



*A National Center of Excellence in Advanced Technology Applications*

ISSN 1520-295X

# Evaluation of Accuracy of Simplified Methods of Analysis and Design of Buildings with Damping Systems for Near-Fault and for Soft-Soil Seismic Motions

by

Eleni A. Pavlou and Michael C. Constantinou

University at Buffalo, State University of New York  
Department of Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering  
Ketter Hall  
Buffalo, New York 14260

Technical Report MCEER-04-0008

August 16, 2004

This research was conducted at the University at Buffalo, State University of New York and was supported primarily by the Earthquake Engineering Research Centers Program of the National Science Foundation under award number EEC-9701471.

## NOTICE

This report was prepared by the University at Buffalo, State University of New York as a result of research sponsored by the Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (MCEER) through a grant from the Earthquake Engineering Research Centers Program of the National Science Foundation under NSF award number EEC-9701471 and other sponsors. Neither MCEER, associates of MCEER, its sponsors, the University at Buffalo, State University of New York, nor any person acting on their behalf:

- a. makes any warranty, express or implied, with respect to the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report or that such use may not infringe upon privately owned rights; or
- b. assumes any liabilities of whatsoever kind with respect to the use of, or the damage resulting from the use of, any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of MCEER, the National Science Foundation, or other sponsors.



---

# **Evaluation of Accuracy of Simplified Methods of Analysis and Design of Buildings with Damping Systems for Near-Fault and for Soft-Soil Seismic Motions**

by

Eleni A. Pavlou<sup>1</sup> and Michael C. Constantinou<sup>2</sup>

Publication Date: August 16, 2004

Submittal Date: March 25, 2004

Technical Report MCEER-04-0008

Task Number 04-2006

NSF Master Contract Number EEC 9701471

- 1 Graduate Research Assistant, Department of Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering, University at Buffalo, State University of New York
- 2 Professor and Chairman, Department of Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering, University at Buffalo, State University of New York

MULTIDISCIPLINARY CENTER FOR EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH  
University at Buffalo, State University of New York  
Red Jacket Quadrangle, Buffalo, NY 14261

---




## Preface

The Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (MCEER) is a national center of excellence in advanced technology applications that is dedicated to the reduction of earthquake losses nationwide. Headquartered at the University at Buffalo, State University of New York, the Center was originally established by the National Science Foundation in 1986, as the National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (NCEER).

Comprising a consortium of researchers from numerous disciplines and institutions throughout the United States, the Center's mission is to reduce earthquake losses through research and the application of advanced technologies that improve engineering, pre-earthquake planning and post-earthquake recovery strategies. Toward this end, the Center coordinates a nationwide program of multidisciplinary team research, education and outreach activities.

MCEER's research is conducted under the sponsorship of two major federal agencies: the National Science Foundation (NSF) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and the State of New York. Significant support is derived from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), other state governments, academic institutions, foreign governments and private industry.

MCEER's NSF-sponsored research objectives are twofold: to increase resilience by developing seismic evaluation and rehabilitation strategies for the post-disaster facilities and systems (hospitals, electrical and water lifelines, and bridges and highways) that society expects to be operational following an earthquake; and to further enhance resilience by developing improved emergency management capabilities to ensure an effective response and recovery following the earthquake (see the figure below).



A cross-program activity focuses on the establishment of an effective experimental and analytical network to facilitate the exchange of information between researchers located in various institutions across the country. These are complemented by, and integrated with, other MCEER activities in education, outreach, technology transfer, and industry partnerships.

*This report assesses the validity of the simplified methods of analysis and design of buildings with damping systems specified in FEMA's National Earthquake Hazard Reduction Program Recommended Provisions for Seismic Regulations for New Buildings and Other Structures issued in 2000 and updated for 2003, and the upcoming ASCE-7 Standard for 2005 when the effects of near-field and soft-soil ground motions are taken into account. The procedures outlined in these documents are largely based on studies that excluded these effects. To determine their impact, both single- and multi-degree-of-freedom structures with linear and nonlinear viscous damping devices were studied using two sets of near-field ground motions and one set of soft-soil ground motions.*

*The study found that the damping coefficient values are accurate or conservative; the ductility demand for near-field and soft-soil motions are very similar to those previously observed for far-field motions; simplified methods of analysis for single-degree-of-freedom systems produce results on displacement and acceleration that are generally of acceptable accuracy or conservative for near-field or soft-soil motions and are very similar to that previously observed for far-field motions; and their application to steel moment frames with linear and nonlinear viscous damping systems provided conservative estimates of drift and predictions for damper forces and member actions in good overall agreement with the average of results of nonlinear response-history analysis.*

## **ABSTRACT**

The effect of near-field and soft-soil ground motions on structures with viscous-damping systems was examined. Damping modification factors for damping ratios up to 100% of critical were obtained for sets of near-field and soft-soil ground motions and compared to the values presented in 2000 NEHRP Recommended Provisions. A study was carried out for the ductility demand in structures without and with damping systems, where the damped buildings were designed for a smaller base shear than conventional buildings in accordance with the 2000 NEHRP Provisions. Nonlinear response-history and simplified methods of the 2000 NEHRP Provisions were used to analyze single-degree-of-freedom systems and 3-story moment frames with linear viscous and nonlinear viscous damping systems to acquire knowledge on the influence of near-field and soft-soil ground motions on the accuracy of simplified methods of analysis.





## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Financial support for this project was provided by the Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research, Buffalo, NY, Task on Rehabilitation Strategies for Buildings. The work was performed under the direction of Technical Subcommittee 12, Base Isolation and Energy Dissipation, of the Building Seismic Safety Council, which was tasked with developing analysis and design procedures for inclusion in the 2000 and 2003 editions of the *NEHRP Recommended Provisions for Seismic Regulations for New Buildings and Other Structures*. The work of Technical Subcommittee 12 was supported by the Federal Emergency Management Agency.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	TITLE	PAGE
1	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
2	<b>GROUND MOTIONS USED IN THIS STUDY</b>	<b>3</b>
	2.1 Near-Field Ground Motions	3
	2.2 Soft-Soil Ground Motions	6
3	<b>MODIFICATION OF RESPONSE SPECTRUM FOR HIGH DAMPING</b>	<b>9</b>
	3.1 Introduction	9
	3.2 Modification of Response Spectrum for High Damping	9
4	<b>DESCRIPTION OF ANALYZED NONLINEAR SYSTEMS</b>	<b>13</b>
	4.1 Single-Degree-of-Freedom Systems	13
	4.2 3-Story Building Frames	16
5	<b>DISPLACEMENT DUCTILITY DEMANDS IN STRUCTURES WITH VISCOUS DAMPING SYSTEMS</b>	<b>21</b>
	5.1 Introduction	21
	5.2 Evaluation of Displacement Ductility Demands in Structures with Viscous Damping Systems	21
6	<b>RESULTS OF ANALYSIS</b>	<b>29</b>
	6.1 Introduction	29
	6.2 Results of Simplified Method of Analysis and Comparison to Results of Nonlinear Response History Analysis	29
	6.2.1 Single-Degree-of-Freedom Systems	29
	6.2.2 3-Story Building Frames	64
7	<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>77</b>
8	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>79</b>



## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
2-1	Average Spectral Acceleration of NF1 Fault-Normal and Fault-Parallel Components	5
2-2	Average Spectral Acceleration of NF2 Fault-Normal and Fault-Parallel Components	5
2-3	Average Spectral Acceleration of Soft-Soil Ground Motions	7
3-1	Comparison of Calculated Damping Coefficients for NF1 and NF2 Sets of Near-Field Motions	10
3-2	Calculated and NEHRP Damping Coefficient for NF1 Fault-Normal Components	11
3-3	Calculated and NEHRP Damping Coefficients for NF1 Fault-Parallel Components	11
3-4	Calculated and NEHRP Damping Coefficients for Soft-Soil Ground Motions	12
4-1	Behavior of Analyzed Single-Degree-of-Freedom Systems	14
4-2	Frame 3S-75 with Linear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Damping Ratio when Assuming Elastic Frame Behavior	17
4-3	Frame 3S-100 with Linear Viscous Damping System to Provide 20% Damping Ratio when Assuming Elastic Frame Behavior	17
4-4	Frame 3S-80 with Nonlinear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Damping Ratio when Assuming Elastic Frame Behavior in NF1 Fault-Normal Components (for NF1 Fault-Parallel Components, the Damping ratio is 14%)	18
4-5	Frame 3S-80 with Nonlinear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Damping Ratio when Assuming Elastic Frame Behavior in Soft-Soil Motions	18

## LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
4-6	Frame 3S-80 with Nonlinear Viscous Damping System to Provide 20% Damping Ratio when Assuming Elastic Frame Behavior	19
5-1	Comparison of Average Displacement Ductility Ratio for 5%- and 20%-Damped Systems – NF1 Fault-Normal Components	23
5-2	Comparison of Average Displacement Ductility Ratio for 5%- and 30%-Damped Systems – NF1 Fault-Normal Components	23
5-3	Comparison of Maximum, Average, and Minimum Displacement Ductility Ratios of 5%- and 20%-Damped Systems with $\alpha = 0.05$ – NF1 Fault-Normal Components	24
5-4	Comparison of Average Displacement Ductility Ratio for 5%- and 20%-Damped Systems – NF1 Fault-Parallel Components	24
5-5	Comparison of Average Displacement Ductility Ratio for 5%- and 30%-Damped Systems – NF1 Fault-Parallel Components	25
5-6	Comparison of Maximum, Average, and Minimum Displacement Ductility Ratios of 5%- and 20%-Damped Systems with $\alpha = 0.05$ – NF1 Fault-Parallel Components	25
5-7	Comparison of Average Displacement Ductility Ratio for 5%- and 20%-Damped Systems – Soft-Soil Earthquake Motions	26
5-8	Comparison of Average Displacement Ductility Ratio for 5%- and 30%-Damped Systems – Soft-Soil Earthquake Motions	26
5-9	Comparison of Maximum, Average, and Minimum Displacement Ductility Ratios of 5%- and 20%-Damped Systems with $\alpha = 0.05$ – Soft-Soil Earthquake Motions	27
6-1	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems without Damping Devices - NF1 Fault-Normal Components	31

## LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
6-2	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_v = 0.15$ - NF1 Fault-Normal Components	32
6-3	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_v = 0.25$ - NF1 Fault-Normal Components	33
6-4	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems without Damping Devices - NF1 Fault-Parallel Components	34
6-5	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_v = 0.15$ - NF1 Fault-Parallel Components	35
6-6	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_v = 0.25$ - NF1 Fault-Parallel Components	36
6-7	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Nonlinear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_v = 0.15$ - NF1 Fault-Normal Components	37
6-8	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems	

## LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
	with Nonlinear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_V = 0.25$ - NF1 Fault-Normal Components	38
6-9	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Nonlinear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_V = 0.15$ - NF1 Fault-Parallel Components	39
6-10	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Nonlinear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_V = 0.25$ - NF1 Fault-Parallel Components	40
6-11	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Elastic Systems without Damping Devices - NF1 Fault-Normal Components	41
6-12	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Elastic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_V = 0.15$ - NF1 Fault-Normal Components	42
6-13	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Elastic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_V = 0.25$ - NF1 Fault-Normal Components	43
6-14	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Elastic Systems without Damping Devices - NF1 Fault-Parallel Components	44
6-15	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Elastic Systems with	



## LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
	Linear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_v = 0.15$ - NF1 Fault-Parallel Components	45
6-16	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Elastic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_v = 0.25$ - NF1 Fault-Parallel Components	46
6-17	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Yielding Damping Devices - NF1 Fault-Normal Components	47
6-18	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Yielding Damping Devices - NF1 Fault-Parallel Components	48
6-19	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems without Damping Devices – NF2 Fault-Normal Components	49
6-20	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_v = 0.15$ - NF2 Fault-Normal Components	50
6-21	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_v = 0.25$ - NF2 Fault-Normal Components	51
6-22	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems without Damping Devices – NF2 Fault-Parallel Components	52

## LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
6-23	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_v = 0.15$ - NF2 Fault-Parallel Components	53
6-24	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_v = 0.25$ - NF2 Fault-Parallel Components	54
6-25	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Nonlinear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_v = 0.15$ - NF2 Fault-Normal Components	55
6-26	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Nonlinear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_v = 0.25$ - NF2 Fault-Normal Components	56
6-27	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Nonlinear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_v = 0.15$ - NF2 Fault-Parallel Components	57
6-28	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Nonlinear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_v = 0.25$ - NF2 Fault-Parallel Components	58

## LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
6-29	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems without Damping Devices – Soft-Soil Motions	59
6-30	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_v = 0.15$ - Soft-Soil Motions	60
6-31	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_v = 0.25$ - Soft-Soil Motions	61
6-32	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Nonlinear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_v = 0.15$ - Soft-Soil Motions	62
6-33	Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Nonlinear Viscous Damping Devices, $\beta_v = 0.25$ - Soft-Soil Motions	63



## LIST OF TABLES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
2-1	First Set of Near-Field Earthquake Histories (NF1)	4
2-2	Second Set of Near-Field Earthquake Histories (NF2)	4
2-3	Soft-Soil Earthquake Histories (SS)	6
4-1	Values of Parameters in Study of Bilinear Hysteretic Frame with Viscous Damping Devices	15
4-2	Values of Parameters in Study of Bilinear Elastic Frame with Linear Viscous Damping Devices	15
4-3	Values of Parameters in Study of Bilinear Hysteretic Frame with Yielding Damping Devices	15
6-1	Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-75 with Linear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Viscous Damping Ratio – NF1 Fault-Normal Components	65
6-2	Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-75 with Linear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Viscous Damping Ratio – NF1 Fault-Parallel Components	66
6-3	Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-75 with Linear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Viscous Damping Ratio – NF2 Fault-Normal Components	67
6-4	Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-75 with Linear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Viscous Damping Ratio – Soft-Soil Scaled Components	68

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>FIGURE</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
6-5	Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-100 with Linear Viscous Damping System to Provide 20% Viscous Damping Ratio – NF1 Fault-Normal Components	69
6-6	Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-100 with Linear Viscous Damping System to Provide 20% Viscous Damping Ratio – NF2 Fault-Normal Components	70
6-7	Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-80 with Nonlinear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Viscous Damping Ratio – NF1 Fault-Normal Components	71
6-8	Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-80 with Nonlinear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Viscous Damping Ratio – NF1 Fault-Parallel Components	72
6-9	Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-80 with Nonlinear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Viscous Damping Ratio – Soft-Soil Scaled Components	73
6-10	Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-80 with Nonlinear Viscous Damping System to Provide 20% Viscous Damping Ratio – NF1 Fault-Normal Components	74
6-11	Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-80 with Nonlinear Viscous Damping System to Provide 20% Viscous Damping Ratio – NF1 Fault-Parallel Components	75

# SECTION 1

## INTRODUCTION

FEMA's *National Earthquake Hazard Reduction Program (NEHRP) Recommended Provisions for Seismic Regulations for New Buildings and Other Structures* of year 2000 (BSSC, 2001), the upcoming Provisions for year 2003, and the upcoming ASCE-7 Standard for year 2005 contain the first simplified methods for analysis and design of buildings with damping systems. These procedures are largely based on studies that excluded the effects of near-field and soft-soil seismic excitations (Ramirez et al., 2001, 2002a, 2002b, 2003, Whittaker et al., 2003). This study concentrates on a systematic assessment of the validity of these methods for these special classes of seismic excitations.

Several studies have lead to the use of passive energy dissipation systems in new and existing construction. However, matters pertaining to how these systems would respond under near-fault and soft-soil seismic excitations have been very limited. The studies of Uriz and Whittaker (2001), Filiatrault et al. (2001), and Miyamoto and Singh (2002) concentrated on the performance of buildings with damping systems in near-field and other motions. Based on response history analyses, these studies concluded that damping systems are effective in reducing deformations and plastic hinge rotations but likely not sufficient to prevent damage in pre-Northridge designed buildings. Several more studies concentrated on the effect of near-fault motions on structures without damping systems, which however, also provide insight into the behavior of structures with damping systems (e.g., Chopra and Chintanapakdee (2001); Iwan et al. (2000); Baez and Miranda (2000); Alavi and Krawinkler (2000); MacRae et al. (2001)).

Recently, Ramirez et al. (2001, 2002a, 2002b, 2003) evaluated the accuracy of simplified methods of analysis of buildings with damping systems. Particularly, the simplified analysis methods of 2000 NEHRP Recommended Procedures (BSSC, 2001) and 1997 NEHRP Guidelines for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings (ATC, 1997) have been evaluated and shown to produce results of acceptable accuracy. However, the studies did not consider near-field and soft-soil earthquake motions so that the conclusions presented

in the Ramirez et al. papers do not necessarily apply to such conditions.

To shed some light on these issues, structures with linear and nonlinear viscous damping systems were employed to examine how the response to near-field and soft-soil ground motions differs from that to far-field motions. This study presents:

- (1) information on three sets of ground motions used in this study: two sets of near-field motions and one set of soft-soil motions;
- (2) a comparison of the values of damping coefficients obtained through analyses with the near-field and soft-soil motions, and the values listed in the *2000 NEHRP Provisions* to modify the 5-percent damped response spectrum for the effects of higher damping;
- (3) a comparison of ductility demands in structures without and with damping systems, where the damped buildings are designed for a smaller base shear than conventional buildings;
- (4) results of the simplified method of analysis for single-degree-of-freedom structures with damping systems, consisting of linear viscous, nonlinear viscous, and yielding damping devices, along with the results of nonlinear response history analysis; and
- (5) a comparison of results of dynamic response history analysis and of simplified analysis of 3-story frames with linear and nonlinear viscous damping systems.



## SECTION 2

### GROUND MOTIONS USED IN THIS STUDY

#### 2.1 Near-Field Ground Motions

Near-field ground motion recordings (e.g., 1994 Northridge, California; 1995 Kobe, Japan; 1999 Izmit, Turkey; 1999 Chi-Chi, Taiwan) have highlighted characteristics that are uniquely different from the far-field ones. These include distinctive pulse-like time histories, high peak velocities, and large ground displacements. Near-field earthquakes recorded along the fault in the direction of rupture usually exhibit forward-directivity effects such as large pulse of motion in the velocity and displacement histories (Somerville, 1999). These pulses are generally more intense on the fault-normal component. Motions recorded at stations located away from the direction of fault rupture do not exhibit such pronounced pulses.

Two ensembles of actual near-field ground motions with both forward and backward directivity, recorded in historic events were considered in this study to cover the range of characteristics contained in typical near-fault ground motions. The first set of near-field ground motions, herein referred to as NF1, assembled by Somerville (1997), includes ten near-field motions on stiff soil selected from a suite of time histories that had been developed for major crustal earthquakes in UBC Zone 4. These time histories represent near-field ground motions from earthquakes having a variety of faulting mechanisms in the magnitude range of 6.7 to 7.5, and distance range of 0 to 10 km. The ground motions have been either recorded or modified to NEHRP site class D conditions. The NF1 earthquake histories are presented in Table 2-1. Figure 2-1 shows the average 5%-damped response spectrum of the NF1 fault-normal and fault-parallel components.

A second ensemble of near-field ground motions, referred to as NF2, is utilized for comparison purposes. The NF2 set of motions is presented in Table 2-2. It includes only Californian records, which are rotated from their original recorded orientation to fault-parallel and fault-normal components and then multiplied by the scale factors presented in Table 2-2. The scale factors were selected by the procedure described in Tsopelas et al. (1997) so that the fault-normal and fault-parallel components represent, on the average, a


**Table 2-1. First Set of Near-Field Earthquake Histories (NF1)**

EARTHQUAKE	STATION	MOMENT MAGNITUDE	CLOSEST TO FAULT RUPTURE DISTANCE (km)
Northridge (1994)	Olive View	6.7	6.4
	Rinaldi	6.7	7.5
Loma Prieta (1989)	Los Gatos	7	3.5
	Lex Dam	7	6.3
Kobe (1995)	Kobe	7	3.4
	Takatori	6.9	4.3
Erzincan (1992)	Erzincan	6.9	2.0
Tabas (1978)	Tabas	7.4	1.2
Landers (1992)	Lucerne	7.4	1.1
Cape Medocino (1992)	Petrolia	7.1	8.5


**Table 2-2. Second Set of Near-Field Earthquake Histories (NF2)**

EARTHQUAKE	STATION	MOMENT MAGNITUDE	CLOSEST TO FAULT RUPTURE DISTANCE (km)	SCALE FACTOR
Imperial Valley (1979)	El Centro Array #6	6.5	1.0	0.657
	El Centro Array #7	6.5	0.6	0.723
Northridge (1994)	Rinaldi	6.7	7.5	0.439
	Sylmar Hospital	6.7	6.4	0.625
	SCS	6.7	6.2	0.556
	SCSE	6.7	6.1	0.608
	Newhall	7.1	7.1	0.691
Loma Prieta (1989)	Corralitos	6.9	5.1	1.356
	Capitola	6.9	14.5	1.519
Cape Medocino (1992)	Petrolia	7.1	8.5	0.823

2000 NEHRP spectrum with  $S_{D1} = 0.6$ ,  $S_{DS} = 1.0$ , and  $T_s = 0.6$  seconds. The selection of the records in ensemble NF2 was made by Lord (1996). Figure 2-2 presents the average 5%-damped response spectra of the NF2 fault-normal and fault-parallel components, and the target NEHRP spectrum.



**Figure 2-1. Average Spectral Acceleration of NF1 Fault-Normal and Fault-Parallel Components**




**Figure 2-2. Average Spectral Acceleration of NF2 Fault-Normal and Fault-Parallel Components**

## 2.2 Soft-Soil Ground Motions

Fourteen horizontal components of seven soft-soil earthquake histories (referred to as SS) were utilized in the analysis. The magnitude of the earthquakes ranged from 6.7 to 7.5; the epicentral distance varied from 16 to 166 km; and site conditions characterized by Site Class E in accordance with the 2000 NEHRP. The applicable design response spectrum, which represents the target design-response spectrum, involved parameters  $S_{D1} = 0.75$ ,  $S_{DS} = 0.8$ , and  $T_s = 0.94$  seconds. These motions were selected and scaled on the basis of the process presented in Tsopelas et al. (1997). Table 2-3 presents the soft-soil motions selected to represent the 2000 NEHRP class E spectrum and their scale factors. Figure 2-3 presents the average spectral values of the 14 soft-soil scaled components. It should be noted that the scaled components represent, on the average, well the 2000 NEHRP Class E spectrum for periods above 1.0 sec, but they are conservative for shorter periods.

**Table 2-3. Soft-Soil Earthquake Histories (SS)**

EARTHQUAKE	STATION	COMP.	SCALE FACTOR	MOMENT MAGNITUDE	CLOSEST TO FAULT RUPTURE DISTANCE (km)
Northridge (1994)	Sylmar	90	0.99	6.7	16
		360	0.59		
Loma Prieta (1989)	Gilroy #3	90	1.74	7.1	32.5
		0	2.20		
	Hollister & Pine	90	2.46	7.1	49.6
		0	1.21		
Landers (1992)	Barstow-Vineyard	90	3.03	7.5	93.5
		0	3.46		
	Amboy	90	4.26	7.5	74.2
		0	3.79		
	Hotsprings	90	4.01	7.5	29.1
		0	3.65		
Southern Alaska (1979)	Yakutat	09	3.20	7.3	166
		279	2.45		



**Figure 2-3. Average Spectral Acceleration of Soft-Soil Ground Motions**



## SECTION 3

### MODIFICATION OF RESPONSE SPECTRUM FOR HIGH DAMPING

#### 3.1 Introduction

Since response spectra are typically specified for 5% viscous damping, it is necessary to modify these spectra if different levels of damping are required. Elastic response spectra for levels of viscous damping greater than 5% were utilized in the analysis of structures, with linear viscous and nonlinear viscous damping systems.

In order to reduce the elastic spectral demands for increases in damping, the damping coefficient  $B$  is used. The values of the damping coefficient that appeared in *2000 NEHRP Provisions* were based on the work of Ramirez et al. (2001), in which near-field and soft-soil ground motions were excluded. In this study, the influence of these types of ground motions is examined.


#### 3.2 Modification of Response Spectrum for High Damping

Linear response-history analyses were carried out for the three sets of earthquake motions (NF1, NF2, SS), to calculate values for the damping coefficient for damping ratios up to 100% of critical, based on the procedure presented in Ramirez et al. (2001). In particular, the value of the damping coefficient  $B$  for a particular period is obtained as the ratio of the average 5%-damped spectral acceleration,  $S_a(T, 0.05)$ , to the average spectral acceleration for a different damping ratio  $\beta$ ,  $S_a(T, \beta)$

$$B = \frac{S_a(T, 0.05)}{S_a(T, \beta)} \quad (3-1)$$


Figure 3-1 presents a comparison between the damping coefficients for damping ratios of 10% to 100%, obtained through linear response history analyses using the two sets of near-field motions, NF1 and NF2. It is apparent that the values of the damping coefficient for both the fault-normal and fault-parallel components of the two sets of ground motions are close despite the substantial differences in the two ensembles of near-field motions used in the study. Based on these results, only damping coefficients calculated for the NF1 set of motions are compared with the damping coefficients presented in *2000*

*NEHRP Recommended Provisions*. Figures 3-2 and 3-3 show the calculated damping coefficients and the coefficients presented in *2000 NEHRP Recommended Provisions*, for the NF1 fault-normal and NF1 fault-parallel components, respectively. The 2000 NEHRP values of coefficients  $B$  are presented in Figures 3-2 and 3-3 for values of  $T_s/5$  equal to 0.24 seconds for fault-parallel and equal to 0.6 seconds for fault-normal components ( $T_s$  is the value of period at the intersection of the acceleration-sensitive and velocity-sensitive regions of the response spectrum and  $T_s/5$  is the cutoff period below which the value of  $B$  linearly reduces to unity). These values of parameter  $T_s$  are consistent with the NF1 fault-parallel and fault-normal average spectra of Figure 2-1, respectively. The difference in period  $T_s$  value between fault-normal and fault-parallel components is generally recognized (e.g., Chopra and Chintanapakdee (2001)). The results in Figures 3-2 and 3-3 demonstrate that with proper selection of parameter  $T_s$ , the 2000 NEHRP values of damping coefficient  $B$  are generally accurate or conservative for near-fault motions and for periods up to 3 seconds. It should also be noted that the 2000 NEHRP values of coefficient  $B$  are unconservative for damping ratios over 40% and for periods above about 2.5 seconds when used for near-fault excitations. However, values of the damping ratio exceeding 40% are typically associated with the higher modes of vibration, for which the period is low.




**Figure 3-1. Comparison of Calculated Damping Coefficients for NF1 and NF2 Sets of Near-Field Motions**






**Figure 3-2. Calculated and NEHRP Damping Coefficient for NF1 Fault-Normal Components**



**Figure 3-3. Calculated and NEHRP Damping Coefficients for NF1 Fault-Parallel Components**

Moreover, damping coefficients for damping ratios up to 100% of critical are calculated using the soft-soil ground motions. Figure 3-4 shows the damping coefficients obtained from the analysis together with the values presented in *2000 NEHRP Recommended Provisions*. The results demonstrate that the 2000 NEHRP values of damping coefficient  $B$  are accurate or conservative for soft-soil ground motions and for periods up to 5 seconds.



**Figure 3-4. Calculated and NEHRP Damping Coefficients for Soft-Soil Ground Motions**

## SECTION 4

### DESCRIPTION OF ANALYZED NONLINEAR SYSTEMS


#### 4.1 Single-Degree-of-Freedom Systems

Single-degree-of-freedom systems with perfect non-degrading bilinear hysteretic behavior and bilinear elastic behavior were considered in this study. Figure 4-1 illustrates the force-displacement relations of the analyzed systems. The behavior of the structural systems exclusive of the damping devices is described by the elastic period,  $T_e = 2\pi\sqrt{D_y/A_y}$ , the post-yielding to elastic stiffness ratio,  $\alpha$ , and the ductility-based portion of the R-factor,  $R_\mu = S_{ae}/A_y$ , where  $S_{ae}$  is the spectral acceleration at period  $T_e$  for a damping ratio of 5% and  $A_y$  represents the acceleration at yield. Each system analyzed was assumed to have 5% inherent viscous damping,  $\beta_i$ .

Analyses were performed on the bilinear hysteretic system with and without supplemental viscous damping. The added viscous damping is characterized by the viscous damping ratio,  $\beta_v$ . Description of the characterization of linear viscous and nonlinear viscous damping devices is presented in Ramirez et al. (2001). The values of the parameters used in the analyses for the bilinear hysteretic system with linear and nonlinear viscous damping devices are presented in Table 4-1. Note that for nonlinear viscous damping devices, the velocity exponent is 0.5.


Moreover, Table 4-2 presents the values of the parameters used in the analyses on the bilinear elastic system with linear viscous damping devices.

In addition, analyses were carried out for the bilinear hysteretic system with yielding damping devices. The parameters used for these systems are tabulated in Table 4-3. Note that for this system two additional parameters are needed: the ratio of the elastic stiffness of the structure inclusive of the damping devices  $K_t$  to the elastic stiffness of the structure exclusive of the damping devices  $K_e$ ,  $K_t/K_e$ , and the ratio of the strength of the damping devices  $F_d$  to the strength of the structural frame  $F_y$ ,  $F_d/F_y$ .




Bilinear Hysteretic Frame

Viscous Damping Devices



Bilinear Elastic Frame

Linear Viscous Damping Devices



Bilinear Hysteretic Frame

Yielding Damping Devices

Figure 4-1. Behavior of Analyzed Single-Degree-of-Freedom Systems

**Table 4-1. Values of Parameters in Study of Bilinear Hysteretic Frame with Viscous Damping Devices**

Elastic Period, $T_e$ (sec)	0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0
Ductility-based Portion of R-Factor, $R_\mu$	2, 3.33, 5
Post Elastic to Elastic Stiffness Ratio, $\alpha$	0.05, 0.15, 0.25, 0.50, 1.00
Inherent Damping Ratio, $\beta_i$	0.05
Added Linear / Nonlinear Viscous Damping Ratio, $\beta_v$	0.15, 0.25

**Table 4-2. Values of Parameters in Study of Bilinear Elastic Frame with Linear Viscous Damping Devices**


Elastic Period, $T_e$ (sec)	0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0
Ductility-based Portion of R-Factor, $R_\mu$	2, 3.33
Post Elastic to Elastic Stiffness Ratio, $\alpha$	0.05
Inherent Damping Ratio, $\beta_i$	0.05
Added Linear / Nonlinear Viscous Damping Ratio, $\beta_v$	0.15, 0.25

**Table 4-3. Values of Parameters in Study of Bilinear Hysteretic Frame with Yielding Damping Devices**


Elastic Period, $T_e$ (sec)	0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0
Ductility-based Portion of R-Factor, $R_\mu$	2, 3.33
Post Elastic to Elastic Stiffness Ratio, $\alpha$	0.05
Inherent Damping Ratio, $\beta_i$	0.05
Stiffness Ratio $K_t/K_e$	2, 6, 10
Strength Ratio $F_d/F_y$	0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5

## 4.2 3-Story Building Frames


In addition to single-degree-of-freedom analyses, analyses were conducted for 3-story special steel moment resisting frames with linear and nonlinear viscous damping systems. The damping system was installed in diagonal configurations. Figures 4-2 through 4-5 present elevations of the frames that are defined as 3S-75, 3S-80, and 3S-100 in Ramirez et al. (2001, 2003). The frames in Figures 4-2, 4-3, and 4-4 and 4-5 were designed to have base shear strength of  $0.75V$ ,  $V$ , and  $0.8V$ , respectively, where  $V$  is the base shear for the building frame without a damping system for seismic excitation described by the NEHRP spectrum with parameters  $S_{DS} = 1.0$ ,  $S_{D1} = 0.6$ , and  $T_s = 0.6$  seconds. Damping systems were then added to these frames and proportioned in accordance with the *2000 NEHRP Recommended Provisions* to satisfy the drift criteria. Specifically, a total of five examples were analyzed: (a) frame 3S-75 with linear viscous damping system to provide 10% viscous damping ratio when assuming elastic frame behavior (Figure 4-2); (b) frame 3S-100 with linear viscous damping system to provide 20% viscous damping ratio when assuming elastic frame behavior (Figure 4-3); (c) frame 3S-80 with nonlinear viscous damping system to provide 10% viscous damping ratio when assuming elastic frame behavior in NF1 fault-normal components. As a result of the nonlinear behavior of the viscous devices the effective damping depends on the amplitude of displacement, which in turn depends on the characteristics of the excitation. To achieve 10% damping ratio, the damping constant  $C$  (see Figure 4-4) had to be set at  $23 \text{ kN} \cdot (\text{s}/\text{mm})^{0.5}$  for the NF1 fault-normal components. The same value was used for the analyses with the NF1 fault-parallel components achieving a damping ratio of 14%; (d) frame 3S-80 with nonlinear viscous damping system to provide 10% viscous damping ratio when assuming elastic frame behavior in soft-soil motions (Figure 4-5); and (e) frame 3S-80 with nonlinear viscous damping system to provide 20% viscous damping ratio when assuming elastic frame behavior (Figure 4-6). Detailed description of the above systems is presented in Ramirez et al. (2001).




**Figure 4-2. Frame 3S-75 with Linear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Damping Ratio when Assuming Elastic Frame Behavior**



**Figure 4-3. Frame 3S-100 with Linear Viscous Damping System to Provide 20% Damping Ratio when Assuming Elastic Frame Behavior**




**Figure 4-4. Frame 3S-80 with Nonlinear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Damping Ratio when Assuming Elastic Frame Behavior in NF1 Fault-Normal Components (for NF1 Fault-Parallel Components, the Damping Ratio is 14%)**



**Figure 4-5. Frame 3S-80 with Nonlinear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Damping Ratio when Assuming Elastic Frame Behavior in Soft-Soil Motions**





**Figure 4-6. Frame 3S-80 with Nonlinear Viscous Damping System to Provide 20% Damping Ratio when Assuming Elastic Frame Behavior in NF1 Fault-Normal Components (for NF1 Fault-Parallel Components, the Damping Ratio is 28.8%)**



## SECTION 5

### DISPLACEMENT DUCTILITY DEMANDS IN STRUCTURES WITH VISCOUS DAMPING SYSTEMS

#### 5.1 Introduction

The *2000 NEHRP Recommended Provisions* permit the design of structures that contain a damping system for a lower base shear than that for the corresponding structure without a damping system. Particularly, the minimum base shear for frames with a damping system is the greater of  $V/B$  or  $0.75V$ , where  $V$  is the seismic base shear of the undamped frame and  $B$  is the damping coefficient for the combined inherent and viscous damping under elastic conditions. This was primarily based on studies that only included the effects of far-field ground motions. Herein, response-history analyses are performed using near-field and soft-soil ground motions, to investigate whether the ductility demand in the damped systems is comparable to that in the corresponding undamped systems, considering that the latter systems are both stiffer and stronger than the damped frame.


#### 5.2 Evaluation of Displacement Ductility Demands in Structures with Viscous Damping Systems

In order to establish values of the displacement ductility demand for the near-field and soft-soil ground motions, nonlinear time history analyses were performed on the ductile single-degree-of-freedom framing systems with and without supplemental linear viscous damping. The yield strength of the damped systems varied between 0.55 ( $B = 1.8$  for  $\beta_v + \beta_i = 0.30$ ) and 0.67 ( $B = 1.5$  for  $\beta_v + \beta_i = 0.20$ ) times the strength of the corresponding undamped systems. The elastic period  $T_{ed}$  of the damped system was related to the period  $T_e$  of the corresponding undamped system as follows (Ramirez et al., 2001, 2002b)


$$\begin{aligned} \frac{T_{ed}}{T_e} &= B^{0.5} & \text{for } T_e \leq T_s \\ \frac{T_{ed}}{T_e} &= B & \text{for } T_e > T_s \end{aligned} \tag{5-1}$$

Figures 5-1 and 5-2 compare the calculated average displacement ductility ratio for the undamped and the damped systems for the 10 NF1 fault-normal components. Figure 5-3 presents a comparison of the minimum, average, and maximum displacement ductility ratio of the undamped and the 20%-damped systems for  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Figures 5-4 through 5-6 present results obtained from analyses of 20%- and 30%-damped systems for the 10 NF1 fault-parallel components. Figures 5-7 through 5-9 present results obtained from analyses of 20%- and 30%-damped systems for the soft-soil earthquake motions.


The results in Figures 5-1 to 5-9 demonstrate that, in general, the ductility demand in damped structures with lower stiffness and strength is comparable to or less than that in undamped structures for near-field and soft-soil earthquake motions. Whereas the trends in ductility demand of undamped and of damped structures seen in Figures 5-1 to 5-9 are similar to those observed in the case of far-field or stiff-soil earthquake motions (Ramirez et al., 2001, 2002b), the average ductility demand and the scatter in the ductility demand for short-period damped and undamped structures in the near-field earthquake motions attributed to overestimation of the response due to inability to select and scale the soft-soil motions to match the target spectrum in the low period range (see Figure 2-3). Also, it may be observed in Figures 5-1 to 5-9 that in all cases studied, the average displacement ductility ratio for long periods is approximately equal to the ductility-based portion of the R-factor,  $R_{\mu}$ .




**Figure 5-1. Comparison of Average Displacement Ductility Ratio for 5%- and 20%-Damped Systems – NF1 Fault-Normal Components**




**Figure 5-2. Comparison of Average Displacement Ductility Ratio for 5%- and 30%-Damped Systems – NF1 Fault-Normal Components**




**Figure 5-3. Comparison of Maximum, Average, and Minimum Displacement Ductility Ratios of 5%- and 20%-Damped Systems with  $\alpha = 0.05$  – NF1 Fault-Normal Components**




**Figure 5-4. Comparison of Average Displacement Ductility Ratio for 5%- and 20%-Damped Systems – NF1 Fault-Parallel Components**




**Figure 5-5. Comparison of Average Displacement Ductility Ratio for 5%- and 30%-Damped Systems – NF1 Fault-Parallel Components**



**Figure 5-6. Comparison of Maximum, Average, and Minimum Displacement Ductility Ratios of 5%- and 20%-Damped Systems with  $\alpha = 0.05$  – NF1 Fault-Parallel Components**




**Figure 5-7. Comparison of Average Displacement Ductility Ratio for 5%- and 20%-Damped Systems – Soft-Soil Earthquake Motions**



**Figure 5-8. Comparison of Average Displacement Ductility Ratio for 5%- and 30%-Damped Systems – Soft-Soil Earthquake Motions**





**Figure 5-9. Comparison of Maximum, Average, and Minimum Displacement Ductility Ratios of 5%- and 20%-Damped Systems with  $\alpha = 0.05$  – Soft-Soil Earthquake Motions**



## SECTION 6

### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

#### 6.1 Introduction

Simplified methods for analysis and design of buildings with damping systems were addressed, for the first time, in the *2000 NEHRP Provisions* (BSSC, 2001). The study reported herein focuses on the assessment of the validity of these methods for near-fault and for soft-soil earthquake motions.

The Equivalent Lateral Force Procedure for Structures with Damping Systems of *2000 NEHRP Recommended Provisions* is intended to provide a simplified approach for directly determining the nonlinear response behavior of a structure at different levels of lateral displacements. In these procedures, the actual inelastic system is replaced by an equivalent linear single-degree-of-freedom system. Therefore, it is of interest to evaluate the accuracy of the simplified methods to predict the inelastic response of single-degree-of-freedom systems, for several levels of added linear viscous, nonlinear viscous damping, and for yielding damping devices.

The simplified method of analysis of the *2000 NEHRP Provisions* for single-degree-of-freedom systems is iterative, since it is based on an assumed value of displacement  $D$ , calculation of the effective period and effective damping, calculation of the displacement using the response spectrum after modification for increased damping, and comparison of the calculated and assumed values of displacement. In this case, the average 5%-damped spectra of Figures 2-1 through 2-3 were used. Details of the calculation of the effective stiffness and effective damping, for single-degree-of-freedom structures with linear viscous, nonlinear viscous, and yielding damping systems, are discussed in Ramirez et al. (2001, 2002a).

#### 6.2 Results of Simplified Method of Analysis and Comparison to Results of Nonlinear Response History Analysis

##### 6.2.1 Single-Degree-of-Freedom Systems

Nonlinear response history analyses for the near-field motions listed in Tables 2-1 and 2-2 and for the soft-soil ground motions listed in Table 2-3, of each of the single-degree-of-




freedom system described by the parameters listed in Tables 4-1 through 4-3 were performed to investigate the accuracy of the simplified methods. Figures 6-1 through 6-33 present a comparison of the results of nonlinear history analysis and the results of the simplified method of analysis. Graphs of the peak displacement, peak velocity and peak acceleration are presented by plotting the average results of the nonlinear history analyses on the vertical axis against the results of the simplified method of analysis on the horizontal axis.

The simplified method of analysis results in the maximum displacement  $D$ . The maximum velocity,  $V$ , was calculated either as the pseudo-velocity or as the pseudo-velocity times a velocity correction factor,

$$V = \left( \frac{2\pi}{T_{eff}} \right) D \cdot CFV \quad (6-1)$$




where  $T_{eff}$  is the effective period of the system, calculated as  $T_{eff} = 2\pi\sqrt{D/A}$ ,  $A$  is the acceleration at the instance of maximum displacement and  $CFV$  is the correction factor tabulated in Table 6 of Ramirez et al. (2002a). The maximum acceleration is determined by the procedure described in Ramirez et al. (2002a) which has been incorporated in the 2000 NEHRP.

These figures demonstrate that the 2000 NEHRP simplified methods of analysis yield good and most often conservative estimates of peak displacement and peak acceleration, and reasonable estimates of peak velocities (when estimated as pseudo-velocity), for all classes of earthquake motions. Specifically, the simplified method of analysis under predicts the peak velocity for structures with a large effective period (say  $T_{eff} > 1.5$  seconds), but over predicts the peak velocity for structures with effective period less than 1.0 second. When the correction factor for velocity (per Ramirez et al. (2001, 2002a)) is utilized, the prediction of velocity by the simplified method is very good. It is interesting to note that values of the correction factor for velocity have been determined by Ramirez et al. (2001, 2002a) on the basis of analyses with motions without near-field or soft-soil characteristics.






SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD

**Figure 6-1. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems without Damping Devices - NF1 Fault-Normal Components**






SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD

**Figure 6-2. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.15$  - NF1 Fault-Normal Components**



SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD




**Figure 6-3. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.25$  - NF1 Fault-Normal Components**



SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD

**Figure 6-4. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems without Damping Devices - NF1 Fault-Parallel Components**








SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD




**Figure 6-5. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.15$  - NF1 Fault-Parallel Components**

NONLINEAR RESPONSE HISTORY ANALYSIS



SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD




**Figure 6-6. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.25$  - NF1 Fault-Parallel Components**



SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD

**Figure 6-7. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Nonlinear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.15$  - NF1 Fault-Normal Components**




NONLINEAR RESPONSE HISTORY ANALYSIS



SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD


**Figure 6-8. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Nonlinear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.25$  - NF1 Fault-Normal Components**

NONLINEAR RESPONSE HISTORY ANALYSIS



SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD


**Figure 6-9. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Nonlinear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.15$  - NF1 Fault-Parallel Components**



SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD




**Figure 6-10. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Nonlinear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.25$  - NF1 Fault-Parallel Components**

NONLINEAR RESPONSE HISTORY ANALYSIS



SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD




**Figure 6-11. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Elastic Systems without Damping Devices - NF1 Fault-Normal Components**



SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD

**Figure 6-12. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Elastic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_V = 0.15$  - NF1 Fault-Normal Components**








SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD




**Figure 6-13. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Elastic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_V = 0.25$  - NF1 Fault-Normal Components**

NONLINEAR RESPONSE HISTORY ANALYSIS






SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD

**Figure 6-14. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Elastic Systems without Damping Devices - NF1 Fault-Parallel Components**




SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD

**Figure 6-15. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Elastic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.15$  - NF1 Fault-Parallel Components**




SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD




**Figure 6-16. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Elastic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.25$  - NF1 Fault-Parallel Components**



**Figure 6-17. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Yielding Damping Devices- NF1 Fault-Normal Components**






**Figure 6-18. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Yielding Damping Devices- NF1 Fault-Parallel Components**



SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD




**Figure 6-19. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems without Damping Devices – NF2 Fault-Normal Components**



SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD




**Figure 6-20. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.15$  - NF2 Fault-Normal Components**





SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD




Figure 6-21. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.25$  - NF2 Fault-Normal Components



SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD




**Figure 6-22. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems without Damping Devices – NF2 Fault-Parallel Components**

NONLINEAR RESPONSE HISTORY ANALYSIS






SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD

**Figure 6-23. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.15$  - NF2 Fault-Parallel Components**



SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD




**Figure 6-24. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.25$  - NF2 Fault-Parallel Components**



SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD

**Figure 6-25. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Nonlinear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.15$  - NF2 Fault-Normal Components**




NONLINEAR RESPONSE HISTORY ANALYSIS



SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD

**Figure 6-26. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Nonlinear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.25$  - NF2 Fault-Normal Components**




NONLINEAR RESPONSE HISTORY ANALYSIS



SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD

**Figure 6-27. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Nonlinear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.15$  - NF2 Fault-Parallel Components**




NONLINEAR RESPONSE HISTORY ANALYSIS



SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD




**Figure 6-28. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Nonlinear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.25$  - NF2 Fault-Parallel Components**








SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD

**Figure 6-29. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems without Damping Devices – Soft-Soil Motions**






SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD

**Figure 6-30. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.15$  - Soft-Soil Motions**






SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD

**Figure 6-31. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Linear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_V = 0.25$  - Soft-Soil Motions**



SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD

**Figure 6-32. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Non-Linear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_V = 0.15$  - Soft-Soil Motions**



SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD

**Figure 6-33. Comparison of Response History Analysis Results to Results of Simplified Method of Analysis for Bilinear Hysteretic Systems with Non-Linear Viscous Damping Devices,  $\beta_v = 0.25$  - Soft-Soil Motions**

### 6.2.2 3-story Building Frames

To further examine extensively the influence of near-field and soft-soil ground motions on the accuracy of simplified methods of analysis, multi-degree-of-freedom systems were considered. Known sources of error exist in the simplified analysis of multi-degree-of-freedom systems, other than that due to the replacement of the yielding system by an equivalent linear and viscous system. Particularly, the contribution of higher modes of vibration in near-field seismic excitation is known to be significant in tall buildings (e.g., Iwan et al. (2000)). Whereas the simplified analysis method of 2000 NEHRP is restricted to short structures with height that does not exceed 30 m (typically 7-story or less), there is need to evaluate the method for multi-story buildings in near-field and soft-soil excitations.

Accordingly, validation studies of the equivalent lateral force (ELF) and the modal analysis (RSA) procedures of *2000 NEHRP Recommended Procedures* of 3-story steel moment frames with damping systems located close to a fault or at a soft-soil site were performed. The theoretical basis of these procedures has been presented in Whittaker et al. (2003) and Ramirez et al. (2001). The accuracy of the simplified methods of analysis is investigated by comparison to the results of nonlinear response-history analysis. Nonlinear response-history analysis of the 3-story frames was performed using computer program IDARC2D (Valles et al., 1996). Modeling details regarding the program IDARC2D are presented in Ramirez et al. (2001).

Results of the simplified methods of analysis and nonlinear response-history analysis are tabulated in Tables 6-1 through 6-11 for minimum, maximum, average, and average plus one standard deviation ( $\text{avg}+1\sigma$ ) responses. Included in the tables are (a) peak interstory drifts, (b) peak interstory velocities, (c) peak damper forces, (d) story shear forces at the time of maximum drift, and (e) maximum story shears (including the viscous component). The results reported in Tables 6-1 through 6-11 attest to the accuracy of the equivalent lateral force (ELF) and the modal analysis (RSA) procedures of *2000 NEHRP Recommended Procedures* for buildings with damping systems. Both methods provided conservative estimates of drift and predictions for damper forces and member actions in good overall agreement with the average of results of nonlinear response-history analysis.

**Table 6-1. Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-75 with Linear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Viscous Damping Ratio – NF1 Fault-Normal Components**

Response Quantity	S T O R Y	SIMPLIFIED METHODS OF ANALYSIS - NEHRP (2003)				NONLINEAR TIME HISTORY ANALYSIS - IDARC2D, version 5.0			
		ELF		RSA		Min.	Average	Avg+1 $\sigma$	Max.
Story Drift (mm)	3	215		215		94	198	265	328
	2	255		250		125	213	273	328
	1	164		155		97	176	229	262
Interstory Velocity (mm/sec)	3	683	<b>780</b>	796	<b>827</b>	342	753	978	1051
	2	810	<b>926</b>	663	<b>848</b>	369	765	997	1047
	1	700	<b>682</b>	477	<b>552</b>	298	647	850	915
Damper Force (kN)	3	544	<b>622</b>	635	<b>659</b>	274	602	782	840
	2	646	<b>738</b>	529	<b>677</b>	295	612	797	837
	1	559	<b>544</b>	380	<b>440</b>	238	517	680	731
Story Shear at Max. Disp. (kN)	3	725		910		382	694	894	958
	2	1057		1100		1095	1342	1519	1604
	1	1819		1622		1405	1637	1811	1913
Max. Story Shear (kN)	3	887	<b>901</b>	1083	<b>1072</b>	496	797	972	1119
	2	1280	<b>1325</b>	1282	<b>1333</b>	1254	1558	1760	1935
	1	1918	<b>1912</b>	1694	<b>1709</b>	1740	2238	2579	2745

Values in bold indicate results obtained using the revised velocity correction factors from Table 6 of Ramirez et al. (2002a).

**Table 6-2. Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-75 with Linear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Viscous Damping Ratio – NF1 Fault-Parallel Components**

Response Quantity	S T O R Y	SIMPLIFIED METHODS OF ANALYSIS - NEHRP (2003)				NONLINEAR TIME HISTORY ANALYSIS - IDARC2D, version 5.0			
		ELF		RSA		Min.	Average	Avg+1 $\sigma$	Max.
Story Drift (mm)	3	112		113		61	98	131	151
	2	133		127		67	112	150	184
	1	89		80		39	78	112	152
Interstory Velocity (mm/sec)	3	468	<b>460</b>	577	<b>515</b>	287	526	660	694
	2	555	<b>546</b>	435	<b>472</b>	340	484	577	625
	1	499	<b>435</b>	331	<b>324</b>	237	348	426	453
Damper Force (kN)	3	373	<b>367</b>	460	<b>411</b>	229	420	528	554
	2	443	<b>436</b>	347	<b>377</b>	271	386	461	500
	1	398	<b>347</b>	264	<b>258</b>	189	278	341	362
Story Shear at Max. Disp. (kN)	3	618		765		421	537	623	731
	2	1039		1059		899	1096	1227	1367
	1	1578		1477		1123	1382	1528	1528
Max. Story Shear (kN)	3	717	<b>709</b>	881	<b>856</b>	513	706	841	936
	2	1161	<b>1165</b>	1157	<b>1165</b>	963	1239	1384	1446
	1	1638	<b>1623</b>	1517	<b>1513</b>	1172	1665	1905	1980

Values in bold indicate results obtained using the revised velocity correction factors from Table 6 of Ramirez et al. (2002a).



**Table 6-3. Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-75 with Linear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Viscous Damping Ratio – NF2 Fault-Normal Components**

Response Quantity	S T O R Y	SIMPLIFIED METHODS OF ANALYSIS - NEHRP (2003)				NONLINEAR TIME HISTORY ANALYSIS - IDARC2D, version 5.0			
		ELF		RSA		Min.	Average	Avg+1 $\sigma$	Max.
Story Drift (mm)	3	78		78		63	82	100	114
	2	93		87		77	90	105	118
	1	65		55		46	55	63	74
Interstory Velocity (mm/sec)	3	389	<b>351</b>	455	<b>383</b>	303	503	611	663
	2	462	<b>417</b>	351	<b>342</b>	314	454	542	594
	1	424	<b>351</b>	263	<b>237</b>	223	296	344	353
Damper Force (kN)	3	310	<b>280</b>	363	<b>306</b>	242	402	488	530
	2	368	<b>332</b>	280	<b>273</b>	251	363	433	475
	1	338	<b>280</b>	210	<b>189</b>	178	237	275	282
Story Shear at Max. Disp. (kN)	3	583		663		419	577	670	725
	2	1034		1047		861	1094	1229	1298
	1	1499		1387		1100	1328	1478	1533
Max. Story Shear (kN)	3	659	<b>646</b>	750	<b>727</b>	541	655	750	826
	2	1122	<b>1117</b>	1118	<b>1116</b>	1006	1201	1325	1381
	1	1545	<b>1528</b>	1418	<b>1412</b>	1361	1594	1738	1807

Values in bold indicate results obtained using the revised velocity correction factors from Table 6 of Ramirez et al. (2002a).

**Table 6-4. Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-75 with Linear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Viscous Damping Ratio – Soft-Soil Scaled Components**

Response Quantity	S T O R Y	SIMPLIFIED METHODS OF ANALYSIS - NEHRP (2003)				NONLINEAR TIME HISTORY ANALYSIS - IDARC2D, version 5.0			
		ELF		RSA		Min.	Average	Avg+1 $\sigma$	Max.
Story Drift (mm)	3	105		108		50	89	110	119
	2	125		121		66	103	128	150
	1	82		76		45	68	92	121
Interstory Velocity (mm/sec)	3	408	<b>415</b>	561	<b>494</b>	186	511	665	812
	2	484	<b>492</b>	410	<b>443</b>	200	484	613	714
	1	419	<b>377</b>	318	<b>307</b>	117	341	460	618
Damper Force (kN)	3	325	<b>331</b>	448	<b>394</b>	149	408	531	649
	2	386	<b>392</b>	327	<b>354</b>	160	386	490	571
	1	334	<b>300</b>	253	<b>245</b>	93	273	368	494
Story Shear at Max. Disp. (kN)	3	568		767		328	511	598	665
	2	1030		1072		868	1074	1176	1326
	1	1466		1484		1185	1364	1491	1639
Max. Story Shear (kN)	3	655	<b>653</b>	877	<b>852</b>	341	659	790	918
	2	1134	<b>1142</b>	1162	<b>1169</b>	873	1213	1349	1397
	1	1521	<b>1513</b>	1519	<b>1515</b>	1278	1591	1753	1839

Values in bold indicate results obtained using the revised velocity correction factors from Table 6 of Ramirez et al. (2002a).

**Table 6-5. Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-100 with Linear Viscous Damping System to Provide 20% Viscous Damping Ratio – NF1 Fault-Normal Components**

Response Quantity	S T O R Y	SIMPLIFIED METHODS OF ANALYSIS - NEHRP (2003)				NONLINEAR TIME HISTORY ANALYSIS - IDARC2D, version 5.0			
		ELF		RSA		Min.	Average	Avg+1 $\sigma$	Max.
Story Drift (mm)	3	152		153		62	127	171	220
	2	182		181		82	160	209	261
	1	111		108		55	129	178	231
Interstory Velocity (mm/sec)	3	550	<b>642</b>	651	<b>680</b>	240	523	679	768
	2	661	<b>771</b>	614	<b>748</b>	309	673	886	979
	1	479	<b>497</b>	408	<b>462</b>	252	541	725	778
Damper Force (kN)	3	1038	<b>1211</b>	1228	<b>1283</b>	454	990	1286	1454
	2	1247	<b>1455</b>	1159	<b>1412</b>	584	1275	1678	1854
	1	904	<b>938</b>	770	<b>871</b>	477	1025	1372	1472
Story Shear at Max. Disp. (kN)	3	712		930		544	658	736	792
	2	1368		1402		1439	1669	1826	1942
	1	1858		1896		2056	2228	2402	2641
Max. Story Shear (kN)	3	1230	<b>1311</b>	1484	<b>1487</b>	631	825	948	1036
	2	2009	<b>2131</b>	1998	<b>2128</b>	1579	2140	2488	2590
	1	2226	<b>2257</b>	2203	<b>2251</b>	2391	3280	3825	4013

Values in bold indicate results obtained using the revised velocity correction factors from Table 6 of Ramirez et al. (2002a).

**Table 6-6. Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-100 with Linear Viscous Damping System to Provide 20% Viscous Damping Ratio – NF2 Fault-Normal Components**

Response Quantity	S T O R Y	SIMPLIFIED METHODS OF ANALYSIS - NEHRP (2003)				NONLINEAR TIME HISTORY ANALYSIS - IDARC2D, version 5.0			
		ELF		RSA		Min.	Average	Avg+1 $\sigma$	Max.
Story Drift (mm)	3	58		58		37	52	64	74
	2	70		68		47	66	82	95
	1	44		41		30	42	51	60
Interstory Velocity (mm/sec)	3	311	<b>275</b>	356	<b>296</b>	191	350	428	428
	2	374	<b>330</b>	330	<b>304</b>	229	403	489	494
	1	289	<b>235</b>	221	<b>194</b>	155	262	314	313
Damper Force (kN)	3	587	<b>519</b>	673	<b>559</b>	362	662	810	811
	2	706	<b>623</b>	623	<b>574</b>	433	762	926	936
	1	546	<b>444</b>	418	<b>366</b>	293	495	595	593
Story Shear at Max. Disp. (kN)	3	645		712		371	557	648	688
	2	1326		1331		925	1281	1472	1513
	1	1699		1677		1285	1691	1919	1941
Max. Story Shear (kN)	3	817	<b>783</b>	918	<b>858</b>	471	651	756	777
	2	1458	<b>1429</b>	1436	<b>1416</b>	1043	1447	1698	1856
	1	1706	<b>1669</b>	1651	<b>1630</b>	1383	1986	2335	2521

Values in bold indicate results obtained using the revised velocity correction factors from Table 6 of Ramirez et al. (2002a).

**Table 6-7. Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-80 with Nonlinear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Viscous Damping Ratio – NF1 Fault-Normal Components**

Response Quantity	S T O R Y	SIMPLIFIED METHODS OF ANALYSIS - NEHRP (2003)				NONLINEAR TIME HISTORY ANALYSIS - IDARC2D, version 5.0			
		ELF		RSA		Min.	Average	Avg+1 $\sigma$	Max.
Story Drift (mm)	3	211		213		73	189	268	349
	2	249		243		100	204	358	358
	1	161		150		76	164	224	292
Interstory Velocity (mm/sec)	3	727	<b>820</b>	883	<b>895</b>	289	726	976	1024
	2	858	<b>967</b>	687	<b>872</b>	313	755	1003	1020
	1	755	<b>728</b>	515	<b>578</b>	287	642	856	913
Damper Force (kN)	3	553	<b>604</b>	600	<b>621</b>	368	583	677	693
	2	601	<b>656</b>	560	<b>637</b>	383	595	686	692
	1	555	<b>550</b>	467	<b>509</b>	367	549	633	655
Story Shear at Max. Disp. (kN)	3	811		1057		456	723	906	1008
	2	1145		1179		1061	1385	1575	1644
	1	2034		1832		1488	1803	1998	2108
Max. Story Shear (kN)	3	1026	<b>1062</b>	1248	<b>1273</b>	525	871	1074	1244
	2	1454	<b>1497</b>	1469	<b>1512</b>	1340	1680	1894	2044
	1	2205	<b>2252</b>	2001	<b>2045</b>	1887	2349	2642	2835

Values in bold indicate results obtained using the revised velocity correction factors from Table 6 of Ramirez et al. (2002a).

**Table 6-8. Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-80 with Nonlinear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Viscous Damping Ratio – NF1 Fault-Parallel Components**

Response Quantity	S T O R Y	SIMPLIFIED METHODS OF ANALYSIS - NEHRP (2003)				NONLINEAR TIME HISTORY ANALYSIS - IDARC2D, version 5.0			
		ELF		RSA		Min.	Average	Avg+1 $\sigma$	Max.
Story Drift (mm)	3	102		103		42	75	103	113
	2	120		113		50	93	144	144
	1	82		71		33	65	94	120
Interstory Velocity (mm/sec)	3	484	<b>454</b>	609	<b>523</b>	250	477	610	651
	2	571	<b>536</b>	430	<b>447</b>	265	472	595	609
	1	528	<b>446</b>	343	<b>318</b>	205	331	405	418
Damper Force (kN)	3	447	<b>442</b>	498	<b>466</b>	343	473	535	553
	2	486	<b>480</b>	441	<b>455</b>	352	471	528	535
	1	469	<b>426</b>	377	<b>371</b>	310	394	436	443
Story Shear at Max. Disp. (kN)	3	679		845		392	533	662	804
	2	1116		1133		860	1130	1324	1471
	1	1732		1612		1136	1490	1689	1788
Max. Story Shear (kN)	3	862	<b>863</b>	1017	<b>1008</b>	497	742	892	944
	2	1351	<b>1357</b>	1354	<b>1363</b>	943	1299	1481	1531
	1	1882	<b>1879</b>	1753	<b>1758</b>	1262	1780	2031	2126

Values in bold indicate results obtained using the revised velocity correction factors from Table 6 of Ramirez et al. (2002a).

**Table 6-9. Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-80 with Nonlinear Viscous Damping System to Provide 10% Viscous Damping Ratio – Soft-Soil Scaled Components**

Response Quantity	S T O R Y	SIMPLIFIED METHODS OF ANALYSIS - NEHRP (2003)				NONLINEAR TIME HISTORY ANALYSIS - IDARC2D, version 5.0			
		ELF		RSA		Min.	Average	Avg+1 $\sigma$	Max.
Story Drift (mm)	3	101		105		37	86	102	108
	2	119		114		51	98	124	143
	1	81		72		35	63	91	120
Interstory Velocity (mm/sec)	3	446	<b>432</b>	636	<b>542</b>	180	557	717	949
	2	526	<b>510</b>	423	<b>439</b>	180	479	1825	741
	1	479	<b>414</b>	350	<b>322</b>	110	339	444	604
Damper Force (kN)	3	294	<b>296</b>	349	<b>322</b>	198	349	405	456
	2	319	<b>321</b>	296	<b>307</b>	198	324	369	403
	1	304	<b>281</b>	259	<b>254</b>	155	272	316	363
Story Shear at Max. Disp. (kN)	3	646		893		277	574	709	758
	2	1107		1153		767	1114	1270	1477
	1	1655		1662		1147	1440	1646	1773
Max. Story Shear (kN)	3	756	<b>760</b>	990	<b>989</b>	323	756	896	1061
	2	1249	<b>1256</b>	1286	<b>1293</b>	791	1275	1369	1440
	1	1744	<b>1750</b>	1741	<b>1750</b>	1198	1653	1825	1938

Values in bold indicate results obtained using the revised velocity correction factors from Table 6 of Ramirez et al. (2002a).

**Table 6-10. Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-80 with Nonlinear Viscous Damping System to Provide 20% Viscous Damping Ratio – NF1 Fault-Normal Components**

Response Quantity	S T O R Y	SIMPLIFIED METHODS OF ANALYSIS - NEHRP (2003)				NONLINEAR TIME HISTORY ANALYSIS - IDARC2D, version 5.0			
		ELF		RSA		Min.	Average	Avg+1 $\sigma$	Max.
Story Drift (mm)	3	173		174		48	122	173	224
	2	204		199		74	172	312	312
	1	131		123		58	152	214	286
Interstory Velocity (mm/sec)	3	615	<b>719</b>	755	<b>784</b>	195	498	681	742
	2	725	<b>848</b>	607	<b>778</b>	276	663	885	963
	1	619	<b>623</b>	446	<b>512</b>	285	625	844	916
Damper Force (kN)	3	926	<b>1027</b>	1005	<b>1055</b>	547	875	1023	1068
	2	1006	<b>1116</b>	952	<b>1090</b>	651	1009	1166	1217
	1	908	<b>926</b>	788	<b>869</b>	661	980	1139	1186
Story Shear at Max. Disp. (kN)	3	709		927		378	555	656	690
	2	1120		1164		1237	1525	1702	1796
	1	1800		1697		1718	1965	2149	2256
Max. Story Shear (kN)	3	1205	<b>1276</b>	1388	<b>1434</b>	513	768	917	1033
	2	1740	<b>1826</b>	1748	<b>1838</b>	1415	1831	2080	2185
	1	2206	<b>2272</b>	2078	<b>2153</b>	2122	2739	3094	3257

Values in bold indicate results obtained using the revised velocity correction factors from Table 6 of Ramirez et al. (2002a).



**Table 6-11. Comparison of Results of Simplified Methods of Analysis to Results of Nonlinear Response-History Analysis: 3-Story Frame 3S-80 with Nonlinear Viscous Damping System to Provide 20% Viscous Damping Ratio – NF1 Fault-Parallel Components**

Response Quantity	S T O R Y	SIMPLIFIED METHODS OF ANALYSIS - NEHRP (2003)				NONLINEAR TIME HISTORY ANALYSIS - IDARC2D, version 5.0			
		ELF		RSA		Min.	Average	Avg+1 $\sigma$	Max.
Story Drift (mm)	3	77	<b>358</b>	79	<b>405</b>	21	46	65	72
	2	91	<b>423</b>	87	<b>369</b>	36	71	110	110
	1	62	<b>334</b>	54	<b>253</b>	28	55	79	94
Interstory Velocity (mm/sec)	3	377	<b>358</b>	468	<b>405</b>	141	306	411	438
	2	445	<b>423</b>	359	<b>369</b>	204	420	550	602
	1	392	<b>334</b>	271	<b>253</b>	207	321	409	467
Damper Force (kN)	3	817	<b>813</b>	894	<b>847</b>	466	685	795	821
	2	887	<b>883</b>	828	<b>850</b>	560	803	919	961
	1	820	<b>758</b>	693	<b>685</b>	564	702	792	847
Story Shear at Max. Disp. (kN)	3	592	<b>1038</b>	701	<b>1125</b>	272	424	545	652
	2	1095	<b>1618</b>	1109	<b>1612</b>	743	1166	1391	1424
	1	1532	<b>1899</b>	1473	<b>1826</b>	1069	1620	1882	1943
Max. Story Shear (kN)	3	1040	<b>1038</b>	1151	<b>1125</b>	358	594	735	778
	2	1610	<b>1618</b>	1598	<b>1612</b>	947	1365	1610	1623
	1	1917	<b>1899</b>	1825	<b>1826</b>	1369	1944	2276	2394

Values in bold indicate results obtained using the revised velocity correction factors from Table 6 of Ramirez et al. (2002a).



## SECTION 7

### CONCLUSIONS

Studies were conducted to investigate the effects of near-field and soft-soil ground motions on the response of single- and multi- degree-of-freedom structures with linear and nonlinear viscous damping devices. Two sets of near-field ground motions and one set of soft-soil ground motions were utilized in the analysis.

This investigation has led to the following conclusions:

- (1) The damping coefficient values in 2000 NEHRP are accurate or conservative for soft-soil ground motions and for periods up to 5 seconds. Also, the 2000 NEHRP damping values are accurate or conservative for near-field motions and for periods up to 3 seconds provided that the cutoff period  $T_s$  is properly selected. For the set of near-field motions developed by Somerville (1997) and presented in Table 2-1, the appropriate values of  $T_s$  are 1.2 seconds for the fault-parallel components and 3.0 seconds for the fault-normal components.
- (2) The ductility demand in damped single-degree-of-freedom structures with lower stiffness and strength is comparable to or less than the ductility demand in undamped structures for near-field and soft-soil earthquake motions. The trends and scatter in ductility demand for near-field and soft-soil motions are very similar to those previously observed for far-field motions.
- (3) The 2000 NEHRP simplified method of analysis for single-degree-of-freedom systems produces results on displacement and acceleration that are generally of acceptable accuracy or conservative for near-field or soft-soil motions. The degree of accuracy is very similar to that previously observed for far-field motions. Moreover, the use of previously established correction factors for velocity on the basis of analyses with far-field motions (Ramirez et al., 2001, 2002a) produces very good estimates of peak structural velocity in near-field and soft-soil motions.
- (4) The application of the simplified methods of analysis of *2000 NEHRP Recommended Procedures* to steel moment frames with linear and nonlinear

viscous damping systems, provided conservative estimates of drift and predictions for damper forces and member actions in good overall agreement with the average of results of nonlinear response-history analysis.

## SECTION 8

### REFERENCES

Alavi, B. and Krawinkler, H. (2000), “Consideration of Near-Fault Ground motion Effects in Seismic Design”, Proceedings of the 12<sup>th</sup> World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Auckland, New Zealand. CD-ROM, Paper No. 2665.

Applied Technology Council (ATC) (1997), “NEHRP Guidelines for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings” and “NEHRP Commentary on the Guidelines for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings”, Report Nos. FEMA 273 and 274, prepared for the Building Seismic Safety Council and published by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, Washington, DC.

Baez, J. I. and Miranda, E. (2000), “Amplification Factors to Estimate Inelastic Displacement Demands for the Design of Structures in the Near Field”, Proceedings of the 12<sup>th</sup> World conference on Earthquake Engineering, Auckland, New Zealand. CD-ROM, Paper No. 1561.

Building Seismic Safety Council (BSSC) (2001), “NEHRP Recommended Provisions for Seismic Regulations for New Buildings and Other Structures”, 2000 Edition. Report Nos. FEMA 369 and 369, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Washington, DC.

Chopra, A. K. and Chintanapakdee, C. (2001), “Comparing Response of SDF Systems to near-Fault and Far-Fault Earthquake Motions in the Context of Spectral Regions”, Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, 30, 1769-1799.

Filiatrault, A., Tremblay, R. and Wanitkorkul, A. (2001), “Performance Evaluations of Passive Damping Systems for the Seismic Retrofit of Steel Moment-Resisting Frames Subjected to Near-Field Ground Motions”, Earthquake Spectra, 17(3), 427-456.

Iwan, W. D., Huang, C. T. and Guyader, A. C. (2000), “Amplification Factors to Estimate Inelastic Displacement Demands for the Design of Structures in the Near Field”, Proceedings of the 12th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Auckland, New Zealand. CD-ROM, Paper No. 1740.

Lord, J. A. (1996), Personal communication with Michael C. Constantinou.

MacRae, G. A., Morrow, D. V. and Roeder, C. W. (2001), “Near-Fault Ground Motion effects on Simple Structures”, Journal of Structural Engineering, 127(9), 996-1004.

Miyamoto, H. K. and Singh, J. P. (2002), “Performance of Structures with Passive Energy Dissipators”, Earthquake Spectra, 19 (1), 105-119.

Ramirez, O. M., Constantinou, M. C., Kircher, C. A., Whittaker, A. S., Johnson, M. W., Gomez, J. D., and Chrysostomou, C. Z. (2001), “Development and Evaluation of Simplified Procedures for Analysis and Design of Buildings with Passive Energy Dissipation Systems”, Report No. MCEER 00-0010, Revision 1, Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY.

Ramirez, O. M., Constantinou, M. C., Gomez, J. D., Whittaker, A. S., and Chrysostomou, C. Z. (2002a), “Evaluation of simplified methods of analysis of yielding structures with damping systems”, Earthquake Spectra, 19(3), 501-530.

Ramirez, O. M., Constantinou, M. C., Gomez, J. D., Whittaker, A. S., and Chrysostomou, C. Z. (2002b), “Elastic and inelastic seismic response of buildings with damping systems”, Earthquake Spectra, 19(3), 531-547.

Ramirez, O. M., Constantinou, M. C., Whittaker, A. S., Kircher, C. A., Johnson, M. W., and Chrysostomou, C. Z. (2003), “Validation of the 2000 NEHRP Provisions Equivalent Lateral Force and Modal Analysis Procedures for Buildings with Damping Systems”, Earthquake Spectra, 19(4), 981-999.

Somerville, P. (1999), "Development of an Improved Representation of Near-Fault Ground Motions", SMIP99 Seminar on Utilization of Strong-Motion Data, Oakland, CA, Proceedings, p. 1-20.

Somerville, P., Smith N., Punyamurthula, S., and Sun, J. (1997), "Development of Ground Motion Time Histories for Phase 2 of the FEMA/SAC Steel Project", Report No. SAC/BD-97-04, Sacramento, CA.

Tsopelas, P., Constantinou, M. C., Kircher, C. A., Whittaker, A. S. (1997), "Evaluation of Simplified Methods of Analysis for Yielding Structures", Report No. NCEER 97-0012, National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY.

Uriz, P. and Whittaker, A. S. (2001), "Retrofit of Pre-Northridge Steel Moment-Resisting Frames using Fluid Viscous Dampers", *The Structural Design of Tall Buildings*, 10, 371-390.

Valles, R.E., Reinhorn, A.M., Kunnath, S.K., Li, C. and Madan, A. (1996), "IDARC2D Version 4.0: A Computer Program for the Inelastic Damage Analysis of Buildings", Report No. NCEER-96-0010, National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo, N.Y. Information on Version 5.0 of IDARC2D can be found at <http://civil.eng.buffalo.edu/idarc2d50/>.

Whittaker, A. S., Constantinou, M. C., Ramirez, O. M., Johnson, M. W., and Chrysostomou, C. Z. (2003), "Equivalent Lateral Force and Modal Analysis Procedures of the 2000 NEHRP Provisions for Buildings with Damping Systems", *Earthquake Spectra*, 19(4), 959-980.





## **Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research List of Technical Reports**

The Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (MCEER) publishes technical reports on a variety of subjects related to earthquake engineering written by authors funded through MCEER. These reports are available from both MCEER Publications and the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). Requests for reports should be directed to MCEER Publications, Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research, State University of New York at Buffalo, Red Jacket Quadrangle, Buffalo, New York 14261. Reports can also be requested through NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161. NTIS accession numbers are shown in parenthesis, if available.

- NCEER-87-0001 "First-Year Program in Research, Education and Technology Transfer," 3/5/87, (PB88-134275, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0002 "Experimental Evaluation of Instantaneous Optimal Algorithms for Structural Control," by R.C. Lin, T.T. Soong and A.M. Reinhorn, 4/20/87, (PB88-134341, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0003 "Experimentation Using the Earthquake Simulation Facilities at University at Buffalo," by A.M. Reinhorn and R.L. Ketter, to be published.
- NCEER-87-0004 "The System Characteristics and Performance of a Shaking Table," by J.S. Hwang, K.C. Chang and G.C. Lee, 6/1/87, (PB88-134259, A03, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0005 "A Finite Element Formulation for Nonlinear Viscoplastic Material Using a Q Model," by O. Gyebi and G. Dasgupta, 11/2/87, (PB88-213764, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0006 "Symbolic Manipulation Program (SMP) - Algebraic Codes for Two and Three Dimensional Finite Element Formulations," by X. Lee and G. Dasgupta, 11/9/87, (PB88-218522, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0007 "Instantaneous Optimal Control Laws for Tall Buildings Under Seismic Excitations," by J.N. Yang, A. Akbarpour and P. Ghaemmaghami, 6/10/87, (PB88-134333, A06, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0008 "IDARC: Inelastic Damage Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Frame - Shear-Wall Structures," by Y.J. Park, A.M. Reinhorn and S.K. Kunnath, 7/20/87, (PB88-134325, A09, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0009 "Liquefaction Potential for New York State: A Preliminary Report on Sites in Manhattan and Buffalo," by M. Budhu, V. Vijayakumar, R.F. Giese and L. Baumgras, 8/31/87, (PB88-163704, A03, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0010 "Vertical and Torsional Vibration of Foundations in Inhomogeneous Media," by A.S. Veletsos and K.W. Dotson, 6/1/87, (PB88-134291, A03, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0011 "Seismic Probabilistic Risk Assessment and Seismic Margins Studies for Nuclear Power Plants," by Howard H.M. Hwang, 6/15/87, (PB88-134267, A03, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0012 "Parametric Studies of Frequency Response of Secondary Systems Under Ground-Acceleration Excitations," by Y. Yong and Y.K. Lin, 6/10/87, (PB88-134309, A03, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0013 "Frequency Response of Secondary Systems Under Seismic Excitation," by J.A. HoLung, J. Cai and Y.K. Lin, 7/31/87, (PB88-134317, A05, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0014 "Modelling Earthquake Ground Motions in Seismically Active Regions Using Parametric Time Series Methods," by G.W. Ellis and A.S. Cakmak, 8/25/87, (PB88-134283, A08, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).

- NCEER-87-0015 "Detection and Assessment of Seismic Structural Damage," by E. DiPasquale and A.S. Cakmak, 8/25/87, (PB88-163712, A05, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0016 "Pipeline Experiment at Parkfield, California," by J. Isenberg and E. Richardson, 9/15/87, (PB88-163720, A03, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0017 "Digital Simulation of Seismic Ground Motion," by M. Shinozuka, G. Deodatis and T. Harada, 8/31/87, (PB88-155197, A04, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0018 "Practical Considerations for Structural Control: System Uncertainty, System Time Delay and Truncation of Small Control Forces," J.N. Yang and A. Akbarpour, 8/10/87, (PB88-163738, A08, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0019 "Modal Analysis of Nonclassically Damped Structural Systems Using Canonical Transformation," by J.N. Yang, S. Sarkani and F.X. Long, 9/27/87, (PB88-187851, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0020 "A Nonstationary Solution in Random Vibration Theory," by J.R. Red-Horse and P.D. Spanos, 11/3/87, (PB88-163746, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0021 "Horizontal Impedances for Radially Inhomogeneous Viscoelastic Soil Layers," by A.S. Veletsos and K.W. Dotson, 10/15/87, (PB88-150859, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0022 "Seismic Damage Assessment of Reinforced Concrete Members," by Y.S. Chung, C. Meyer and M. Shinozuka, 10/9/87, (PB88-150867, A05, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0023 "Active Structural Control in Civil Engineering," by T.T. Soong, 11/11/87, (PB88-187778, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0024 "Vertical and Torsional Impedances for Radially Inhomogeneous Viscoelastic Soil Layers," by K.W. Dotson and A.S. Veletsos, 12/87, (PB88-187786, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0025 "Proceedings from the Symposium on Seismic Hazards, Ground Motions, Soil-Liquefaction and Engineering Practice in Eastern North America," October 20-22, 1987, edited by K.H. Jacob, 12/87, (PB88-188115, A23, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0026 "Report on the Whittier-Narrows, California, Earthquake of October 1, 1987," by J. Pantelic and A. Reinhorn, 11/87, (PB88-187752, A03, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0027 "Design of a Modular Program for Transient Nonlinear Analysis of Large 3-D Building Structures," by S. Srivastav and J.F. Abel, 12/30/87, (PB88-187950, A05, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0028 "Second-Year Program in Research, Education and Technology Transfer," 3/8/88, (PB88-219480, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0001 "Workshop on Seismic Computer Analysis and Design of Buildings With Interactive Graphics," by W. McGuire, J.F. Abel and C.H. Conley, 1/18/88, (PB88-187760, A03, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0002 "Optimal Control of Nonlinear Flexible Structures," by J.N. Yang, F.X. Long and D. Wong, 1/22/88, (PB88-213772, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0003 "Substructuring Techniques in the Time Domain for Primary-Secondary Structural Systems," by G.D. Manolis and G. Juhn, 2/10/88, (PB88-213780, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0004 "Iterative Seismic Analysis of Primary-Secondary Systems," by A. Singhal, L.D. Lutes and P.D. Spanos, 2/23/88, (PB88-213798, A04, MF-A01).

- NCEER-88-0005 "Stochastic Finite Element Expansion for Random Media," by P.D. Spanos and R. Ghanem, 3/14/88, (PB88-213806, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0006 "Combining Structural Optimization and Structural Control," by F.Y. Cheng and C.P. Pantelides, 1/10/88, (PB88-213814, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0007 "Seismic Performance Assessment of Code-Designed Structures," by H.H-M. Hwang, J-W. Jaw and H-J. Shau, 3/20/88, (PB88-219423, A04, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0008 "Reliability Analysis of Code-Designed Structures Under Natural Hazards," by H.H-M. Hwang, H. Ushiba and M. Shinozuka, 2/29/88, (PB88-229471, A07, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0009 "Seismic Fragility Analysis of Shear Wall Structures," by J-W Jaw and H.H-M. Hwang, 4/30/88, (PB89-102867, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0010 "Base Isolation of a Multi-Story Building Under a Harmonic Ground Motion - A Comparison of Performances of Various Systems," by F-G Fan, G. Ahmadi and I.G. Tadjbakhsh, 5/18/88, (PB89-122238, A06, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0011 "Seismic Floor Response Spectra for a Combined System by Green's Functions," by F.M. Lavelle, L.A. Bergman and P.D. Spanos, 5/1/88, (PB89-102875, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0012 "A New Solution Technique for Randomly Excited Hysteretic Structures," by G.Q. Cai and Y.K. Lin, 5/16/88, (PB89-102883, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0013 "A Study of Radiation Damping and Soil-Structure Interaction Effects in the Centrifuge," by K. Weissman, supervised by J.H. Prevost, 5/24/88, (PB89-144703, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0014 "Parameter Identification and Implementation of a Kinematic Plasticity Model for Frictional Soils," by J.H. Prevost and D.V. Griffiths, to be published.
- NCEER-88-0015 "Two- and Three- Dimensional Dynamic Finite Element Analyses of the Long Valley Dam," by D.V. Griffiths and J.H. Prevost, 6/17/88, (PB89-144711, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0016 "Damage Assessment of Reinforced Concrete Structures in Eastern United States," by A.M. Reinhorn, M.J. Seidel, S.K. Kunnath and Y.J. Park, 6/15/88, (PB89-122220, A04, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0017 "Dynamic Compliance of Vertically Loaded Strip Foundations in Multilayered Viscoelastic Soils," by S. Ahmad and A.S.M. Israil, 6/17/88, (PB89-102891, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0018 "An Experimental Study of Seismic Structural Response With Added Viscoelastic Dampers," by R.C. Lin, Z. Liang, T.T. Soong and R.H. Zhang, 6/30/88, (PB89-122212, A05, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0019 "Experimental Investigation of Primary - Secondary System Interaction," by G.D. Manolis, G. Juhn and A.M. Reinhorn, 5/27/88, (PB89-122204, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0020 "A Response Spectrum Approach For Analysis of Nonclassically Damped Structures," by J.N. Yang, S. Sarkani and F.X. Long, 4/22/88, (PB89-102909, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0021 "Seismic Interaction of Structures and Soils: Stochastic Approach," by A.S. Veletsos and A.M. Prasad, 7/21/88, (PB89-122196, A04, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0022 "Identification of the Serviceability Limit State and Detection of Seismic Structural Damage," by E. DiPasquale and A.S. Cakmak, 6/15/88, (PB89-122188, A05, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).

- NCEER-88-0023 "Multi-Hazard Risk Analysis: Case of a Simple Offshore Structure," by B.K. Bhartia and E.H. Vanmarcke, 7/21/88, (PB89-145213, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0024 "Automated Seismic Design of Reinforced Concrete Buildings," by Y.S. Chung, C. Meyer and M. Shinozuka, 7/5/88, (PB89-122170, A06, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0025 "Experimental Study of Active Control of MDOF Structures Under Seismic Excitations," by L.L. Chung, R.C. Lin, T.T. Soong and A.M. Reinhorn, 7/10/88, (PB89-122600, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0026 "Earthquake Simulation Tests of a Low-Rise Metal Structure," by J.S. Hwang, K.C. Chang, G.C. Lee and R.L. Ketter, 8/1/88, (PB89-102917, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0027 "Systems Study of Urban Response and Reconstruction Due to Catastrophic Earthquakes," by F. Kozin and H.K. Zhou, 9/22/88, (PB90-162348, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0028 "Seismic Fragility Analysis of Plane Frame Structures," by H.H-M. Hwang and Y.K. Low, 7/31/88, (PB89-131445, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0029 "Response Analysis of Stochastic Structures," by A. Kardara, C. Bucher and M. Shinozuka, 9/22/88, (PB89-174429, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0030 "Nonnormal Accelerations Due to Yielding in a Primary Structure," by D.C.K. Chen and L.D. Lutes, 9/19/88, (PB89-131437, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0031 "Design Approaches for Soil-Structure Interaction," by A.S. Veletsos, A.M. Prasad and Y. Tang, 12/30/88, (PB89-174437, A03, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0032 "A Re-evaluation of Design Spectra for Seismic Damage Control," by C.J. Turkstra and A.G. Tallin, 11/7/88, (PB89-145221, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0033 "The Behavior and Design of Noncontact Lap Splices Subjected to Repeated Inelastic Tensile Loading," by V.E. Sagan, P. Gergely and R.N. White, 12/8/88, (PB89-163737, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0034 "Seismic Response of Pile Foundations," by S.M. Mamoon, P.K. Banerjee and S. Ahmad, 11/1/88, (PB89-145239, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0035 "Modeling of R/C Building Structures With Flexible Floor Diaphragms (IDARC2)," by A.M. Reinhorn, S.K. Kunnath and N. Panahshahi, 9/7/88, (PB89-207153, A07, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0036 "Solution of the Dam-Reservoir Interaction Problem Using a Combination of FEM, BEM with Particular Integrals, Modal Analysis, and Substructuring," by C-S. Tsai, G.C. Lee and R.L. Ketter, 12/31/88, (PB89-207146, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0037 "Optimal Placement of Actuators for Structural Control," by F.Y. Cheng and C.P. Pantelides, 8/15/88, (PB89-162846, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0038 "Teflon Bearings in Aseismic Base Isolation: Experimental Studies and Mathematical Modeling," by A. Mokha, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 12/5/88, (PB89-218457, A10, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0039 "Seismic Behavior of Flat Slab High-Rise Buildings in the New York City Area," by P. Weidlinger and M. Ettouney, 10/15/88, (PB90-145681, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0040 "Evaluation of the Earthquake Resistance of Existing Buildings in New York City," by P. Weidlinger and M. Ettouney, 10/15/88, to be published.
- NCEER-88-0041 "Small-Scale Modeling Techniques for Reinforced Concrete Structures Subjected to Seismic Loads," by W. Kim, A. El-Attar and R.N. White, 11/22/88, (PB89-189625, A05, MF-A01).

- NCEER-88-0042 "Modeling Strong Ground Motion from Multiple Event Earthquakes," by G.W. Ellis and A.S. Cakmak, 10/15/88, (PB89-174445, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0043 "Nonstationary Models of Seismic Ground Acceleration," by M. Grigoriu, S.E. Ruiz and E. Rosenblueth, 7/15/88, (PB89-189617, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0044 "SARCF User's Guide: Seismic Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Frames," by Y.S. Chung, C. Meyer and M. Shinozuka, 11/9/88, (PB89-174452, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0045 "First Expert Panel Meeting on Disaster Research and Planning," edited by J. Pantelic and J. Stoyke, 9/15/88, (PB89-174460, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0046 "Preliminary Studies of the Effect of Degrading Infill Walls on the Nonlinear Seismic Response of Steel Frames," by C.Z. Chrysostomou, P. Gergely and J.F. Abel, 12/19/88, (PB89-208383, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0047 "Reinforced Concrete Frame Component Testing Facility - Design, Construction, Instrumentation and Operation," by S.P. Pessiki, C. Conley, T. Bond, P. Gergely and R.N. White, 12/16/88, (PB89-174478, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0001 "Effects of Protective Cushion and Soil Compliancy on the Response of Equipment Within a Seismically Excited Building," by J.A. HoLung, 2/16/89, (PB89-207179, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0002 "Statistical Evaluation of Response Modification Factors for Reinforced Concrete Structures," by H.H-M. Hwang and J-W. Jaw, 2/17/89, (PB89-207187, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0003 "Hysteretic Columns Under Random Excitation," by G-Q. Cai and Y.K. Lin, 1/9/89, (PB89-196513, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0004 "Experimental Study of 'Elephant Foot Bulge' Instability of Thin-Walled Metal Tanks," by Z-H. Jia and R.L. Ketter, 2/22/89, (PB89-207195, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0005 "Experiment on Performance of Buried Pipelines Across San Andreas Fault," by J. Isenberg, E. Richardson and T.D. O'Rourke, 3/10/89, (PB89-218440, A04, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-89-0006 "A Knowledge-Based Approach to Structural Design of Earthquake-Resistant Buildings," by M. Subramani, P. Gergely, C.H. Conley, J.F. Abel and A.H. Zaghaw, 1/15/89, (PB89-218465, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0007 "Liquefaction Hazards and Their Effects on Buried Pipelines," by T.D. O'Rourke and P.A. Lane, 2/1/89, (PB89-218481, A09, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0008 "Fundamentals of System Identification in Structural Dynamics," by H. Imai, C-B. Yun, O. Maruyama and M. Shinozuka, 1/26/89, (PB89-207211, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0009 "Effects of the 1985 Michoacan Earthquake on Water Systems and Other Buried Lifelines in Mexico," by A.G. Ayala and M.J. O'Rourke, 3/8/89, (PB89-207229, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-R010 "NCEER Bibliography of Earthquake Education Materials," by K.E.K. Ross, Second Revision, 9/1/89, (PB90-125352, A05, MF-A01). This report is replaced by NCEER-92-0018.
- NCEER-89-0011 "Inelastic Three-Dimensional Response Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Building Structures (IDARC-3D), Part I - Modeling," by S.K. Kunnath and A.M. Reinhorn, 4/17/89, (PB90-114612, A07, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-89-0012 "Recommended Modifications to ATC-14," by C.D. Poland and J.O. Malley, 4/12/89, (PB90-108648, A15, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0013 "Repair and Strengthening of Beam-to-Column Connections Subjected to Earthquake Loading," by M. Corazao and A.J. Durrani, 2/28/89, (PB90-109885, A06, MF-A01).

- NCEER-89-0014 "Program EXKAL2 for Identification of Structural Dynamic Systems," by O. Maruyama, C-B. Yun, M. Hoshiya and M. Shinozuka, 5/19/89, (PB90-109877, A09, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0015 "Response of Frames With Bolted Semi-Rigid Connections, Part I - Experimental Study and Analytical Predictions," by P.J. DiCorso, A.M. Reinhorn, J.R. Dickerson, J.B. Radzinski and W.L. Harper, 6/1/89, to be published.
- NCEER-89-0016 "ARMA Monte Carlo Simulation in Probabilistic Structural Analysis," by P.D. Spanos and M.P. Mignolet, 7/10/89, (PB90-109893, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-P017 "Preliminary Proceedings from the Conference on Disaster Preparedness - The Place of Earthquake Education in Our Schools," Edited by K.E.K. Ross, 6/23/89, (PB90-108606, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0017 "Proceedings from the Conference on Disaster Preparedness - The Place of Earthquake Education in Our Schools," Edited by K.E.K. Ross, 12/31/89, (PB90-207895, A012, MF-A02). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-89-0018 "Multidimensional Models of Hysteretic Material Behavior for Vibration Analysis of Shape Memory Energy Absorbing Devices, by E.J. Graesser and F.A. Cozzarelli, 6/7/89, (PB90-164146, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0019 "Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Three-Dimensional Base Isolated Structures (3D-BASIS)," by S. Nagarajaiah, A.M. Reinhorn and M.C. Constantinou, 8/3/89, (PB90-161936, A06, MF-A01). This report has been replaced by NCEER-93-0011.
- NCEER-89-0020 "Structural Control Considering Time-Rate of Control Forces and Control Rate Constraints," by F.Y. Cheng and C.P. Pantelides, 8/3/89, (PB90-120445, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0021 "Subsurface Conditions of Memphis and Shelby County," by K.W. Ng, T-S. Chang and H-H.M. Hwang, 7/26/89, (PB90-120437, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0022 "Seismic Wave Propagation Effects on Straight Jointed Buried Pipelines," by K. Elhadi and M.J. O'Rourke, 8/24/89, (PB90-162322, A10, MF-A02).
- NCEER-89-0023 "Workshop on Serviceability Analysis of Water Delivery Systems," edited by M. Grigoriu, 3/6/89, (PB90-127424, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0024 "Shaking Table Study of a 1/5 Scale Steel Frame Composed of Tapered Members," by K.C. Chang, J.S. Hwang and G.C. Lee, 9/18/89, (PB90-160169, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0025 "DYNA1D: A Computer Program for Nonlinear Seismic Site Response Analysis - Technical Documentation," by Jean H. Prevost, 9/14/89, (PB90-161944, A07, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-89-0026 "1:4 Scale Model Studies of Active Tendon Systems and Active Mass Dampers for Aseismic Protection," by A.M. Reinhorn, T.T. Soong, R.C. Lin, Y.P. Yang, Y. Fukao, H. Abe and M. Nakai, 9/15/89, (PB90-173246, A10, MF-A02). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-89-0027 "Scattering of Waves by Inclusions in a Nonhomogeneous Elastic Half Space Solved by Boundary Element Methods," by P.K. Hadley, A. Askar and A.S. Cakmak, 6/15/89, (PB90-145699, A07, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0028 "Statistical Evaluation of Deflection Amplification Factors for Reinforced Concrete Structures," by H.H.M. Hwang, J-W. Jaw and A.L. Ch'ng, 8/31/89, (PB90-164633, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0029 "Bedrock Accelerations in Memphis Area Due to Large New Madrid Earthquakes," by H.H.M. Hwang, C.H.S. Chen and G. Yu, 11/7/89, (PB90-162330, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0030 "Seismic Behavior and Response Sensitivity of Secondary Structural Systems," by Y.Q. Chen and T.T. Soong, 10/23/89, (PB90-164658, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0031 "Random Vibration and Reliability Analysis of Primary-Secondary Structural Systems," by Y. Ibrahim, M. Grigoriu and T.T. Soong, 11/10/89, (PB90-161951, A04, MF-A01).

- NCEER-89-0032 "Proceedings from the Second U.S. - Japan Workshop on Liquefaction, Large Ground Deformation and Their Effects on Lifelines, September 26-29, 1989," Edited by T.D. O'Rourke and M. Hamada, 12/1/89, (PB90-209388, A22, MF-A03).
- NCEER-89-0033 "Deterministic Model for Seismic Damage Evaluation of Reinforced Concrete Structures," by J.M. Bracci, A.M. Reinhorn, J.B. Mander and S.K. Kunnath, 9/27/89, (PB91-108803, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0034 "On the Relation Between Local and Global Damage Indices," by E. DiPasquale and A.S. Cakmak, 8/15/89, (PB90-173865, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0035 "Cyclic Undrained Behavior of Nonplastic and Low Plasticity Silts," by A.J. Walker and H.E. Stewart, 7/26/89, (PB90-183518, A10, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0036 "Liquefaction Potential of Surficial Deposits in the City of Buffalo, New York," by M. Budhu, R. Giese and L. Baumgrass, 1/17/89, (PB90-208455, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0037 "A Deterministic Assessment of Effects of Ground Motion Incoherence," by A.S. Veletsos and Y. Tang, 7/15/89, (PB90-164294, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0038 "Workshop on Ground Motion Parameters for Seismic Hazard Mapping," July 17-18, 1989, edited by R.V. Whitman, 12/1/89, (PB90-173923, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0039 "Seismic Effects on Elevated Transit Lines of the New York City Transit Authority," by C.J. Costantino, C.A. Miller and E. Heymsfield, 12/26/89, (PB90-207887, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0040 "Centrifugal Modeling of Dynamic Soil-Structure Interaction," by K. Weissman, Supervised by J.H. Prevost, 5/10/89, (PB90-207879, A07, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0041 "Linearized Identification of Buildings With Cores for Seismic Vulnerability Assessment," by I-K. Ho and A.E. Aktan, 11/1/89, (PB90-251943, A07, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0001 "Geotechnical and Lifeline Aspects of the October 17, 1989 Loma Prieta Earthquake in San Francisco," by T.D. O'Rourke, H.E. Stewart, F.T. Blackburn and T.S. Dickerman, 1/90, (PB90-208596, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0002 "Nonnormal Secondary Response Due to Yielding in a Primary Structure," by D.C.K. Chen and L.D. Lutes, 2/28/90, (PB90-251976, A07, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0003 "Earthquake Education Materials for Grades K-12," by K.E.K. Ross, 4/16/90, (PB91-251984, A05, MF-A05). This report has been replaced by NCEER-92-0018.
- NCEER-90-0004 "Catalog of Strong Motion Stations in Eastern North America," by R.W. Busby, 4/3/90, (PB90-251984, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0005 "NCEER Strong-Motion Data Base: A User Manual for the GeoBase Release (Version 1.0 for the Sun3)," by P. Friberg and K. Jacob, 3/31/90 (PB90-258062, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0006 "Seismic Hazard Along a Crude Oil Pipeline in the Event of an 1811-1812 Type New Madrid Earthquake," by H.H.M. Hwang and C-H.S. Chen, 4/16/90, (PB90-258054, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0007 "Site-Specific Response Spectra for Memphis Sheahan Pumping Station," by H.H.M. Hwang and C.S. Lee, 5/15/90, (PB91-108811, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0008 "Pilot Study on Seismic Vulnerability of Crude Oil Transmission Systems," by T. Ariman, R. Dobry, M. Grigoriu, F. Kozin, M. O'Rourke, T. O'Rourke and M. Shinozuka, 5/25/90, (PB91-108837, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0009 "A Program to Generate Site Dependent Time Histories: EQGEN," by G.W. Ellis, M. Srinivasan and A.S. Cakmak, 1/30/90, (PB91-108829, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0010 "Active Isolation for Seismic Protection of Operating Rooms," by M.E. Talbott, Supervised by M. Shinozuka, 6/8/9, (PB91-110205, A05, MF-A01).

- NCEER-90-0011 "Program LINEARID for Identification of Linear Structural Dynamic Systems," by C-B. Yun and M. Shinozuka, 6/25/90, (PB91-110312, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0012 "Two-Dimensional Two-Phase Elasto-Plastic Seismic Response of Earth Dams," by A.N. Yiagos, Supervised by J.H. Prevost, 6/20/90, (PB91-110197, A13, MF-A02).
- NCEER-90-0013 "Secondary Systems in Base-Isolated Structures: Experimental Investigation, Stochastic Response and Stochastic Sensitivity," by G.D. Manolis, G. Juhn, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 7/1/90, (PB91-110320, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0014 "Seismic Behavior of Lightly-Reinforced Concrete Column and Beam-Column Joint Details," by S.P. Pessiki, C.H. Conley, P. Gergely and R.N. White, 8/22/90, (PB91-108795, A11, MF-A02).
- NCEER-90-0015 "Two Hybrid Control Systems for Building Structures Under Strong Earthquakes," by J.N. Yang and A. Danielians, 6/29/90, (PB91-125393, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0016 "Instantaneous Optimal Control with Acceleration and Velocity Feedback," by J.N. Yang and Z. Li, 6/29/90, (PB91-125401, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0017 "Reconnaissance Report on the Northern Iran Earthquake of June 21, 1990," by M. Mehrain, 10/4/90, (PB91-125377, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0018 "Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential in Memphis and Shelby County," by T.S. Chang, P.S. Tang, C.S. Lee and H. Hwang, 8/10/90, (PB91-125427, A09, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0019 "Experimental and Analytical Study of a Combined Sliding Disc Bearing and Helical Steel Spring Isolation System," by M.C. Constantinou, A.S. Mokha and A.M. Reinhorn, 10/4/90, (PB91-125385, A06, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-90-0020 "Experimental Study and Analytical Prediction of Earthquake Response of a Sliding Isolation System with a Spherical Surface," by A.S. Mokha, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 10/11/90, (PB91-125419, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0021 "Dynamic Interaction Factors for Floating Pile Groups," by G. Gazetas, K. Fan, A. Kaynia and E. Kausel, 9/10/90, (PB91-170381, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0022 "Evaluation of Seismic Damage Indices for Reinforced Concrete Structures," by S. Rodriguez-Gomez and A.S. Cakmak, 9/30/90, PB91-171322, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0023 "Study of Site Response at a Selected Memphis Site," by H. Desai, S. Ahmad, E.S. Gazetas and M.R. Oh, 10/11/90, (PB91-196857, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0024 "A User's Guide to Strongmo: Version 1.0 of NCEER's Strong-Motion Data Access Tool for PCs and Terminals," by P.A. Friberg and C.A.T. Susch, 11/15/90, (PB91-171272, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0025 "A Three-Dimensional Analytical Study of Spatial Variability of Seismic Ground Motions," by L-L. Hong and A.H.-S. Ang, 10/30/90, (PB91-170399, A09, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0026 "MUMOID User's Guide - A Program for the Identification of Modal Parameters," by S. Rodriguez-Gomez and E. DiPasquale, 9/30/90, (PB91-171298, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0027 "SARCF-II User's Guide - Seismic Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Frames," by S. Rodriguez-Gomez, Y.S. Chung and C. Meyer, 9/30/90, (PB91-171280, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0028 "Viscous Dampers: Testing, Modeling and Application in Vibration and Seismic Isolation," by N. Makris and M.C. Constantinou, 12/20/90 (PB91-190561, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0029 "Soil Effects on Earthquake Ground Motions in the Memphis Area," by H. Hwang, C.S. Lee, K.W. Ng and T.S. Chang, 8/2/90, (PB91-190751, A05, MF-A01).



- NCEER-91-0001 "Proceedings from the Third Japan-U.S. Workshop on Earthquake Resistant Design of Lifeline Facilities and Countermeasures for Soil Liquefaction, December 17-19, 1990," edited by T.D. O'Rourke and M. Hamada, 2/1/91, (PB91-179259, A99, MF-A04).
- NCEER-91-0002 "Physical Space Solutions of Non-Proportionally Damped Systems," by M. Tong, Z. Liang and G.C. Lee, 1/15/91, (PB91-179242, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0003 "Seismic Response of Single Piles and Pile Groups," by K. Fan and G. Gazetas, 1/10/91, (PB92-174994, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0004 "Damping of Structures: Part 1 - Theory of Complex Damping," by Z. Liang and G. Lee, 10/10/91, (PB92-197235, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-91-0005 "3D-BASIS - Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Three Dimensional Base Isolated Structures: Part II," by S. Nagarajaiah, A.M. Reinhorn and M.C. Constantinou, 2/28/91, (PB91-190553, A07, MF-A01). This report has been replaced by NCEER-93-0011.
- NCEER-91-0006 "A Multidimensional Hysteretic Model for Plasticity Deforming Metals in Energy Absorbing Devices," by E.J. Graesser and F.A. Cozzarelli, 4/9/91, (PB92-108364, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0007 "A Framework for Customizable Knowledge-Based Expert Systems with an Application to a KBES for Evaluating the Seismic Resistance of Existing Buildings," by E.G. Ibarra-Anaya and S.J. Fennes, 4/9/91, (PB91-210930, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0008 "Nonlinear Analysis of Steel Frames with Semi-Rigid Connections Using the Capacity Spectrum Method," by G.G. Deierlein, S-H. Hsieh, Y-J. Shen and J.F. Abel, 7/2/91, (PB92-113828, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0009 "Earthquake Education Materials for Grades K-12," by K.E.K. Ross, 4/30/91, (PB91-212142, A06, MF-A01). This report has been replaced by NCEER-92-0018.
- NCEER-91-0010 "Phase Wave Velocities and Displacement Phase Differences in a Harmonically Oscillating Pile," by N. Makris and G. Gazetas, 7/8/91, (PB92-108356, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0011 "Dynamic Characteristics of a Full-Size Five-Story Steel Structure and a 2/5 Scale Model," by K.C. Chang, G.C. Yao, G.C. Lee, D.S. Hao and Y.C. Yeh," 7/2/91, (PB93-116648, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0012 "Seismic Response of a 2/5 Scale Steel Structure with Added Viscoelastic Dampers," by K.C. Chang, T.T. Soong, S-T. Oh and M.L. Lai, 5/17/91, (PB92-110816, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0013 "Earthquake Response of Retaining Walls; Full-Scale Testing and Computational Modeling," by S. Alampalli and A-W.M. Elgamal, 6/20/91, to be published.
- NCEER-91-0014 "3D-BASIS-M: Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Multiple Building Base Isolated Structures," by P.C. Tsopelas, S. Nagarajaiah, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 5/28/91, (PB92-113885, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0015 "Evaluation of SEAOC Design Requirements for Sliding Isolated Structures," by D. Theodossiou and M.C. Constantinou, 6/10/91, (PB92-114602, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-91-0016 "Closed-Loop Modal Testing of a 27-Story Reinforced Concrete Flat Plate-Core Building," by H.R. Somaprasad, T. Toksoy, H. Yoshiyuki and A.E. Aktan, 7/15/91, (PB92-129980, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0017 "Shake Table Test of a 1/6 Scale Two-Story Lightly Reinforced Concrete Building," by A.G. El-Attar, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 2/28/91, (PB92-222447, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0018 "Shake Table Test of a 1/8 Scale Three-Story Lightly Reinforced Concrete Building," by A.G. El-Attar, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 2/28/91, (PB93-116630, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0019 "Transfer Functions for Rigid Rectangular Foundations," by A.S. Veletsos, A.M. Prasad and W.H. Wu, 7/31/91, to be published.

- NCEER-91-0020 "Hybrid Control of Seismic-Excited Nonlinear and Inelastic Structural Systems," by J.N. Yang, Z. Li and A. Daniellians, 8/1/91, (PB92-143171, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0021 "The NCEER-91 Earthquake Catalog: Improved Intensity-Based Magnitudes and Recurrence Relations for U.S. Earthquakes East of New Madrid," by L. Seeber and J.G. Armbruster, 8/28/91, (PB92-176742, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0022 "Proceedings from the Implementation of Earthquake Planning and Education in Schools: The Need for Change - The Roles of the Changemakers," by K.E.K. Ross and F. Winslow, 7/23/91, (PB92-129998, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-91-0023 "A Study of Reliability-Based Criteria for Seismic Design of Reinforced Concrete Frame Buildings," by H.H.M. Hwang and H-M. Hsu, 8/10/91, (PB92-140235, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0024 "Experimental Verification of a Number of Structural System Identification Algorithms," by R.G. Ghanem, H. Gavin and M. Shinozuka, 9/18/91, (PB92-176577, A18, MF-A04).
- NCEER-91-0025 "Probabilistic Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential," by H.H.M. Hwang and C.S. Lee, 11/25/91, (PB92-143429, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0026 "Instantaneous Optimal Control for Linear, Nonlinear and Hysteretic Structures - Stable Controllers," by J.N. Yang and Z. Li, 11/15/91, (PB92-163807, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0027 "Experimental and Theoretical Study of a Sliding Isolation System for Bridges," by M.C. Constantinou, A. Kartoum, A.M. Reinhorn and P. Bradford, 11/15/91, (PB92-176973, A10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-92-0001 "Case Studies of Liquefaction and Lifeline Performance During Past Earthquakes, Volume 1: Japanese Case Studies," Edited by M. Hamada and T. O'Rourke, 2/17/92, (PB92-197243, A18, MF-A04).
- NCEER-92-0002 "Case Studies of Liquefaction and Lifeline Performance During Past Earthquakes, Volume 2: United States Case Studies," Edited by T. O'Rourke and M. Hamada, 2/17/92, (PB92-197250, A20, MF-A04).
- NCEER-92-0003 "Issues in Earthquake Education," Edited by K. Ross, 2/3/92, (PB92-222389, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0004 "Proceedings from the First U.S. - Japan Workshop on Earthquake Protective Systems for Bridges," Edited by I.G. Buckle, 2/4/92, (PB94-142239, A99, MF-A06).
- NCEER-92-0005 "Seismic Ground Motion from a Haskell-Type Source in a Multiple-Layered Half-Space," A.P. Theoharis, G. Deodatis and M. Shinozuka, 1/2/92, to be published.
- NCEER-92-0006 "Proceedings from the Site Effects Workshop," Edited by R. Whitman, 2/29/92, (PB92-197201, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0007 "Engineering Evaluation of Permanent Ground Deformations Due to Seismically-Induced Liquefaction," by M.H. Baziar, R. Dobry and A-W.M. Elgamel, 3/24/92, (PB92-222421, A13, MF-A03).
- NCEER-92-0008 "A Procedure for the Seismic Evaluation of Buildings in the Central and Eastern United States," by C.D. Poland and J.O. Malley, 4/2/92, (PB92-222439, A20, MF-A04).
- NCEER-92-0009 "Experimental and Analytical Study of a Hybrid Isolation System Using Friction Controllable Sliding Bearings," by M.Q. Feng, S. Fujii and M. Shinozuka, 5/15/92, (PB93-150282, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0010 "Seismic Resistance of Slab-Column Connections in Existing Non-Ductile Flat-Plate Buildings," by A.J. Durrani and Y. Du, 5/18/92, (PB93-116812, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0011 "The Hysteretic and Dynamic Behavior of Brick Masonry Walls Upgraded by Ferrocement Coatings Under Cyclic Loading and Strong Simulated Ground Motion," by H. Lee and S.P. Prawel, 5/11/92, to be published.
- NCEER-92-0012 "Study of Wire Rope Systems for Seismic Protection of Equipment in Buildings," by G.F. Demetriades, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 5/20/92, (PB93-116655, A08, MF-A02).

- NCEER-92-0013 "Shape Memory Structural Dampers: Material Properties, Design and Seismic Testing," by P.R. Witting and F.A. Cozzarelli, 5/26/92, (PB93-116663, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0014 "Longitudinal Permanent Ground Deformation Effects on Buried Continuous Pipelines," by M.J. O'Rourke, and C. Nordberg, 6/15/92, (PB93-116671, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0015 "A Simulation Method for Stationary Gaussian Random Functions Based on the Sampling Theorem," by M. Grigoriu and S. Balopoulou, 6/11/92, (PB93-127496, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0016 "Gravity-Load-Designed Reinforced Concrete Buildings: Seismic Evaluation of Existing Construction and Detailing Strategies for Improved Seismic Resistance," by G.W. Hoffmann, S.K. Kunnath, A.M. Reinhorn and J.B. Mander, 7/15/92, (PB94-142007, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0017 "Observations on Water System and Pipeline Performance in the Limón Area of Costa Rica Due to the April 22, 1991 Earthquake," by M. O'Rourke and D. Ballantyne, 6/30/92, (PB93-126811, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0018 "Fourth Edition of Earthquake Education Materials for Grades K-12," Edited by K.E.K. Ross, 8/10/92, (PB93-114023, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0019 "Proceedings from the Fourth Japan-U.S. Workshop on Earthquake Resistant Design of Lifeline Facilities and Countermeasures for Soil Liquefaction," Edited by M. Hamada and T.D. O'Rourke, 8/12/92, (PB93-163939, A99, MF-E11).
- NCEER-92-0020 "Active Bracing System: A Full Scale Implementation of Active Control," by A.M. Reinhorn, T.T. Soong, R.C. Lin, M.A. Riley, Y.P. Wang, S. Aizawa and M. Higashino, 8/14/92, (PB93-127512, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0021 "Empirical Analysis of Horizontal Ground Displacement Generated by Liquefaction-Induced Lateral Spreads," by S.F. Bartlett and T.L. Youd, 8/17/92, (PB93-188241, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0022 "IDARC Version 3.0: Inelastic Damage Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Structures," by S.K. Kunnath, A.M. Reinhorn and R.F. Lobo, 8/31/92, (PB93-227502, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0023 "A Semi-Empirical Analysis of Strong-Motion Peaks in Terms of Seismic Source, Propagation Path and Local Site Conditions, by M. Kamiyama, M.J. O'Rourke and R. Flores-Berrones, 9/9/92, (PB93-150266, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0024 "Seismic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures with Nonductile Details, Part I: Summary of Experimental Findings of Full Scale Beam-Column Joint Tests," by A. Beres, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 9/30/92, (PB93-227783, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0025 "Experimental Results of Repaired and Retrofitted Beam-Column Joint Tests in Lightly Reinforced Concrete Frame Buildings," by A. Beres, S. El-Borgi, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 10/29/92, (PB93-227791, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0026 "A Generalization of Optimal Control Theory: Linear and Nonlinear Structures," by J.N. Yang, Z. Li and S. Vongchavalitkul, 11/2/92, (PB93-188621, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0027 "Seismic Resistance of Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures Designed Only for Gravity Loads: Part I - Design and Properties of a One-Third Scale Model Structure," by J.M. Bracci, A.M. Reinhorn and J.B. Mander, 12/1/92, (PB94-104502, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0028 "Seismic Resistance of Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures Designed Only for Gravity Loads: Part II - Experimental Performance of Subassemblages," by L.E. Aycaardi, J.B. Mander and A.M. Reinhorn, 12/1/92, (PB94-104510, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0029 "Seismic Resistance of Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures Designed Only for Gravity Loads: Part III - Experimental Performance and Analytical Study of a Structural Model," by J.M. Bracci, A.M. Reinhorn and J.B. Mander, 12/1/92, (PB93-227528, A09, MF-A01).

- NCEER-92-0030 "Evaluation of Seismic Retrofit of Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures: Part I - Experimental Performance of Retrofitted Subassemblages," by D. Choudhuri, J.B. Mander and A.M. Reinhorn, 12/8/92, (PB93-198307, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0031 "Evaluation of Seismic Retrofit of Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures: Part II - Experimental Performance and Analytical Study of a Retrofitted Structural Model," by J.M. Bracci, A.M. Reinhorn and J.B. Mander, 12/8/92, (PB93-198315, A09, MF-A03).
- NCEER-92-0032 "Experimental and Analytical Investigation of Seismic Response of Structures with Supplemental Fluid Viscous Dampers," by M.C. Constantinou and M.D. Symans, 12/21/92, (PB93-191435, A10, MF-A03). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-92-0033 "Reconnaissance Report on the Cairo, Egypt Earthquake of October 12, 1992," by M. Khater, 12/23/92, (PB93-188621, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0034 "Low-Level Dynamic Characteristics of Four Tall Flat-Plate Buildings in New York City," by H. Gavin, S. Yuan, J. Grossman, E. Pekelis and K. Jacob, 12/28/92, (PB93-188217, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0001 "An Experimental Study on the Seismic Performance of Brick-Infilled Steel Frames With and Without Retrofit," by J.B. Mander, B. Nair, K. Wojtkowski and J. Ma, 1/29/93, (PB93-227510, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0002 "Social Accounting for Disaster Preparedness and Recovery Planning," by S. Cole, E. Pantoja and V. Razak, 2/22/93, (PB94-142114, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-93-0003 "Assessment of 1991 NEHRP Provisions for Nonstructural Components and Recommended Revisions," by T.T. Soong, G. Chen, Z. Wu, R-H. Zhang and M. Grigoriu, 3/1/93, (PB93-188639, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0004 "Evaluation of Static and Response Spectrum Analysis Procedures of SEAOC/UBC for Seismic Isolated Structures," by C.W. Winters and M.C. Constantinou, 3/23/93, (PB93-198299, A10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-93-0005 "Earthquakes in the Northeast - Are We Ignoring the Hazard? A Workshop on Earthquake Science and Safety for Educators," edited by K.E.K. Ross, 4/2/93, (PB94-103066, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0006 "Inelastic Response of Reinforced Concrete Structures with Viscoelastic Braces," by R.F. Lobo, J.M. Bracci, K.L. Shen, A.M. Reinhorn and T.T. Soong, 4/5/93, (PB93-227486, A05, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0007 "Seismic Testing of Installation Methods for Computers and Data Processing Equipment," by K. Kosar, T.T. Soong, K.L. Shen, J.A. HoLung and Y.K. Lin, 4/12/93, (PB93-198299, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0008 "Retrofit of Reinforced Concrete Frames Using Added Dampers," by A. Reinhorn, M. Constantinou and C. Li, to be published.
- NCEER-93-0009 "Seismic Behavior and Design Guidelines for Steel Frame Structures with Added Viscoelastic Dampers," by K.C. Chang, M.L. Lai, T.T. Soong, D.S. Hao and Y.C. Yeh, 5/1/93, (PB94-141959, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0010 "Seismic Performance of Shear-Critical Reinforced Concrete Bridge Piers," by J.B. Mander, S.M. Waheed, M.T.A. Chaudhary and S.S. Chen, 5/12/93, (PB93-227494, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0011 "3D-BASIS-TABS: Computer Program for Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Three Dimensional Base Isolated Structures," by S. Nagarajaiah, C. Li, A.M. Reinhorn and M.C. Constantinou, 8/2/93, (PB94-141819, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0012 "Effects of Hydrocarbon Spills from an Oil Pipeline Break on Ground Water," by O.J. Helweg and H.H.M. Hwang, 8/3/93, (PB94-141942, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0013 "Simplified Procedures for Seismic Design of Nonstructural Components and Assessment of Current Code Provisions," by M.P. Singh, L.E. Suarez, E.E. Matheu and G.O. Maldonado, 8/4/93, (PB94-141827, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0014 "An Energy Approach to Seismic Analysis and Design of Secondary Systems," by G. Chen and T.T. Soong, 8/6/93, (PB94-142767, A11, MF-A03).

- NCEER-93-0015 "Proceedings from School Sites: Becoming Prepared for Earthquakes - Commemorating the Third Anniversary of the Loma Prieta Earthquake," Edited by F.E. Winslow and K.E.K. Ross, 8/16/93, (PB94-154275, A16, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0016 "Reconnaissance Report of Damage to Historic Monuments in Cairo, Egypt Following the October 12, 1992 Dahshur Earthquake," by D. Sykora, D. Look, G. Croci, E. Karaesmen and E. Karaesmen, 8/19/93, (PB94-142221, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0017 "The Island of Guam Earthquake of August 8, 1993," by S.W. Swan and S.K. Harris, 9/30/93, (PB94-141843, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-93-0018 "Engineering Aspects of the October 12, 1992 Egyptian Earthquake," by A.W. Elgamal, M. Amer, K. Adalier and A. Abul-Fadl, 10/7/93, (PB94-141983, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-93-0019 "Development of an Earthquake Motion Simulator and its Application in Dynamic Centrifuge Testing," by I. Krstelj, Supervised by J.H. Prevost, 10/23/93, (PB94-181773, A-10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-93-0020 "NCEER-Taisei Corporation Research Program on Sliding Seismic Isolation Systems for Bridges: Experimental and Analytical Study of a Friction Pendulum System (FPS)," by M.C. Constantinou, P. Tsopelas, Y-S. Kim and S. Okamoto, 11/1/93, (PB94-142775, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0021 "Finite Element Modeling of Elastomeric Seismic Isolation Bearings," by L.J. Billings, Supervised by R. Shepherd, 11/8/93, to be published.
- NCEER-93-0022 "Seismic Vulnerability of Equipment in Critical Facilities: Life-Safety and Operational Consequences," by K. Porter, G.S. Johnson, M.M. Zadeh, C. Scawthorn and S. Eder, 11/24/93, (PB94-181765, A16, MF-A03).
- NCEER-93-0023 "Hokkaido Nansei-oki, Japan Earthquake of July 12, 1993, by P.I. Yanev and C.R. Scawthorn, 12/23/93, (PB94-181500, A07, MF-A01).
- NCEER-94-0001 "An Evaluation of Seismic Serviceability of Water Supply Networks with Application to the San Francisco Auxiliary Water Supply System," by I. Markov, Supervised by M. Grigoriu and T. O'Rourke, 1/21/94, (PB94-204013, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0002 "NCEER-Taisei Corporation Research Program on Sliding Seismic Isolation Systems for Bridges: Experimental and Analytical Study of Systems Consisting of Sliding Bearings, Rubber Restoring Force Devices and Fluid Dampers," Volumes I and II, by P. Tsopelas, S. Okamoto, M.C. Constantinou, D. Ozaki and S. Fujii, 2/4/94, (PB94-181740, A09, MF-A02 and PB94-181757, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0003 "A Markov Model for Local and Global Damage Indices in Seismic Analysis," by S. Rahman and M. Grigoriu, 2/18/94, (PB94-206000, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0004 "Proceedings from the NCEER Workshop on Seismic Response of Masonry Infills," edited by D.P. Abrams, 3/1/94, (PB94-180783, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0005 "The Northridge, California Earthquake of January 17, 1994: General Reconnaissance Report," edited by J.D. Goltz, 3/11/94, (PB94-193943, A10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0006 "Seismic Energy Based Fatigue Damage Analysis of Bridge Columns: Part I - Evaluation of Seismic Capacity," by G.A. Chang and J.B. Mander, 3/14/94, (PB94-219185, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0007 "Seismic Isolation of Multi-Story Frame Structures Using Spherical Sliding Isolation Systems," by T.M. Al-Hussaini, V.A. Zayas and M.C. Constantinou, 3/17/94, (PB94-193745, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0008 "The Northridge, California Earthquake of January 17, 1994: Performance of Highway Bridges," edited by I.G. Buckle, 3/24/94, (PB94-193851, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0009 "Proceedings of the Third U.S.-Japan Workshop on Earthquake Protective Systems for Bridges," edited by I.G. Buckle and I. Friedland, 3/31/94, (PB94-195815, A99, MF-A06).

- NCEER-94-0010 "3D-BASIS-ME: Computer Program for Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Seismically Isolated Single and Multiple Structures and Liquid Storage Tanks," by P.C. Tsopelas, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 4/12/94, (PB94-204922, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0011 "The Northridge, California Earthquake of January 17, 1994: Performance of Gas Transmission Pipelines," by T.D. O'Rourke and M.C. Palmer, 5/16/94, (PB94-204989, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-94-0012 "Feasibility Study of Replacement Procedures and Earthquake Performance Related to Gas Transmission Pipelines," by T.D. O'Rourke and M.C. Palmer, 5/25/94, (PB94-206638, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0013 "Seismic Energy Based Fatigue Damage Analysis of Bridge Columns: Part II - Evaluation of Seismic Demand," by G.A. Chang and J.B. Mander, 6/1/94, (PB95-18106, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0014 "NCEER-Taisei Corporation Research Program on Sliding Seismic Isolation Systems for Bridges: Experimental and Analytical Study of a System Consisting of Sliding Bearings and Fluid Restoring Force/Damping Devices," by P. Tsopelas and M.C. Constantinou, 6/13/94, (PB94-219144, A10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0015 "Generation of Hazard-Consistent Fragility Curves for Seismic Loss Estimation Studies," by H. Hwang and J-R. Huo, 6/14/94, (PB95-181996, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0016 "Seismic Study of Building Frames with Added Energy-Absorbing Devices," by W.S. Pong, C.S. Tsai and G.C. Lee, 6/20/94, (PB94-219136, A10, A03).
- NCEER-94-0017 "Sliding Mode Control for Seismic-Excited Linear and Nonlinear Civil Engineering Structures," by J. Yang, J. Wu, A. Agrawal and Z. Li, 6/21/94, (PB95-138483, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0018 "3D-BASIS-TABS Version 2.0: Computer Program for Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Three Dimensional Base Isolated Structures," by A.M. Reinhorn, S. Nagarajaiah, M.C. Constantinou, P. Tsopelas and R. Li, 6/22/94, (PB95-182176, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0019 "Proceedings of the International Workshop on Civil Infrastructure Systems: Application of Intelligent Systems and Advanced Materials on Bridge Systems," Edited by G.C. Lee and K.C. Chang, 7/18/94, (PB95-252474, A20, MF-A04).
- NCEER-94-0020 "Study of Seismic Isolation Systems for Computer Floors," by V. Lambrou and M.C. Constantinou, 7/19/94, (PB95-138533, A10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0021 "Proceedings of the U.S.-Italian Workshop on Guidelines for Seismic Evaluation and Rehabilitation of Unreinforced Masonry Buildings," Edited by D.P. Abrams and G.M. Calvi, 7/20/94, (PB95-138749, A13, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0022 "NCEER-Taisei Corporation Research Program on Sliding Seismic Isolation Systems for Bridges: Experimental and Analytical Study of a System Consisting of Lubricated PTFE Sliding Bearings and Mild Steel Dampers," by P. Tsopelas and M.C. Constantinou, 7/22/94, (PB95-182184, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0023 "Development of Reliability-Based Design Criteria for Buildings Under Seismic Load," by Y.K. Wen, H. Hwang and M. Shinozuka, 8/1/94, (PB95-211934, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0024 "Experimental Verification of Acceleration Feedback Control Strategies for an Active Tendon System," by S.J. Dyke, B.F. Spencer, Jr., P. Quast, M.K. Sain, D.C. Kaspari, Jr. and T.T. Soong, 8/29/94, (PB95-212320, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-94-0025 "Seismic Retrofitting Manual for Highway Bridges," Edited by I.G. Buckle and I.F. Friedland, published by the Federal Highway Administration (PB95-212676, A15, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0026 "Proceedings from the Fifth U.S.-Japan Workshop on Earthquake Resistant Design of Lifeline Facilities and Countermeasures Against Soil Liquefaction," Edited by T.D. O'Rourke and M. Hamada, 11/7/94, (PB95-220802, A99, MF-E08).

- NCEER-95-0001 “Experimental and Analytical Investigation of Seismic Retrofit of Structures with Supplemental Damping: Part 1 - Fluid Viscous Damping Devices,” by A.M. Reinhorn, C. Li and M.C. Constantinou, 1/3/95, (PB95-266599, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0002 “Experimental and Analytical Study of Low-Cycle Fatigue Behavior of Semi-Rigid Top-And-Seat Angle Connections,” by G. Pekcan, J.B. Mander and S.S. Chen, 1/5/95, (PB95-220042, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0003 “NCEER-ATC Joint Study on Fragility of Buildings,” by T. Anagnos, C. Rojahn and A.S. Kiremidjian, 1/20/95, (PB95-220026, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0004 “Nonlinear Control Algorithms for Peak Response Reduction,” by Z. Wu, T.T. Soong, V. Gattulli and R.C. Lin, 2/16/95, (PB95-220349, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-95-0005 “Pipeline Replacement Feasibility Study: A Methodology for Minimizing Seismic and Corrosion Risks to Underground Natural Gas Pipelines,” by R.T. Eguchi, H.A. Seligson and D.G. Honegger, 3/2/95, (PB95-252326, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0006 “Evaluation of Seismic Performance of an 11-Story Frame Building During the 1994 Northridge Earthquake,” by F. Naeim, R. DiSulio, K. Benuska, A. Reinhorn and C. Li, to be published.
- NCEER-95-0007 “Prioritization of Bridges for Seismic Retrofitting,” by N. Basöz and A.S. Kiremidjian, 4/24/95, (PB95-252300, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0008 “Method for Developing Motion Damage Relationships for Reinforced Concrete Frames,” by A. Singhal and A.S. Kiremidjian, 5/11/95, (PB95-266607, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0009 “Experimental and Analytical Investigation of Seismic Retrofit of Structures with Supplemental Damping: Part II - Friction Devices,” by C. Li and A.M. Reinhorn, 7/6/95, (PB96-128087, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-95-0010 “Experimental Performance and Analytical Study of a Non-Ductile Reinforced Concrete Frame Structure Retrofitted with Elastomeric Spring Dampers,” by G. Pekcan, J.B. Mander and S.S. Chen, 7/14/95, (PB96-137161, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0011 “Development and Experimental Study of Semi-Active Fluid Damping Devices for Seismic Protection of Structures,” by M.D. Symans and M.C. Constantinou, 8/3/95, (PB96-136940, A23, MF-A04).
- NCEER-95-0012 “Real-Time Structural Parameter Modification (RSPM): Development of Innervated Structures,” by Z. Liang, M. Tong and G.C. Lee, 4/11/95, (PB96-137153, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-95-0013 “Experimental and Analytical Investigation of Seismic Retrofit of Structures with Supplemental Damping: Part III - Viscous Damping Walls,” by A.M. Reinhorn and C. Li, 10/1/95, (PB96-176409, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-95-0014 “Seismic Fragility Analysis of Equipment and Structures in a Memphis Electric Substation,” by J-R. Huo and H.H.M. Hwang, 8/10/95, (PB96-128087, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0015 “The Hanshin-Awaji Earthquake of January 17, 1995: Performance of Lifelines,” Edited by M. Shinozuka, 11/3/95, (PB96-176383, A15, MF-A03).
- NCEER-95-0016 “Highway Culvert Performance During Earthquakes,” by T.L. Youd and C.J. Beckman, available as NCEER-96-0015.
- NCEER-95-0017 “The Hanshin-Awaji Earthquake of January 17, 1995: Performance of Highway Bridges,” Edited by I.G. Buckle, 12/1/95, to be published.
- NCEER-95-0018 “Modeling of Masonry Infill Panels for Structural Analysis,” by A.M. Reinhorn, A. Madan, R.E. Valles, Y. Reichmann and J.B. Mander, 12/8/95, (PB97-110886, MF-A01, A06).
- NCEER-95-0019 “Optimal Polynomial Control for Linear and Nonlinear Structures,” by A.K. Agrawal and J.N. Yang, 12/11/95, (PB96-168737, A07, MF-A02).

- NCEER-95-0020 "Retrofit of Non-Ductile Reinforced Concrete Frames Using Friction Dampers," by R.S. Rao, P. Gergely and R.N. White, 12/22/95, (PB97-133508, A10, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0021 "Parametric Results for Seismic Response of Pile-Supported Bridge Bents," by G. Mylonakis, A. Nikolaou and G. Gazetas, 12/22/95, (PB97-100242, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-95-0022 "Kinematic Bending Moments in Seismically Stressed Piles," by A. Nikolaou, G. Mylonakis and G. Gazetas, 12/23/95, (PB97-113914, MF-A03, A13).
- NCEER-96-0001 "Dynamic Response of Unreinforced Masonry Buildings with Flexible Diaphragms," by A.C. Costley and D.P. Abrams, 10/10/96, (PB97-133573, MF-A03, A15).
- NCEER-96-0002 "State of the Art Review: Foundations and Retaining Structures," by I. Po Lam, to be published.
- NCEER-96-0003 "Ductility of Rectangular Reinforced Concrete Bridge Columns with Moderate Confinement," by N. Wehbe, M. Saiidi, D. Sanders and B. Douglas, 11/7/96, (PB97-133557, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-96-0004 "Proceedings of the Long-Span Bridge Seismic Research Workshop," edited by I.G. Buckle and I.M. Friedland, to be published.
- NCEER-96-0005 "Establish Representative Pier Types for Comprehensive Study: Eastern United States," by J. Kulicki and Z. Prucz, 5/28/96, (PB98-119217, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-96-0006 "Establish Representative Pier Types for Comprehensive Study: Western United States," by R. Imbsen, R.A. Schamber and T.A. Osterkamp, 5/28/96, (PB98-118607, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-96-0007 "Nonlinear Control Techniques for Dynamical Systems with Uncertain Parameters," by R.G. Ghanem and M.I. Bujakov, 5/27/96, (PB97-100259, A17, MF-A03).
- NCEER-96-0008 "Seismic Evaluation of a 30-Year Old Non-Ductile Highway Bridge Pier and Its Retrofit," by J.B. Mander, B. Mahmoodzadegan, S. Bhadra and S.S. Chen, 5/31/96, (PB97-110902, MF-A03, A10).
- NCEER-96-0009 "Seismic Performance of a Model Reinforced Concrete Bridge Pier Before and After Retrofit," by J.B. Mander, J.H. Kim and C.A. Ligozio, 5/31/96, (PB97-110910, MF-A02, A10).
- NCEER-96-0010 "IDARC2D Version 4.0: A Computer Program for the Inelastic Damage Analysis of Buildings," by R.E. Valles, A.M. Reinhorn, S.K. Kunnath, C. Li and A. Madan, 6/3/96, (PB97-100234, A17, MF-A03).
- NCEER-96-0011 "Estimation of the Economic Impact of Multiple Lifeline Disruption: Memphis Light, Gas and Water Division Case Study," by S.E. Chang, H.A. Seligson and R.T. Eguchi, 8/16/96, (PB97-133490, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-96-0012 "Proceedings from the Sixth Japan-U.S. Workshop on Earthquake Resistant Design of Lifeline Facilities and Countermeasures Against Soil Liquefaction, Edited by M. Hamada and T. O'Rourke, 9/11/96, (PB97-133581, A99, MF-A06).
- NCEER-96-0013 "Chemical Hazards, Mitigation and Preparedness in Areas of High Seismic Risk: A Methodology for Estimating the Risk of Post-Earthquake Hazardous Materials Release," by H.A. Seligson, R.T. Eguchi, K.J. Tierney and K. Richmond, 11/7/96, (PB97-133565, MF-A02, A08).
- NCEER-96-0014 "Response of Steel Bridge Bearings to Reversed Cyclic Loading," by J.B. Mander, D-K. Kim, S.S. Chen and G.J. Premus, 11/13/96, (PB97-140735, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-96-0015 "Highway Culvert Performance During Past Earthquakes," by T.L. Youd and C.J. Beckman, 11/25/96, (PB97-133532, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-97-0001 "Evaluation, Prevention and Mitigation of Pounding Effects in Building Structures," by R.E. Valles and A.M. Reinhorn, 2/20/97, (PB97-159552, A14, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0002 "Seismic Design Criteria for Bridges and Other Highway Structures," by C. Rojahn, R. Mayes, D.G. Anderson, J. Clark, J.H. Hom, R.V. Nutt and M.J. O'Rourke, 4/30/97, (PB97-194658, A06, MF-A03).



- NCEER-97-0003 "Proceedings of the U.S.-Italian Workshop on Seismic Evaluation and Retrofit," Edited by D.P. Abrams and G.M. Calvi, 3/19/97, (PB97-194666, A13, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0004 "Investigation of Seismic Response of Buildings with Linear and Nonlinear Fluid Viscous Dampers," by A.A. Seleemah and M.C. Constantinou, 5/21/97, (PB98-109002, A15, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0005 "Proceedings of the Workshop on Earthquake Engineering Frontiers in Transportation Facilities," edited by G.C. Lee and I.M. Friedland, 8/29/97, (PB98-128911, A25, MR-A04).
- NCEER-97-0006 "Cumulative Seismic Damage of Reinforced Concrete Bridge Piers," by S.K. Kunnath, A. El-Bahy, A. Taylor and W. Stone, 9/2/97, (PB98-108814, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0007 "Structural Details to Accommodate Seismic Movements of Highway Bridges and Retaining Walls," by R.A. Imbsen, R.A. Schamber, E. Thorkildsen, A. Kartoum, B.T. Martin, T.N. Rosser and J.M. Kulicki, 9/3/97, (PB98-108996, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-97-0008 "A Method for Earthquake Motion-Damage Relationships with Application to Reinforced Concrete Frames," by A. Singhal and A.S. Kiremidjian, 9/10/97, (PB98-108988, A13, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0009 "Seismic Analysis and Design of Bridge Abutments Considering Sliding and Rotation," by K. Fishman and R. Richards, Jr., 9/15/97, (PB98-108897, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-97-0010 "Proceedings of the FHWA/NCEER Workshop on the National Representation of Seismic Ground Motion for New and Existing Highway Facilities," edited by I.M. Friedland, M.S. Power and R.L. Mayes, 9/22/97, (PB98-128903, A21, MF-A04).
- NCEER-97-0011 "Seismic Analysis for Design or Retrofit of Gravity Bridge Abutments," by K.L. Fishman, R. Richards, Jr. and R.C. Divito, 10/2/97, (PB98-128937, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-97-0012 "Evaluation of Simplified Methods of Analysis for Yielding Structures," by P. Tsopelas, M.C. Constantinou, C.A. Kircher and A.S. Whittaker, 10/31/97, (PB98-128929, A10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0013 "Seismic Design of Bridge Columns Based on Control and Repairability of Damage," by C-T. Cheng and J.B. Mander, 12/8/97, (PB98-144249, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0014 "Seismic Resistance of Bridge Piers Based on Damage Avoidance Design," by J.B. Mander and C-T. Cheng, 12/10/97, (PB98-144223, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-97-0015 "Seismic Response of Nominally Symmetric Systems with Strength Uncertainty," by S. Balopoulou and M. Grigoriu, 12/23/97, (PB98-153422, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0016 "Evaluation of Seismic Retrofit Methods for Reinforced Concrete Bridge Columns," by T.J. Wipf, F.W. Klaiber and F.M. Russo, 12/28/97, (PB98-144215, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0017 "Seismic Fragility of Existing Conventional Reinforced Concrete Highway Bridges," by C.L. Mullen and A.S. Cakmak, 12/30/97, (PB98-153406, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-97-0018 "Loss Assessment of Memphis Buildings," edited by D.P. Abrams and M. Shinozuka, 12/31/97, (PB98-144231, A13, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0019 "Seismic Evaluation of Frames with Infill Walls Using Quasi-static Experiments," by K.M. Mosalam, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 12/31/97, (PB98-153455, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-97-0020 "Seismic Evaluation of Frames with Infill Walls Using Pseudo-dynamic Experiments," by K.M. Mosalam, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 12/31/97, (PB98-153430, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-97-0021 "Computational Strategies for Frames with Infill Walls: Discrete and Smeared Crack Analyses and Seismic Fragility," by K.M. Mosalam, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 12/31/97, (PB98-153414, A10, MF-A02).

- NCEER-97-0022 "Proceedings of the NCEER Workshop on Evaluation of Liquefaction Resistance of Soils," edited by T.L. Youd and I.M. Idriss, 12/31/97, (PB98-155617, A15, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0001 "Extraction of Nonlinear Hysteretic Properties of Seismically Isolated Bridges from Quick-Release Field Tests," by Q. Chen, B.M. Douglas, E.M. Maragakis and I.G. Buckle, 5/26/98, (PB99-118838, A06, MF-A01).
- MCEER-98-0002 "Methodologies for Evaluating the Importance of Highway Bridges," by A. Thomas, S. Eshenaur and J. Kulicki, 5/29/98, (PB99-118846, A10, MF-A02).
- MCEER-98-0003 "Capacity Design of Bridge Piers and the Analysis of Overstrength," by J.B. Mander, A. Dutta and P. Goel, 6/1/98, (PB99-118853, A09, MF-A02).
- MCEER-98-0004 "Evaluation of Bridge Damage Data from the Loma Prieta and Northridge, California Earthquakes," by N. Basoz and A. Kiremidjian, 6/2/98, (PB99-118861, A15, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0005 "Screening Guide for Rapid Assessment of Liquefaction Hazard at Highway Bridge Sites," by T. L. Youd, 6/16/98, (PB99-118879, A06, not available on microfiche).
- MCEER-98-0006 "Structural Steel and Steel/Concrete Interface Details for Bridges," by P. Ritchie, N. Kaulh and J. Kulicki, 7/13/98, (PB99-118945, A06, MF-A01).
- MCEER-98-0007 "Capacity Design and Fatigue Analysis of Confined Concrete Columns," by A. Dutta and J.B. Mander, 7/14/98, (PB99-118960, A14, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0008 "Proceedings of the Workshop on Performance Criteria for Telecommunication Services Under Earthquake Conditions," edited by A.J. Schiff, 7/15/98, (PB99-118952, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-98-0009 "Fatigue Analysis of Unconfined Concrete Columns," by J.B. Mander, A. Dutta and J.H. Kim, 9/12/98, (PB99-123655, A10, MF-A02).
- MCEER-98-0010 "Centrifuge Modeling of Cyclic Lateral Response of Pile-Cap Systems and Seat-Type Abutments in Dry Sands," by A.D. Gadre and R. Dobry, 10/2/98, (PB99-123606, A13, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0011 "IDARC-BRIDGE: A Computational Platform for Seismic Damage Assessment of Bridge Structures," by A.M. Reinhorn, V. Simeonov, G. Mylonakis and Y. Reichman, 10/2/98, (PB99-162919, A15, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0012 "Experimental Investigation of the Dynamic Response of Two Bridges Before and After Retrofitting with Elastomeric Bearings," by D.A. Wendichansky, S.S. Chen and J.B. Mander, 10/2/98, (PB99-162927, A15, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0013 "Design Procedures for Hinge Restrainers and Hinge Sear Width for Multiple-Frame Bridges," by R. Des Roches and G.L. Fenves, 11/3/98, (PB99-140477, A13, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0014 "Response Modification Factors for Seismically Isolated Bridges," by M.C. Constantinou and J.K. Quarshie, 11/3/98, (PB99-140485, A14, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0015 "Proceedings of the U.S.-Italy Workshop on Seismic Protective Systems for Bridges," edited by I.M. Friedland and M.C. Constantinou, 11/3/98, (PB2000-101711, A22, MF-A04).
- MCEER-98-0016 "Appropriate Seismic Reliability for Critical Equipment Systems: Recommendations Based on Regional Analysis of Financial and Life Loss," by K. Porter, C. Scawthorn, C. Taylor and N. Blais, 11/10/98, (PB99-157265, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-98-0017 "Proceedings of the U.S. Japan Joint Seminar on Civil Infrastructure Systems Research," edited by M. Shinozuka and A. Rose, 11/12/98, (PB99-156713, A16, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0018 "Modeling of Pile Footings and Drilled Shafts for Seismic Design," by I. PoLam, M. Kapuskar and D. Chaudhuri, 12/21/98, (PB99-157257, A09, MF-A02).

- MCEER-99-0001 "Seismic Evaluation of a Masonry Infilled Reinforced Concrete Frame by Pseudodynamic Testing," by S.G. Buonopane and R.N. White, 2/16/99, (PB99-162851, A09, MF-A02).
- MCEER-99-0002 "Response History Analysis of Structures with Seismic Isolation and Energy Dissipation Systems: Verification Examples for Program SAP2000," by J. Scheller and M.C. Constantinou, 2/22/99, (PB99-162869, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-99-0003 "Experimental Study on the Seismic Design and Retrofit of Bridge Columns Including Axial Load Effects," by A. Dutta, T. Kokorina and J.B. Mander, 2/22/99, (PB99-162877, A09, MF-A02).
- MCEER-99-0004 "Experimental Study of Bridge Elastomeric and Other Isolation and Energy Dissipation Systems with Emphasis on Uplift Prevention and High Velocity Near-source Seismic Excitation," by A. Kasalanati and M. C. Constantinou, 2/26/99, (PB99-162885, A12, MF-A03).
- MCEER-99-0005 "Truss Modeling of Reinforced Concrete Shear-flexure Behavior," by J.H. Kim and J.B. Mander, 3/8/99, (PB99-163693, A12, MF-A03).
- MCEER-99-0006 "Experimental Investigation and Computational Modeling of Seismic Response of a 1:4 Scale Model Steel Structure with a Load Balancing Supplemental Damping System," by G. Pekcan, J.B. Mander and S.S. Chen, 4/2/99, (PB99-162893, A11, MF-A03).
- MCEER-99-0007 "Effect of Vertical Ground Motions on the Structural Response of Highway Bridges," by M.R. Button, C.J. Cronin and R.L. Mayes, 4/10/99, (PB2000-101411, A10, MF-A03).
- MCEER-99-0008 "Seismic Reliability Assessment of Critical Facilities: A Handbook, Supporting Documentation, and Model Code Provisions," by G.S. Johnson, R.E. Sheppard, M.D. Quilici, S.J. Eder and C.R. Scawthorn, 4/12/99, (PB2000-101701, A18, MF-A04).
- MCEER-99-0009 "Impact Assessment of Selected MCEER Highway Project Research on the Seismic Design of Highway Structures," by C. Rojahn, R. Mayes, D.G. Anderson, J.H. Clark, D'Appolonia Engineering, S. Gloyd and R.V. Nutt, 4/14/99, (PB99-162901, A10, MF-A02).
- MCEER-99-0010 "Site Factors and Site Categories in Seismic Codes," by R. Dobry, R. Ramos and M.S. Power, 7/19/99, (PB2000-101705, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-99-0011 "Restrainer Design Procedures for Multi-Span Simply-Supported Bridges," by M.J. Randall, M. Saiidi, E. Maragakis and T. Isakovic, 7/20/99, (PB2000-101702, A10, MF-A02).
- MCEER-99-0012 "Property Modification Factors for Seismic Isolation Bearings," by M.C. Constantinou, P. Tsopelas, A. Kasalanati and E. Wolff, 7/20/99, (PB2000-103387, A11, MF-A03).
- MCEER-99-0013 "Critical Seismic Issues for Existing Steel Bridges," by P. Ritchie, N. Kauh and J. Kulicki, 7/20/99, (PB2000-101697, A09, MF-A02).
- MCEER-99-0014 "Nonstructural Damage Database," by A. Kao, T.T. Soong and A. Vender, 7/24/99, (PB2000-101407, A06, MF-A01).
- MCEER-99-0015 "Guide to Remedial Measures for Liquefaction Mitigation at Existing Highway Bridge Sites," by H.G. Cooke and J. K. Mitchell, 7/26/99, (PB2000-101703, A11, MF-A03).
- MCEER-99-0016 "Proceedings of the MCEER Workshop on Ground Motion Methodologies for the Eastern United States," edited by N. Abrahamson and A. Becker, 8/11/99, (PB2000-103385, A07, MF-A02).
- MCEER-99-0017 "Quindío, Colombia Earthquake of January 25, 1999: Reconnaissance Report," by A.P. Asfura and P.J. Flores, 10/4/99, (PB2000-106893, A06, MF-A01).
- MCEER-99-0018 "Hysteretic Models for Cyclic Behavior of Deteriorating Inelastic Structures," by M.V. Sivaselvan and A.M. Reinhorn, 11/5/99, (PB2000-103386, A08, MF-A02).

- MCEER-99-0019 "Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> U.S.- Japan Workshop on Earthquake Resistant Design of Lifeline Facilities and Countermeasures Against Soil Liquefaction," edited by T.D. O'Rourke, J.P. Bardet and M. Hamada, 11/19/99, (PB2000-103354, A99, MF-A06).
- MCEER-99-0020 "Development of Measurement Capability for Micro-Vibration Evaluations with Application to Chip Fabrication Facilities," by G.C. Lee, Z. Liang, J.W. Song, J.D. Shen and W.C. Liu, 12/1/99, (PB2000-105993, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-99-0021 "Design and Retrofit Methodology for Building Structures with Supplemental Energy Dissipating Systems," by G. Pekcan, J.B. Mander and S.S. Chen, 12/31/99, (PB2000-105994, A11, MF-A03).
- MCEER-00-0001 "The Marmara, Turkey Earthquake of August 17, 1999: Reconnaissance Report," edited by C. Scawthorn; with major contributions by M. Bruneau, R. Eguchi, T. Holzer, G. Johnson, J. Mander, J. Mitchell, W. Mitchell, A. Papageorgiou, C. Scaethorn, and G. Webb, 3/23/00, (PB2000-106200, A11, MF-A03).
- MCEER-00-0002 "Proceedings of the MCEER Workshop for Seismic Hazard Mitigation of Health Care Facilities," edited by G.C. Lee, M. Ettouney, M. Grigoriu, J. Hauer and J. Nigg, 3/29/00, (PB2000-106892, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-00-0003 "The Chi-Chi, Taiwan Earthquake of September 21, 1999: Reconnaissance Report," edited by G.C. Lee and C.H. Loh, with major contributions by G.C. Lee, M. Bruneau, I.G. Buckle, S.E. Chang, P.J. Flores, T.D. O'Rourke, M. Shinozuka, T.T. Soong, C-H. Loh, K-C. Chang, Z-J. Chen, J-S. Hwang, M-L. Lin, G-Y. Liu, K-C. Tsai, G.C. Yao and C-L. Yen, 4/30/00, (PB2001-100980, A10, MF-A02).
- MCEER-00-0004 "Seismic Retrofit of End-Sway Frames of Steel Deck-Truss Bridges with a Supplemental Tendon System: Experimental and Analytical Investigation," by G. Pekcan, J.B. Mander and S.S. Chen, 7/1/00, (PB2001-100982, A10, MF-A02).
- MCEER-00-0005 "Sliding Fragility of Unrestrained Equipment in Critical Facilities," by W.H. Chong and T.T. Soong, 7/5/00, (PB2001-100983, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-00-0006 "Seismic Response of Reinforced Concrete Bridge Pier Walls in the Weak Direction," by N. Abo-Shadi, M. Saiidi and D. Sanders, 7/17/00, (PB2001-100981, A17, MF-A03).
- MCEER-00-0007 "Low-Cycle Fatigue Behavior of Longitudinal Reinforcement in Reinforced Concrete Bridge Columns," by J. Brown and S.K. Kunnath, 7/23/00, (PB2001-104392, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-00-0008 "Soil Structure Interaction of Bridges for Seismic Analysis," I. PoLam and H. Law, 9/25/00, (PB2001-105397, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-00-0009 "Proceedings of the First MCEER Workshop on Mitigation of Earthquake Disaster by Advanced Technologies (MEDAT-1), edited by M. Shinozuka, D.J. Inman and T.D. O'Rourke, 11/10/00, (PB2001-105399, A14, MF-A03).
- MCEER-00-0010 "Development and Evaluation of Simplified Procedures for Analysis and Design of Buildings with Passive Energy Dissipation Systems," by O.M. Ramirez, M.C. Constantinou, C.A. Kircher, A.S. Whittaker, M.W. Johnson, J.D. Gomez and C. Chrysostomou, 11/16/01, (PB2001-105523, A23, MF-A04).
- MCEER-00-0011 "Dynamic Soil-Foundation-Structure Interaction Analyses of Large Caissons," by C-Y. Chang, C-M. Mok, Z-L. Wang, R. Settgast, F. Waggoner, M.A. Ketchum, H.M. Gonnermann and C-C. Chin, 12/30/00, (PB2001-104373, A07, MF-A02).
- MCEER-00-0012 "Experimental Evaluation of Seismic Performance of Bridge Restrainers," by A.G. Vlassis, E.M. Maragakis and M. Saiid Saiidi, 12/30/00, (PB2001-104354, A09, MF-A02).
- MCEER-00-0013 "Effect of Spatial Variation of Ground Motion on Highway Structures," by M. Shinozuka, V. Saxena and G. Deodatis, 12/31/00, (PB2001-108755, A13, MF-A03).
- MCEER-00-0014 "A Risk-Based Methodology for Assessing the Seismic Performance of Highway Systems," by S.D. Werner, C.E. Taylor, J.E. Moore, II, J.S. Walton and S. Cho, 12/31/00, (PB2001-108756, A14, MF-A03).

- MCEER-01-0001 "Experimental Investigation of P-Delta Effects to Collapse During Earthquakes," by D. Vian and M. Bruneau, 6/25/01, (PB2002-100534, A17, MF-A03).
- MCEER-01-0002 "Proceedings of the Second MCEER Workshop on Mitigation of Earthquake Disaster by Advanced Technologies (MEDAT-2)," edited by M. Bruneau and D.J. Inman, 7/23/01, (PB2002-100434, A16, MF-A03).
- MCEER-01-0003 "Sensitivity Analysis of Dynamic Systems Subjected to Seismic Loads," by C. Roth and M. Grigoriu, 9/18/01, (PB2003-100884, A12, MF-A03).
- MCEER-01-0004 "Overcoming Obstacles to Implementing Earthquake Hazard Mitigation Policies: Stage 1 Report," by D.J. Alesch and W.J. Petak, 12/17/01, (PB2002-107949, A07, MF-A02).
- MCEER-01-0005 "Updating Real-Time Earthquake Loss Estimates: Methods, Problems and Insights," by C.E. Taylor, S.E. Chang and R.T. Eguchi, 12/17/01, (PB2002-107948, A05, MF-A01).
- MCEER-01-0006 "Experimental Investigation and Retrofit of Steel Pile Foundations and Pile Bents Under Cyclic Lateral Loadings," by A. Shama, J. Mander, B. Blabac and S. Chen, 12/31/01, (PB2002-107950, A13, MF-A03).
- MCEER-02-0001 "Assessment of Performance of Bolu Viaduct in the 1999 Duzce Earthquake in Turkey" by P.C. Roussis, M.C. Constantinou, M. Erdik, E. Durukal and M. Dicleli, 5/8/02, (PB2003-100883, A08, MF-A02).
- MCEER-02-0002 "Seismic Behavior of Rail Counterweight Systems of Elevators in Buildings," by M.P. Singh, Rildova and L.E. Suarez, 5/27/02. (PB2003-100882, A11, MF-A03).
- MCEER-02-0003 "Development of Analysis and Design Procedures for Spread Footings," by G. Mylonakis, G. Gazetas, S. Nikolaou and A. Chauncey, 10/02/02, (PB2004-101636, A13, MF-A03, CD-A13).
- MCEER-02-0004 "Bare-Earth Algorithms for Use with SAR and LIDAR Digital Elevation Models," by C.K. Huyck, R.T. Eguchi and B. Houshmand, 10/16/02, (PB2004-101637, A07, CD-A07).
- MCEER-02-0005 "Review of Energy Dissipation of Compression Members in Concentrically Braced Frames," by K.Lee and M. Bruneau, 10/18/02, (PB2004-101638, A10, CD-A10).
- MCEER-03-0001 "Experimental Investigation of Light-Gauge Steel Plate Shear Walls for the Seismic Retrofit of Buildings" by J. Berman and M. Bruneau, 5/2/03, (PB2004-101622, A10, MF-A03, CD-A10).
- MCEER-03-0002 "Statistical Analysis of Fragility Curves," by M. Shinozuka, M.Q. Feng, H. Kim, T. Uzawa and T. Ueda, 6/16/03, (PB2004-101849, A09, CD-A09).
- MCEER-03-0003 "Proceedings of the Eighth U.S.-Japan Workshop on Earthquake Resistant Design of Lifeline Facilities and Countermeasures Against Liquefaction," edited by M. Hamada, J.P. Bardet and T.D. O'Rourke, 6/30/03, (PB2004-104386, A99, CD-A99).
- MCEER-03-0004 "Proceedings of the PRC-US Workshop on Seismic Analysis and Design of Special Bridges," edited by L.C. Fan and G.C. Lee, 7/15/03, (PB2004-104387, A14, CD-A14).
- MCEER-03-0005 "Urban Disaster Recovery: A Framework and Simulation Model," by S.B. Miles and S.E. Chang, 7/25/03, (PB2004-104388, A07, CD-A07).
- MCEER-03-0006 "Behavior of Underground Piping Joints Due to Static and Dynamic Loading," by R.D. Meis, M. Maragakis and R. Siddharthan, 11/17/03.
- MCEER-03-0007 "Seismic Vulnerability of Timber Bridges and Timber Substructures," by A.A. Shama, J.B. Mander, I.M. Friedland and D.R. Allicock, 12/15/03.
- MCEER-04-0001 "Experimental Study of Seismic Isolation Systems with Emphasis on Secondary System Response and Verification of Accuracy of Dynamic Response History Analysis Methods," by E. Wolff and M. Constantinou, 1/16/04.

- MCEER-04-0002 “Tension, Compression and Cyclic Testing of Engineered Cementitious Composite Materials,” by K. Kesner and S.L. Billington, 3/1/04.
- MCEER-04-0003 “Cyclic Testing of Braces Laterally Restrained by Steel Studs to Enhance Performance During Earthquakes,” by O.C. Celik, J.W. Berman and M. Bruneau, 3/16/04.
- MCEER-04-0004 “Methodologies for Post Earthquake Building Damage Detection Using SAR and Optical Remote Sensing: Application to the August 17, 1999 Marmara, Turkey Earthquake,” by C.K. Huyck, B.J. Adams, S. Cho, R.T. Eguchi, B. Mansouri and B. Houshmand, 6/15/04.
- MCEER-04-0005 “Nonlinear Structural Analysis Towards Collapse Simulation: A Dynamical Systems Approach,” by M.V. Sivaselvan and A.M. Reinhorn, 6/16/04.
- MCEER-04-0006 “Proceedings of the Second PRC-US Workshop on Seismic Analysis and Design of Special Bridges,” edited by G.C. Lee and L.C. Fan, 6/25/04.
- MCEER-04-0007 “Seismic Vulnerability Evaluation of Axially Loaded Steel Built-up Laced Members,” by K. Lee and M. Bruneau, 6/30/04.
- MCEER-04-0008 “Evaluation of Accuracy of Simplified Methods of Analysis and Design of Buildings with Damping Systems for Near-Fault and for Soft-Soil Seismic Motions,” by E.A. Pavlou and M.C. Constantinou, 8/16/04.



MULTIDISCIPLINARY CENTER FOR EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH

*A National Center of Excellence in Advanced Technology Applications*

University at Buffalo, State University of New York

Red Jacket Quadrangle ■ Buffalo, New York 14261

Phone: (716) 645-3391 ■ Fax: (716) 645-3399

E-mail: [mceer@mceermail.buffalo.edu](mailto:mceer@mceermail.buffalo.edu) ■ WWW Site <http://mceer.buffalo.edu>



University at Buffalo *The State University of New York*

ISSN 1520-295X