Liquefaction," edited by M. Hamada, J.P. Bardet and T.D. O'Rourke, 6/30/03, (PB2004-104386, A99, CD-A99).
- MCEER-03-0004 "Proceedings of the PRC-US Workshop on Seismic Analysis and Design of Special Bridges," edited by L.C. Fan and G.C. Lee, 7/15/03, (PB2004-104387, A14, CD-A14).
- MCEER-03-0005 "Urban Disaster Recovery: A Framework and Simulation Model," by S.B. Miles and S.E. Chang, 7/25/03, (PB2004-104388, A07, CD-A07).
- MCEER-03-0006 "Behavior of Underground Piping Joints Due to Static and Dynamic Loading," by R.D. Meis, M. Maragakis and R. Siddharthan, 11/17/03, (PB2005-102194, A13, MF-A03, CD-A00).
- MCEER-04-0001 "Experimental Study of Seismic Isolation Systems with Emphasis on Secondary System Response and Verification of Accuracy of Dynamic Response History Analysis Methods," by E. Wolff and M. Constantinou, 1/16/04 (PB2005-102195, A99, MF-E08, CD-A00).
- MCEER-04-0002 "Tension, Compression and Cyclic Testing of Engineered Cementitious Composite Materials," by K. Kesner and S.L. Billington, 3/1/04, (PB2005-102196, A08, CD-A08).

- MCEER-04-0003 "Cyclic Testing of Braces Laterally Restrained by Steel Studs to Enhance Performance During Earthquakes," by O.C. Celik, J.W. Berman and M. Bruneau, 3/16/04, (PB2005-102197, A13, MF-A03, CD-A00).
- MCEER-04-0004 "Methodologies for Post Earthquake Building Damage Detection Using SAR and Optical Remote Sensing: Application to the August 17, 1999 Marmara, Turkey Earthquake," by C.K. Huyck, B.J. Adams, S. Cho, R.T. Eguchi, B. Mansouri and B. Houshmand, 6/15/04, (PB2005-104888, A10, CD-A00).
- MCEER-04-0005 "Nonlinear Structural Analysis Towards Collapse Simulation: A Dynamical Systems Approach," by M.V. Sivaselvan and A.M. Reinhorn, 6/16/04, (PB2005-104889, A11, MF-A03, CD-A00).
- MCEER-04-0006 "Proceedings of the Second PRC-US Workshop on Seismic Analysis and Design of Special Bridges," edited by G.C. Lee and L.C. Fan, 6/25/04, (PB2005-104890, A16, CD-A00).
- MCEER-04-0007 "Seismic Vulnerability Evaluation of Axially Loaded Steel Built-up Laced Members," by K. Lee and M. Bruneau, 6/30/04, (PB2005-104891, A16, CD-A00).
- MCEER-04-0008 "Evaluation of Accuracy of Simplified Methods of Analysis and Design of Buildings with Damping Systems for Near-Fault and for Soft-Soil Seismic Motions," by E.A. Pavlou and M.C. Constantinou, 8/16/04, (PB2005-104892, A08, MF-A02, CD-A00).
- MCEER-04-0009 "Assessment of Geotechnical Issues in Acute Care Facilities in California," by M. Lew, T.D. O'Rourke, R. Dobry and M. Koch, 9/15/04, (PB2005-104893, A08, CD-A00).
- MCEER-04-0010 "Scissor-Jack-Damper Energy Dissipation System," by A.N. Sigaher-Boyle and M.C. Constantinou, 12/1/04 (PB2005-108221).
- MCEER-04-0011 "Seismic Retrofit of Bridge Steel Truss Piers Using a Controlled Rocking Approach," by M. Pollino and M. Bruneau, 12/20/04 (PB2006-105795).
- MCEER-05-0001 "Experimental and Analytical Studies of Structures Seismically Isolated with an Uplift-Restraint Isolation System," by P.C. Roussis and M.C. Constantinou, 1/10/05 (PB2005-108222).
- MCEER-05-002 "A Versatile Experimentation Model for Study of Structures Near Collapse Applied to Seismic Evaluation of Irregular Structures," by D. Kusumastuti, A.M. Reinhorn and A. Rutenberg, 3/31/05 (PB2006-101523).
- MCEER-05-0003 "Proceedings of the Third PRC-US Workshop on Seismic Analysis and Design of Special Bridges," edited by L.C. Fan and G.C. Lee, 4/20/05, (PB2006-105796).
- MCEER-05-0004 "Approaches for the Seismic Retrofit of Braced Steel Bridge Piers and Proof-of-Concept Testing of an Eccentrically Braced Frame with Tubular Link," by J.W. Berman and M. Bruneau, 4/21/05 (PB2006-101524).
- MCEER-05-0005 "Simulation of Strong Ground Motions for Seismic Fragility Evaluation of Nonstructural Components in Hospitals," by A. Wanitkorkul and A. Filiatrault, 5/26/05 (PB2006-500027).
- MCEER-05-0006 "Seismic Safety in California Hospitals: Assessing an Attempt to Accelerate the Replacement or Seismic Retrofit of Older Hospital Facilities," by D.J. Alesch, L.A. Arendt and W.J. Petak, 6/6/05 (PB2006-105794).
- MCEER-05-0007 "Development of Seismic Strengthening and Retrofit Strategies for Critical Facilities Using Engineered Cementitious Composite Materials," by K. Kesner and S.L. Billington, 8/29/05 (PB2006-111701).
- MCEER-05-0008 "Experimental and Analytical Studies of Base Isolation Systems for Seismic Protection of Power Transformers," by N. Murota, M.Q. Feng and G-Y. Liu, 9/30/05 (PB2006-111702).
- MCEER-05-0009 "3D-BASIS-ME-MB: Computer Program for Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Seismically Isolated Structures," by P.C. Tsopelas, P.C. Roussis, M.C. Constantinou, R. Buchanan and A.M. Reinhorn, 10/3/05 (PB2006-111703).
- MCEER-05-0010 "Steel Plate Shear Walls for Seismic Design and Retrofit of Building Structures," by D. Vian and M. Bruneau, 12/15/05 (PB2006-111704).

- MCEER-05-0011 "The Performance-Based Design Paradigm," by M.J. Astrella and A. Whittaker, 12/15/05 (PB2006-111705).
- MCEER-06-0001 "Seismic Fragility of Suspended Ceiling Systems," H. Badillo-Almaraz, A.S. Whittaker, A.M. Reinhorn and G.P. Cimellaro, 2/4/06 (PB2006-111706).
- MCEER-06-0002 "Multi-Dimensional Fragility of Structures," by G.P. Cimellaro, A.M. Reinhorn and M. Bruneau, 3/1/06 (PB2007-106974, A09, MF-A02, CD A00).
- MCEER-06-0003 "Built-Up Shear Links as Energy Dissipators for Seismic Protection of Bridges," by P. Dusicka, A.M. Itani and I.G. Buckle, 3/15/06 (PB2006-111708).
- MCEER-06-0004 "Analytical Investigation of the Structural Fuse Concept," by R.E. Vargas and M. Bruneau, 3/16/06 (PB2006-111709).
- MCEER-06-0005 "Experimental Investigation of the Structural Fuse Concept," by R.E. Vargas and M. Bruneau, 3/17/06 (PB2006-111710).
- MCEER-06-0006 "Further Development of Tubular Eccentrically Braced Frame Links for the Seismic Retrofit of Braced Steel Truss Bridge Piers," by J.W. Berman and M. Bruneau, 3/27/06 (PB2007-105147).
- MCEER-06-0007 "REDARS Validation Report," by S. Cho, C.K. Huyck, S. Ghosh and R.T. Eguchi, 8/8/06 (PB2007-106983).
- MCEER-06-0008 "Review of Current NDE Technologies for Post-Earthquake Assessment of Retrofitted Bridge Columns," by J.W. Song, Z. Liang and G.C. Lee, 8/21/06 (PB2007-106984).
- MCEER-06-0009 "Liquefaction Remediation in Silty Soils Using Dynamic Compaction and Stone Columns," by S. Thevanayagam, G.R. Martin, R. Nashed, T. Shenthan, T. Kanagalingam and N. Ecemis, 8/28/06 (PB2007-106985).
- MCEER-06-0010 "Conceptual Design and Experimental Investigation of Polymer Matrix Composite Infill Panels for Seismic Retrofitting," by W. Jung, M. Chiewanichakorn and A.J. Aref, 9/21/06 (PB2007-106986).
- MCEER-06-0011 "A Study of the Coupled Horizontal-Vertical Behavior of Elastomeric and Lead-Rubber Seismic Isolation Bearings," by G.P. Warn and A.S. Whittaker, 9/22/06 (PB2007-108679).
- MCEER-06-0012 "Proceedings of the Fourth PRC-US Workshop on Seismic Analysis and Design of Special Bridges: Advancing Bridge Technologies in Research, Design, Construction and Preservation," Edited by L.C. Fan, G.C. Lee and L. Ziang, 10/12/06 (PB2007-109042).
- MCEER-06-0013 "Cyclic Response and Low Cycle Fatigue Characteristics of Plate Steels," by P. Dusicka, A.M. Itani and I.G. Buckle, 11/1/06 06 (PB2007-106987).
- MCEER-06-0014 "Proceedings of the Second US-Taiwan Bridge Engineering Workshop," edited by W.P. Yen, J. Shen, J-Y. Chen and M. Wang, 11/15/06 (PB2008-500041).
- MCEER-06-0015 "User Manual and Technical Documentation for the REDARSTM Import Wizard," by S. Cho, S. Ghosh, C.K. Huyck and S.D. Werner, 11/30/06 (PB2007-114766).
- MCEER-06-0016 "Hazard Mitigation Strategy and Monitoring Technologies for Urban and Infrastructure Public Buildings: Proceedings of the China-US Workshops," edited by X.Y. Zhou, A.L. Zhang, G.C. Lee and M. Tong, 12/12/06 (PB2008-500018).
- MCEER-07-0001 "Static and Kinetic Coefficients of Friction for Rigid Blocks," by C. Kafali, S. Fathali, M. Grigoriu and A.S. Whittaker, 3/20/07 (PB2007-114767).
- MCEER-07-002 "Hazard Mitigation Investment Decision Making: Organizational Response to Legislative Mandate," by L.A. Arendt, D.J. Alesch and W.J. Petak, 4/9/07 (PB2007-114768).
- MCEER-07-0003 "Seismic Behavior of Bidirectional-Resistant Ductile End Diaphragms with Unbonded Braces in Straight or Skewed Steel Bridges," by O. Celik and M. Bruneau, 4/11/07 (PB2008-105141).

- MCEER-07-0004 "Modeling Pile Behavior in Large Pile Groups Under Lateral Loading," by A.M. Dodds and G.R. Martin, 4/16/07(PB2008-105142).
- MCEER-07-0005 "Experimental Investigation of Blast Performance of Seismically Resistant Concrete-Filled Steel Tube Bridge Piers," by S. Fujikura, M. Bruneau and D. Lopez-Garcia, 4/20/07 (PB2008-105143).
- MCEER-07-0006 "Seismic Analysis of Conventional and Isolated Liquefied Natural Gas Tanks Using Mechanical Analogs," by I.P. Christovasilis and A.S. Whittaker, 5/1/07.
- MCEER-07-0007 "Experimental Seismic Performance Evaluation of Isolation/Restraint Systems for Mechanical Equipment Part 1: Heavy Equipment Study," by S. Fathali and A. Filiatrault, 6/6/07 (PB2008-105144).
- MCEER-07-0008 "Seismic Vulnerability of Timber Bridges and Timber Substructures," by A.A. Sharma, J.B. Mander, I.M. Friedland and D.R. Allicock, 6/7/07 (PB2008-105145).
- MCEER-07-0009 "Experimental and Analytical Study of the XY-Friction Pendulum (XY-FP) Bearing for Bridge Applications," by C.C. Marin-Artieda, A.S. Whittaker and M.C. Constantinou, 6/7/07 (PB2008-105191).
- MCEER-07-0010 "Proceedings of the PRC-US Earthquake Engineering Forum for Young Researchers," Edited by G.C. Lee and X.Z. Qi, 6/8/07 (PB2008-500058).
- MCEER-07-0011 "Design Recommendations for Perforated Steel Plate Shear Walls," by R. Purba and M. Bruneau, 6/18/07, (PB2008-105192).
- MCEER-07-0012 "Performance of Seismic Isolation Hardware Under Service and Seismic Loading," by M.C. Constantinou, A.S. Whittaker, Y. Kalpakidis, D.M. Fenz and G.P. Warn, 8/27/07, (PB2008-105193).
- MCEER-07-0013 "Experimental Evaluation of the Seismic Performance of Hospital Piping Subassemblies," by E.R. Goodwin, E. Maragakis and A.M. Itani, 9/4/07, (PB2008-105194).
- MCEER-07-0014 "A Simulation Model of Urban Disaster Recovery and Resilience: Implementation for the 1994 Northridge Earthquake," by S. Miles and S.E. Chang, 9/7/07, (PB2008-106426).
- MCEER-07-0015 "Statistical and Mechanistic Fragility Analysis of Concrete Bridges," by M. Shinozuka, S. Banerjee and S-H. Kim, 9/10/07, (PB2008-106427).
- MCEER-07-0016 "Three-Dimensional Modeling of Inelastic Buckling in Frame Structures," by M. Schachter and AM. Reinhorn, 9/13/07, (PB2008-108125).
- MCEER-07-0017 "Modeling of Seismic Wave Scattering on Pile Groups and Caissons," by I. Po Lam, H. Law and C.T. Yang, 9/17/07 (PB2008-108150).
- MCEER-07-0018 "Bridge Foundations: Modeling Large Pile Groups and Caissons for Seismic Design," by I. Po Lam, H. Law and G.R. Martin (Coordinating Author), 12/1/07 (PB2008-111190).
- MCEER-07-0019 "Principles and Performance of Roller Seismic Isolation Bearings for Highway Bridges," by G.C. Lee, Y.C. Ou, Z. Liang, T.C. Niu and J. Song, 12/10/07 (PB2009-110466).
- MCEER-07-0020 "Centrifuge Modeling of Permeability and Pinning Reinforcement Effects on Pile Response to Lateral Spreading," by L.L Gonzalez-Lagos, T. Abdoun and R. Dobry, 12/10/07 (PB2008-111191).
- MCEER-07-0021 "Damage to the Highway System from the Pisco, Perú Earthquake of August 15, 2007," by J.S. O'Connor, L. Mesa and M. Nykamp, 12/10/07, (PB2008-108126).
- MCEER-07-0022 "Experimental Seismic Performance Evaluation of Isolation/Restraint Systems for Mechanical Equipment Part 2: Light Equipment Study," by S. Fathali and A. Filiatrault, 12/13/07 (PB2008-111192).
- MCEER-07-0023 "Fragility Considerations in Highway Bridge Design," by M. Shinozuka, S. Banerjee and S.H. Kim, 12/14/07 (PB2008-111193).

MCEER-07-0024	"Performance Estimates for Seismically Isolated Bridges," by G.P. Warn and A.S. Whittaker, 12/30/07 (PB2008-112230).
MCEER-08-0001	"Seismic Performance of Steel Girder Bridge Superstructures with Conventional Cross Frames," by L.P. Carden, A.M. Itani and I.G. Buckle, 1/7/08, (PB2008-112231).
MCEER-08-0002	"Seismic Performance of Steel Girder Bridge Superstructures with Ductile End Cross Frames with Seismic Isolators," by L.P. Carden, A.M. Itani and I.G. Buckle, 1/7/08 (PB2008-112232).
MCEER-08-0003	"Analytical and Experimental Investigation of a Controlled Rocking Approach for Seismic Protection of Bridge Steel Truss Piers," by M. Pollino and M. Bruneau, 1/21/08 (PB2008-112233).
MCEER-08-0004	"Linking Lifeline Infrastructure Performance and Community Disaster Resilience: Models and Multi-Stakeholder Processes," by S.E. Chang, C. Pasion, K. Tatebe and R. Ahmad, 3/3/08 (PB2008-112234).
MCEER-08-0005	"Modal Analysis of Generally Damped Linear Structures Subjected to Seismic Excitations," by J. Song, Y-L. Chu, Z. Liang and G.C. Lee, 3/4/08 (PB2009-102311).
MCEER-08-0006	"System Performance Under Multi-Hazard Environments," by C. Kafali and M. Grigoriu, 3/4/08 (PB2008-112235).
MCEER-08-0007	"Mechanical Behavior of Multi-Spherical Sliding Bearings," by D.M. Fenz and M.C. Constantinou, 3/6/08 (PB2008-112236).
MCEER-08-0008	"Post-Earthquake Restoration of the Los Angeles Water Supply System," by T.H.P. Tabucchi and R.A. Davidson, 3/7/08 (PB2008-112237).
MCEER-08-0009	"Fragility Analysis of Water Supply Systems," by A. Jacobson and M. Grigoriu, 3/10/08 (PB2009-105545).
MCEER-08-0010	"Experimental Investigation of Full-Scale Two-Story Steel Plate Shear Walls with Reduced Beam Section Connections," by B. Qu, M. Bruneau, C-H. Lin and K-C. Tsai, 3/17/08 (PB2009-106368).
MCEER-08-0011	"Seismic Evaluation and Rehabilitation of Critical Components of Electrical Power Systems," S. Ersoy, B. Feizi, A. Ashrafi and M. Ala Saadeghvaziri, 3/17/08 (PB2009-105546).
MCEER-08-0012	"Seismic Behavior and Design of Boundary Frame Members of Steel Plate Shear Walls," by B. Qu and M. Bruneau, 4/26/08 . (PB2009-106744).
MCEER-08-0013	"Development and Appraisal of a Numerical Cyclic Loading Protocol for Quantifying Building System Performance," by A. Filiatrault, A. Wanitkorkul and M. Constantinou, 4/27/08 (PB2009-107906).
MCEER-08-0014	"Structural and Nonstructural Earthquake Design: The Challenge of Integrating Specialty Areas in Designing Complex, Critical Facilities," by W.J. Petak and D.J. Alesch, 4/30/08 (PB2009-107907).
MCEER-08-0015	"Seismic Performance Evaluation of Water Systems," by Y. Wang and T.D. O'Rourke, 5/5/08 (PB2009-107908).
MCEER-08-0016	"Seismic Response Modeling of Water Supply Systems," by P. Shi and T.D. O'Rourke, 5/5/08 (PB2009-107910).
MCEER-08-0017	"Numerical and Experimental Studies of Self-Centering Post-Tensioned Steel Frames," by D. Wang and A. Filiatrault, 5/12/08 (PB2009-110479).
MCEER-08-0018	"Development, Implementation and Verification of Dynamic Analysis Models for Multi-Spherical Sliding Bearings," by D.M. Fenz and M.C. Constantinou, 8/15/08 (PB2009-107911).
MCEER-08-0019	"Performance Assessment of Conventional and Base Isolated Nuclear Power Plants for Earthquake Blast Loadings," by Y.N. Huang, A.S. Whittaker and N. Luco, 10/28/08 (PB2009-107912).

- MCEER-08-0020 "Remote Sensing for Resilient Multi-Hazard Disaster Response Volume I: Introduction to Damage Assessment Methodologies," by B.J. Adams and R.T. Eguchi, 11/17/08 (PB2010-102695).
- MCEER-08-0021 "Remote Sensing for Resilient Multi-Hazard Disaster Response Volume II: Counting the Number of Collapsed Buildings Using an Object-Oriented Analysis: Case Study of the 2003 Bam Earthquake," by L. Gusella, C.K. Huyck and B.J. Adams, 11/17/08 (PB2010-100925).
- MCEER-08-0022 "Remote Sensing for Resilient Multi-Hazard Disaster Response Volume III: Multi-Sensor Image Fusion Techniques for Robust Neighborhood-Scale Urban Damage Assessment," by B.J. Adams and A. McMillan, 11/17/08 (PB2010-100926).
- MCEER-08-0023 "Remote Sensing for Resilient Multi-Hazard Disaster Response Volume IV: A Study of Multi-Temporal and Multi-Resolution SAR Imagery for Post-Katrina Flood Monitoring in New Orleans," by A. McMillan, J.G. Morley, B.J. Adams and S. Chesworth, 11/17/08 (PB2010-100927).
- MCEER-08-0024 "Remote Sensing for Resilient Multi-Hazard Disaster Response Volume V: Integration of Remote Sensing Imagery and VIEWSTM Field Data for Post-Hurricane Charley Building Damage Assessment," by J.A. Womble, K. Mehta and B.J. Adams, 11/17/08 (PB2009-115532).
- MCEER-08-0025 "Building Inventory Compilation for Disaster Management: Application of Remote Sensing and Statistical Modeling," by P. Sarabandi, A.S. Kiremidjian, R.T. Eguchi and B. J. Adams, 11/20/08 (PB2009-110484).
- MCEER-08-0026 "New Experimental Capabilities and Loading Protocols for Seismic Qualification and Fragility Assessment of Nonstructural Systems," by R. Retamales, G. Mosqueda, A. Filiatrault and A. Reinhorn, 11/24/08 (PB2009-110485).
- MCEER-08-0027 "Effects of Heating and Load History on the Behavior of Lead-Rubber Bearings," by I.V. Kalpakidis and M.C. Constantinou, 12/1/08 (PB2009-115533).
- MCEER-08-0028 "Experimental and Analytical Investigation of Blast Performance of Seismically Resistant Bridge Piers," by S.Fujikura and M. Bruneau, 12/8/08 (PB2009-115534).
- MCEER-08-0029 "Evolutionary Methodology for Aseismic Decision Support," by Y. Hu and G. Dargush, 12/15/08.
- MCEER-08-0030 "Development of a Steel Plate Shear Wall Bridge Pier System Conceived from a Multi-Hazard Perspective," by D. Keller and M. Bruneau, 12/19/08 (PB2010-102696).
- MCEER-09-0001 "Modal Analysis of Arbitrarily Damped Three-Dimensional Linear Structures Subjected to Seismic Excitations," by Y.L. Chu, J. Song and G.C. Lee, 1/31/09 (PB2010-100922).
- MCEER-09-0002 "Air-Blast Effects on Structural Shapes," by G. Ballantyne, A.S. Whittaker, A.J. Aref and G.F. Dargush, 2/2/09 (PB2010-102697).
- MCEER-09-0003 "Water Supply Performance During Earthquakes and Extreme Events," by A.L. Bonneau and T.D. O'Rourke, 2/16/09 (PB2010-100923).
- MCEER-09-0004 "Generalized Linear (Mixed) Models of Post-Earthquake Ignitions," by R.A. Davidson, 7/20/09 (PB2010-102698).
- MCEER-09-0005 "Seismic Testing of a Full-Scale Two-Story Light-Frame Wood Building: NEESWood Benchmark Test," by I.P. Christovasilis, A. Filiatrault and A. Wanitkorkul, 7/22/09.
- MCEER-09-0006 "IDARC2D Version 7.0: A Program for the Inelastic Damage Analysis of Structures," by A.M. Reinhorn, H. Roh, M. Sivaselvan, S.K. Kunnath, R.E. Valles, A. Madan, C. Li, R. Lobo and Y.J. Park, 7/28/09 (PB2010-103199).
- MCEER-09-0007 "Enhancements to Hospital Resiliency: Improving Emergency Planning for and Response to Hurricanes," by D.B. Hess and L.A. Arendt, 7/30/09 (PB2010-100924).

- MCEER-09-0008 "Assessment of Base-Isolated Nuclear Structures for Design and Beyond-Design Basis Earthquake Shaking," by Y.N. Huang, A.S. Whittaker, R.P. Kennedy and R.L. Mayes, 8/20/09 (PB2010-102699).
- MCEER-09-0009 "Quantification of Disaster Resilience of Health Care Facilities," by G.P. Cimellaro, C. Fumo, A.M Reinhorn and M. Bruneau, 9/14/09.
- MCEER-09-0010 "Performance-Based Assessment and Design of Squat Reinforced Concrete Shear Walls," by C.K. Gulec and A.S. Whittaker, 9/15/09 (PB2010-102700).
- MCEER-09-0011 "Proceedings of the Fourth US-Taiwan Bridge Engineering Workshop," edited by W.P. Yen, J.J. Shen, T.M. Lee and R.B. Zheng, 10/27/09 (PB2010-500009).
- MCEER-09-0012 "Proceedings of the Special International Workshop on Seismic Connection Details for Segmental Bridge Construction," edited by W. Phillip Yen and George C. Lee, 12/21/09.
- MCEER-10-0001 "Direct Displacement Procedure for Performance-Based Seismic Design of Multistory Woodframe Structures," by W. Pang and D. Rosowsky, 4/26/10.
- MCEER-10-0002 "Simplified Direct Displacement Design of Six-Story NEESWood Capstone Building and Pre-Test Seismic Performance Assessment," by W. Pang, D. Rosowsky, J. van de Lindt and S. Pei, 5/28/10.
- MCEER-10-0003 "Integration of Seismic Protection Systems in Performance-Based Seismic Design of Woodframed Structures," by J.K. Shinde and M.D. Symans, 6/18/10.
- MCEER-10-0004 "Modeling and Seismic Evaluation of Nonstructural Components: Testing Frame for Experimental Evaluation of Suspended Ceiling Systems," by A.M. Reinhorn, K.P. Ryu and G. Maddaloni, 6/30/10.
- MCEER-10-0005 "Analytical Development and Experimental Validation of a Structural-Fuse Bridge Pier Concept," by S. El-Bahey and M. Bruneau, 10/1/10.
- MCEER-10-0006 "A Framework for Defining and Measuring Resilience at the Community Scale: The PEOPLES Resilience Framework," by C.S. Renschler, A.E. Frazier, L.A. Arendt, G.P. Cimellaro, A.M. Reinhorn and M. Bruneau, 10/8/10.


ISSN 1520-295X