Electrical characterization of atomic layer deposited Al2O3/InN interfaces
Ye Jia, Amir M. Dabiran, and Uttam Singisetti

Citation: Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology A 34, 01A133 (2016); doi: 10.1116/1.4936928
View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1116/1.4936928
View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/avs/journal/jvsta/34/1?ver=pdfcov
Published by the AVS: Science & Technology of Materials, Interfaces, and Processing

Articles you may be interested in
Rectification and tunneling effects enabled by Al2O3 atomic layer deposited on back contact of CdTe solar cells
Appl. Phys. Lett. 107, 013907 (2015); 10.1063/1.4926601

Passivation of In0.53Ga0.47As/ZrO2 interfaces by AlN atomic layer deposition process

Atomic layer deposition of Al2O3 on GaSb using in situ hydrogen plasma exposure

Effective passivation of In0.2Ga0.8As by HfO2 surpassing Al2O3 via in-situ atomic layer deposition

Studies on atomic layer deposition Al2O3/In0.53Ga0.47As interface formation mechanism based on air-gap capacitance-voltage method
Electrical characterization of atomic layer deposited $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$/InN interfaces

Ye Jia  
Electrical Engineering Department, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York 14260

Amir M. Dabiran  
SVT Associates Inc., Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55344

Uttam Singisetti\textsuperscript{a}  
Electrical Engineering Department, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York 14260

(Received 31 August 2015; accepted 19 November 2015; published 2 December 2015)

In this article, we report on the electrical properties of atomic layer deposited $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$/InN interfaces evaluated by capacitance–voltage (C-V), current–voltage (I-V), and x-ray photoemission spectroscopy techniques. I-V characteristics show low leakage currents (300 pA/\textmu m$^2$) in the deposited dielectrics. However, C-V curves show that \textit{ex situ} surface treatments with hydrochloric acid, ammonium sulfide, and hydrobromic acid have little effect on the surface electron accumulation layer, with an estimated interface state density over $4 \times 10^{13}$/cm$^2$. The effect of the surface treatments on valence band offset between $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and InN was also investigated. © 2015 American Vacuum Society. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1116/1.4936928]

I. INTRODUCTION

The low electron effective mass\textsuperscript{1} and predicted high electron velocities\textsuperscript{2–4} in InN make it attractive for future terahertz electronic devices. However, very few experimental electronic devices have been demonstrated because of a number of challenges, which include high unintentional doping,\textsuperscript{5,6} difficulty of p-type doping,\textsuperscript{6,7} and large surface pinning.\textsuperscript{5,7,8} Extreme band bending of InN due to the high surface charge densities impede field effect modulation of the bulk electrons.\textsuperscript{5,7,8} The presence of surface electron accumulation layer also makes it difficult to use conventional methods for determining the bulk carrier properties in p-type InN.\textsuperscript{6,7,10} By optimizing the growth condition and by p-type doping, surface electron concentration at surface has been reduced;\textsuperscript{5,11,12} however, the concentration is still high for device applications.

The large surface accumulation layer has been attributed to the surface defects (In–O and In–In bonds) on the surface.\textsuperscript{9,13–16} Removal of the surface defects by chemical treatments could reduce the pinning in InN. Numerous papers have shown by spectroscopy analysis that acids and bases can effectively remove the native oxide on III–V and III–N semiconductor surface by reacting with the surface atoms.\textsuperscript{15–19} After careful chemical treatments, partially unpinning of the InN has also been reported by spectroscopic analysis.\textsuperscript{15–18} The surface defects can also be removed by \textit{in situ} trimethylaluminum (TMA) prepulsing in an atomic layer deposition (ALD) tool. TMA prepulsing has been successfully used to passivate III–V semiconductors.\textsuperscript{20,21} Recently, the reduction of band bending in InN nanowires has been reported.\textsuperscript{22} P-channel device has also been realized in nanowire and exhibits good field effect modulation of the electrons.\textsuperscript{23,24} However, the FET devices on InN epitaxial film have not been demonstrated.

In this article, we report on the electrical properties of atomic layer deposited $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ on InN surface. ALD deposited high-k oxides are widely used as gate dielectrics in silicon metal oxide semiconductor field effect transistors,\textsuperscript{25–28} and have been used to obtain low interface state density interfaces to III–Vs and GaN.\textsuperscript{21,29–32} Previous report on ALD dielectrics on InN primarily focused on the structural properties.\textsuperscript{33} Here, we focus on the capacitance–voltage (C-V) and current–voltage (I-V) characteristics that are essential to understand in order to achieve practical electronic devices. The effect of surface treatments on C-V, I-V, and band-offset was investigated.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The cross-section schematic of the In-polar InN metal-oxide-semiconductor capacitor (MOSCAP) structure used in this study is shown in Fig. 1(a). A 500 nm of InN layer was grown by plasma assisted molecular beam epitaxy on sapphire substrate with an AlN nucleation layer and 500 nm of GaN buffer. The GaN buffer was grown at 730 °C at a growth rate of 0.7 m/h, while the InN layer was grown at 340 °C with In:N ratio of 1:1 at the growth rate of 0.38 m/h. X-ray diffraction measurements confirm the wurtzite growth. The polarity of the sample was verified by potassium hydroxide (KOH) etching.\textsuperscript{34} Figures 1(b) and 1(c) show the secondary electron microscopy (SEM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM) images of the In-polar InN surface indicating a rough surface.

MOSCAP structures [shown in Fig. 1(a)] were used to study the dielectric–InN interface. A 30 nm layer of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ was deposited by thermal ALD at 300 °C in a Cambridge Savannah S100 system using TMA and water (H$_2$O) precursors. Prior to the deposition of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, the samples were treated by different acids and bases to remove surface defects. Reference 16 reports that hydrochloric acid (HCl) can remove the indium metal adlayer on top of InN and reduce surface pinning significantly in N-polar InN.
Reduced surface bending has also been reported in InN after ammonia sulfide [(NH₄)₂S] treatment because of the formation of In-S dipole near the surface.¹⁵,¹⁷ Hydrobromic acid (HBr) has been used to remove the native oxide on GaAs surface.¹⁹ As discussed previously, in situ TMA prepulsing has also been used to passivate III–V semiconductors. Therefore, we explore the effects of TMA, HCl, (NH₄)₂S, and HBr on the electrical properties of InN MOSCAPs.

All samples were first cleaned by buffered HF for 4 min to remove surface contaminants and oxides. Sample B, C and HBr treatments studied here is given in Table I. All the samples were then treated by HF, HCl, (NH₄)₂S, TMA were pulsed on samples C, D, and E before starting the normal Al₂O₃ deposition. A summary of the various surface treatments studied here is given in Table I. The electrical properties of In-N MOSCAPs.

We calculated the band-offsets of the deposited Al₂O₃ on InN by x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The XPS spectra were acquired by using a Physical Electronic PHI VersaProbe 5000 equipped with a hemispherical energy analyzer. A monochromatic Al Kβ (1486.6 eV) operated at 25.3 W and 15 kV was used. The high resolution spectra were obtained by operating the energy analyzer at a pass energy of 11.75 eV. The energy resolution was 0.025 eV. The acquisition was done under ultrahigh vacuum environment (operating pressure was lower than 4.0 × 10⁻⁶ Pa). Dual charge neutralization was used to correct the surface charging effects. The binding energies were also aligned by setting the C₁s peak in C 1s envelope at 285 eV.³⁵ However, the band offset measurements were not affected by the charging effects.

The valence band offset (VBO) was extracted by the method proposed by Kraut et al.³⁶

\[ \Delta E_v = \left( E_{Al_2O_3}^{1s} - E_{InN}^{3d_{5/2}} \right) + \left( E_{InN}^{3d_{5/2}} - E_{VBM}^{Al_2O_3} \right) - \left( E_{Al_2O_3}^{1s} - E_{VBM}^{InN} \right), \]

(1)

where the superscripts indicate the XPS peaks and the subscripts indicate the corresponding samples. Here, we used Al 2p and In 3d₅/₂ as the core levels in InN and Al₂O₃.

Three different samples were required: 45 nm Al₂O₃ on Si, bare InN, and ~2 nm Al₂O₃ on InN to calculate the term \( \left( E_{Al_2O_3}^{1s} - E_{VBM}^{Al_2O_3} \right) \), \( \left( E_{InN}^{3d_{5/2}} - E_{VBM}^{InN} \right) \), and \( \left( E_{Al_2O_3}^{1s} - E_{InN}^{3d_{5/2}} \right) \), respectively. The conduction band offset (CBO) was giving by

\[ \Delta E_c = \Delta E_g - \Delta E_v, \]

(2)

where the \( \Delta E_g \) is the difference in band gap and \( \Delta E_v \) is the valence band discontinuity. The core level and loss structure of O 1s on the 45 nm Al₂O₃/Si sample were acquired in order to find the band gap of Al₂O₃. From the onset energy of the loss energy spectrum, the band gap of insulator can be found.³⁵

The electrical properties of the interfaces were characterized at room temperature. The current–voltage measurements were done by using Agilent 4155B-41501B semiconductor parameter analyzer. The leaking current was examined in a large voltage range. The capacitance–voltage measurements were carried out using Agilent 4294A Precision Impedance Analyzer, the measurement frequencies were set from 10 kHz to 5 MHz, and the signal level was set to 20 mV root mean square.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figures 2(a)–2(c) show XPS peaks from 45 nm Al₂O₃ on Si, bare InN, and ~2 nm Al₂O₃/InN heterostructure after HF
cleaning, respectively. The XPS spectra were curved fitted with a Voigt band type after Shirley background subtraction with the following limits: binding energy 60 eV, FWHM 60 eV, and 92% Gauss. The valence band maxima (VBM) was found by linearly extrapolating the leading edge of the XPS spectra. In Fig. 2(b), the In 3d peak spectrum showed a strong peak at 443.7 eV corresponding to In–N bond and a second peak at 444.7 eV corresponding to In–O bond, indicating the presence of InO layer on top of InN. The VBM was found to be 0.9 eV below Fermi level, suggesting downward band bending near surface due to the high density of surface states. From XPS spectra, the \( E_{\text{Al}2p} - E_{\text{VBM}} \), \( E_{\text{InN}} - E_{\text{VBM}} \), and \( E_{\text{Al}2p} - E_{\text{In}-\text{O}} \) were calculated to be 71.1, 442.8, and –368.9 eV, giving a VBO (\( \Delta E_v \)) of 2.8 eV. Figure 3 shows the core level and loss structure of O 1s on the 45 nm Al2O3/Si sample. The band gap of Al2O3 was determined to be 7 eV, which is in good agreement with the literature reported values. Taking the band gap of InN to be 0.7 eV, the CBO (\( \Delta E_c \)) was calculated to be 3.5 eV. Table II gives the calculated VBO and CBO between Al2O3 and InN for the different samples. All the samples show a similar VBO of 2.16 ~ 2.24 eV except the HF treated sample. A higher VBO was seen in HF treated sample, which could be due to the insufficient removal of native indium oxides. In fact, all the In 3d peaks showed the presence of In–O bond. It is possible that the oxygen was adsorbed onto the surface during the transfer to ALD chamber after the removal of the native oxide by the acids and bases. Figure 4 shows the XPS spectra of the samples B and C. The ratio of the integrated area of In–N and In–O was calculated to be ~1.5 for sample B and ~1.8 for sample C. The TMA pretreatment did show a reduction in the intensity of In–O peak, but the presence of In–O suggested insufficient pretreatment.

Figure 5 shows the measured I-V of the devices. From –20 V to +8 V, the leakage current was below 0.01 pA/\( \mu \)m². Surface morphology has been reported to lead to leaky dielectrics in N-polar GaN films. No such degradation in the dielectric insulating properties was observed for InN. After +8 V, the current increased rapidly and behaved like diode. Although there was dispersion among different treatments, the leakage was below 300 pA/\( \mu \)m².

The room temperature C-V curve of the InN MOSCAP with TMA pretreatment (sample C) measured at 1 MHz is shown in Fig. 6(a). No indication of depletion of surface electron layer or bulk electron density was observed in the C-V curve. Figure 6(b) shows the relative change in the capacitance \( \Delta C/C = (C - C_{\text{min}})/C_{\text{min}} \) for all the MOSCAPs studied here. All samples showed similar behavior: capacitance first decreased slightly until –1.5 V and further decreased as the voltage increased.

**Table II. Calculation of the VBO and CBO with surface treatments.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>VBO (eV)</th>
<th>CBO (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 4. (Color online) XPS spectra of the 2 nm Al₂O₃/InN heterostructure after HF, HCl, and TMA treatments and after HF and HCl treatments. The TMA treated sample showed reduced In–O bond.

Fig. 5. (Color online) I-V curves of the devices on the InN. I-V curves showed very small current leakage, indicating good insulating property of the dielectric layer.

Fig. 6. (Color online) (a) C-V profile of the device on the TMA pretreated sample. Depletion of electrons does not occur even at large gate bias. (b) Variation of the capacitance with respect to the minimal capacitance. The C-V curves with different treatments show similar trend. Regardless of surface treatments, the percentage of capacitance variation ended at ~5% when bias is ~20 V with no indication of depletion of InN.

Fig. 7. (Color online) Frequency dependent C-V curves measured from (a) sample A and (b) sample C. The C-V curves did not show the depletion of surface electrons.
decreasing of the bias voltage results in increasing of capacitance. Irreversible dielectric breakdown was observed (not shown) at a reverse bias of 23 V. The surface treatments did not seem to significantly modify the pinning to observe bulk electron depletion although some shifts in the peak positions were observed in XPS. The increase in capacitance at higher reverse biases was attributed to the contribution of the interface states to the capacitance ($C_{int}$). The frequency dependent C-V curves of samples A and C are shown in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b), respectively. There is slight drop in $\Delta C/C$ from 10 kHz to 5 MHz; however, the variations were too small to reflect any depletion of the surface electrons. Other samples have similar frequency dispersion curves (not shown). All of the C-V curves did not show any depletion of the surface accumulation layer after surface treatments.

Simulations of C-V profiles were performed at 1 MHz in InN-MOSCAPs using Silvaco Atlas. The oxide layer consisted of 30 nm Al$_2$O$_3$ and 5 nm HfO$_2$. Figure 8(a) shows the schematic of the band diagram of the InN MOSCAP with interface traps. The dielectric constants of Al$_2$O$_3$, HfO$_2$, and InN were 9, 12, and 8.4. The electron affinities of Al$_2$O$_3$, HfO$_2$, and InN were 2.6, 2.8, and 5.8 eV. The work function of Pt was taken to be 5.65 eV. Two levels of the interface traps were inserted at 0.75 and 0.85 eV above valence band maximum. Figure 8(b) shows the simulated C-V curves for interface state densities ($D_{it}$) from $1 \times 10^{13}$ to $6 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$. The densities varied from $1 \times 10^{13}$ to $6 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$. Both the density and location affect the C-V profiles. The interface trap leads to the failure of capacitance or conductance method in evaluating the density of the interface traps.

From the measured C-V profiles, the surface treatments did not show significant change in the pinning of InN. Unlike the reported unpinning by surface treatments analyzed using XPS technique, the InN samples studied here were not transferred to ALD chamber under vacuum. This could lead to oxidation of the InN surface and pinning of the interface. Further oxidation of the surface can also occur during the heating of the samples in ALD chamber where the background pressure was in milliTorr range. Surface treatment followed by vacuum annealing and transfer under vacuum to ALD could lead to unpinning in MOSCAPs.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We studied the capacitance–voltage and current–voltage characteristics of In-polar InN/Al$_2$O$_3$ MOSCAPs with different ex situ surface treatments. Hydrochloric acid, ammonium sulfide, hydrobromic acid, and trimethylaluminum treatments were explored. Although the I-V measurements of the MOSCAPs show low leakage currents, C-V profile indicates no significant change in the pinning of InN surface due to these surface treatments.
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Figure 8. (Color online) (a) Band diagram of the InN-MOSCAP with interface traps. (b) Simulated C-V curves with different interface state densities. The interface traps were inserted at 0.75 and 0.85 eV above valence band maximum. The densities varied from $1 \times 10^{13}$ to $6 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$. (c) Simulated C-V curves with different locations of interface traps with a total density of $4 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$. All the capacitance and conductance values, we can conclude that the interface traps inside our InN sample were distributed in a large energy range above conduction band minimum with a very high density.