Rubric for Ph.D. Dissertation and Defense in SEAS

Program	1	

Attribute	Does Not Meet Expectations If possible, please provide a short explanation for each attribute that you select in this category.	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Overall quality of	☐ Poorly organized	☐ Clearly organized	☐ Well organized
presentation	☐ Poor presentation	☐ Clear presentation	☐ Professional presentation
(<u>Select one</u> box in each	☐ Poor communication skills	☐ Good communication skills	☐ Excellent communication skills
row)	☐ Slides and handouts difficult to read	☐ Slides and handouts clear	☐ Slides and handouts outstanding
Overall breadth of	☐ Presentation unacceptable	☐ Presentation acceptable	☐ Presentation superior
knowledge (Select one box in each	Presentation reveals critical weakness in depth of knowledge in subject matter	Presentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter	Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge
row)	Presentation does not reflect well developed critical thinking skills	Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills	Presentation reveals well developed critical thinking skills
	☐ Presentation is narrow in scope	Presentation reveals the ability to draw from knowledge in several disciplines	Presentation reveals the ability to interconnect and extend knowledge from multiple disciplines
Quality of response to questions	Responses are incomplete or require prompting	☐ Responses are complete	☐ Responses are eloquent
(<u>Select one</u>	☐ Arguments are poorly presented	Arguments are well organized	☐ Arguments are skillfully presented
box in each row)	Respondent exhibits lack of knowledge in subject area	Respondent exhibits adequate knowledge in subject area	Respondent exhibits superior knowledge in subject area
	Responses do not meet level expected of a Ph.D. graduate	Responses meet level expected of a Ph.D.	Responses exceed level of a Ph.D. graduate

Attribute	Does Not Meet Expectations Provide a short explanation for each attribute that you select in this category.	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Overall quality of science	Arguments are incorrect, incoherent, or flawed	☐ Arguments are coherent and clear	☐ Arguments superior
(Select one box in	☐ Objectives are poorly defined	☐ Objectives are clear	☐ Objectives are well defined
each row)	Demonstrates rudimentary critical thinking skills	☐ Demonstrates average critical thinking skills	Exhibits mature, critical thinking skills
	Does not reflect understanding of subject matter and associated literature	Reflects understanding of subject matter and associated literature	Exhibits mastery of subject matter and associated literature
	Demonstrates poor understanding of theoretical concepts	 Demonstrates understanding of theoretical concepts 	Demonstrates mastery of theoretical concepts
	☐ Demonstrates limited originality	☐ Demonstrates originality	☐ Demonstrates exceptional originality
	☐ Demonstrates limited creativity and insight	Demonstrates creativity and insight	☐ Demonstrates exceptional creativity and insight
Contribution to discipline	☐ Limited evidence of discovery	☐ Some evidence of discovery	 Exceptional evidence of discovery
(<u>Select one box in</u> <u>each row</u>)	Limited expansion upon previous research	☐ Builds upon previous research	☐ Greatly extends previous research
	Limited theoretical or applied significance	 Reasonable theoretical or applied significance 	 Exceptional theoretical or applied significance
	☐ Limited publication impact	Reasonable publication impact	 Exceptional publication impact
Quality of writing	☐ Writing is weak	☐ Writing is adequate	☐ Writing is publication quality
(Select one box in each row)	☐ Numerous grammatical and spelling errors apparent	☐ Some grammatical and spelling errors apparent	☐ No grammatical and spelling errors apparent
	☐ Organization is poor	☐ Organization is logical	☐ Organization is excellent
	☐ Documentation is poor	☐ Documentation is adequate	☐ Documentation is excellent