EE 631: Estimation and Detection Part 4

Dr. Mehrdad Soumekh

Parameter Estimation



Designed by the user based on some criterion

Figure 1. Model assumed in parameter estimation problem

- We are interested in the value of the parameter \vec{A}

- Assign a cost function to the estimator error as a measure of our receiver performance.

- Transition pdf of observed/measured vector is given by $p(\vec{R}|\vec{A})$

Estimation error : $\vec{\epsilon}(\vec{R}) = \vec{A} - \hat{\vec{A}}(\vec{R})$ Therefore : $\epsilon_1 = \hat{\vec{A}} - \hat{A}_1$, $\epsilon_2 = \hat{\vec{A}} - \hat{A}_2$ etc. i.e. ϵ depends on the observation \vec{R} and the estimation rule.

Cost function: $\Re(\vec{\epsilon})$

We try to minimize \Re over the probability space of \vec{A} and \vec{R} by selecting the appropriate estimation rule.

 $\frac{\text{Single Parameter Case}}{\text{Assume apriori pdf } P(A) \text{ is known.}}$

1. Minimum Mean Squared Error (MMSE) estimator:

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(\vec{\epsilon}) &= E\left[\left|\vec{\epsilon}\right|^2\right] \\ &= E\left[(A - \hat{A})^2\right] \\ &= E\left[(A - \hat{A}(R))^2\right] \end{aligned}$$

where the expectation is taken over the probability space of \vec{A} and \vec{R} .

Objective: Choose $\hat{A}(\vec{R})$ that minimizes the above cost function.

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(\epsilon) &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \int_{A} \left[(A - \hat{A}(R))^2 p(A, \vec{R}) dA. d\vec{R} \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \underbrace{\int_{A} \left[(A - \hat{A}(R))^2 p(A \big| \vec{R}) dA \right]}_{\mathfrak{R}_1(\epsilon)} \underbrace{p(\vec{R})}_{+ve} d\vec{R} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, to minimize \Re , it is sufficient to minimize the inner integral:

$$\Re_1(\epsilon) = \int_A (A - \hat{A}(\vec{R}))^2 p(A|\vec{R}) dA$$

For this, we find the minimum by obtaining the derivative of \Re_1 with respect to \hat{A} and setting it equal to zero.

$$\begin{split} \frac{\delta \mathfrak{R}_{1}}{\delta \hat{A}}(\vec{\epsilon}) &= 2 \big(\hat{A}(\vec{R}) \big) p(A \big| \vec{R}) dA \Big|_{\hat{A} = \hat{A}_{MMSE}} \\ \text{or } \int_{A} \hat{A}_{MMSE}(\vec{R}) p(A \big| \vec{R}) dA &= \int_{A} A. p(A \big| \vec{R}) dA \\ \Rightarrow \hat{A}_{MMSE}(\vec{R}) \underbrace{\int_{A} p(A \big| \vec{R}) dA}_{=1} &= E(A \big| \vec{R}) \\ & \Rightarrow \hat{A}_{MMSE}(\vec{R}) &= E(A \big| \vec{R}) \end{split}$$

2. Minimum absolute value of error cost function:

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{R}(\vec{\epsilon}) &\triangleq E\left[\left|A - \hat{A}(\vec{R})\right|\right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \int_{A} \left|A - \hat{A}(\vec{R})\right| p(A, \vec{R}) dA. d\vec{R} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \underbrace{\int_{A} \left|A - \hat{A}(\vec{R})\right| p(A|\vec{R}) dA}_{\mathfrak{R}_{1}(\epsilon)} p(\vec{R}) d\vec{R} \end{split}$$

Thus the minimization can be performed on:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}(\vec{\epsilon}) &\triangleq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| A - \hat{A}(\vec{R}) \right| p(A|\vec{R}) dA \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{A}(\vec{R})} \left[\hat{A}(\vec{R}) - A \right] p(A|\vec{R}) dA + \int_{\hat{A}(\vec{R})}^{\infty} \left[A - \hat{A}(\vec{R}) \right] p(A|\vec{R}) dA \end{aligned}$$

To minimize, use:

$$\begin{split} \left. \frac{\delta \Re_1}{\delta \hat{A}}(\vec{\epsilon}) \right|_{\hat{A}=\hat{A}_{abs}} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{A}} p(A|\vec{R}) dA - \int_{\hat{A}}^{\infty} p(A|\vec{R}) dA + \hat{A} p(\hat{A}|\vec{R}) - \hat{A} p(\hat{A}|\vec{R}) \right|_{\hat{A}=\hat{A}_{abs}} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{A}_{abs}} p(A|\vec{R}) dA &= \int_{\hat{A}_{abs}}^{\infty} p(A|\vec{R}) dA \\ \approx \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{A}_{abs}} p(A|\vec{R}) dA &= \int_{\hat{A}_{abs}}^{\infty} p(A|\vec{R}) dA \end{split}$$

Thus \hat{A}_{abs} = median of $(A|\vec{R})$.

3. Maximum A posteriori (MAP) estimator:

 \hat{A}_{MAP} is the point where $P(A|\vec{R})$, i.e the a posteriori pdf achieves its maximum. Since ln[.] is a monotone increasing transformation, the max point of ln $[p(a|\vec{R})]$ is also the $\hat{A}_{MAP}(\vec{R})$, i.e.

$$\left.\frac{\delta}{\delta A}\ln\left[p(A\big|\vec{R})\right]\right|_{A=\hat{A}_{MAP}}=0$$

From the Bayes equation, we have:

$$p(A|\vec{R}) = \frac{p(\vec{R}|A)p(A)}{p(\vec{R})}$$

Use this in the MAP equation:

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta A} \ln p(\vec{R}|A) + \frac{\delta}{\delta A} \ln p(A) - \underbrace{\frac{\delta}{\delta A} \ln p(\vec{R})}_{=0 \text{ since } \vec{R} \text{ is invariant in } A} \Big|_{\hat{A} = \hat{A}_{MAP}} = 0$$

The MAP equation becomes:

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta A} \ln p(\vec{R} | A) + \frac{\delta}{\delta A} \ln p(A) \Big|_{\hat{A} = \hat{A}_{MAP}} = 0$$

If the function P(A) is a relatively smooth function as compared with $p(\vec{R}|A)$, then the MAP estimator can be approximated by:

$$\left. \frac{\delta}{\delta A} \ln p(\vec{R} | A) \right|_{\hat{A} = \hat{A}_{MAP}} = 0$$

This is also true the other way round. Therefore, if either $p(\vec{R}|A)$ or p(A) is a flat function with respect to A, then the MAP estimate point is dictated by the other pdf.

Maximum likelihood (ML) estimate:

ML estimate is used when the parameters to be estimated are non random, i.e. a priori pdf p(A) is not available. Define the ML estimate as the one that maximizes the likelihood function

$$\mathfrak{L}(\vec{R}, A) = p(\vec{R}, A)$$

 $p(\vec{R}, A)$ is used because the parameters are non-random. The equivalent for $p(\vec{R}, A)$ in the case random A is $p(\vec{R}|A)$.

Note that for the random case we have:

$$p(\vec{R}, A) = p(\vec{R}|A)p(A)$$

If not known, then p(A) is assumed to be a "flat" or constant valued distribution. Therefore, the ML estimate for the non-random parameter case is the same as the MAP estimate for the random parameter case when p(A)is flat.

Properties of an estimator

- An estimate of A is unbiased if

$$E[A(R)] = A$$

- A biased estimate has the form:

 $E[\hat{A}(\vec{R})] = A + \bar{b}_{\hat{A}}$

where $\bar{b}_{\hat{A}}=E[\hat{A}(\vec{R})-A]$ is called the bias. The bias depends on: i) Measurement

ii) Class of estimator that is used

Desirable properties of an estimator

- The estimator should be unbiased.

- The variance for the estimate should be the minimum possible. An optimum estimate is the <u>unbiased minimum</u> variance <u>estimate</u> (UMVE). - Variance of the estimate goes to zero when the number of observations goes to infinity. i.e.

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} Var[\hat{A}(\vec{R})] = 0$$

In this case, \hat{A} is called a consistent estimate.

Fisher information

Likelihood function:

$$\mathfrak{L}(a) \triangleq p(\vec{R}; a)$$
$$\Rightarrow \ln \mathfrak{L}(a) = \ln p(\vec{R}; a)$$

Score is identified by:

$$\mathbb{V} = \frac{\delta}{\delta a} \ln \mathfrak{L}(a) = \frac{\delta}{\delta a} \ln p(\vec{R}; a)$$
$$= \frac{p'(\vec{R}; a)}{p(\vec{R}; a)} = \frac{\frac{\delta}{\delta a} p(\vec{R}; a)}{p(\vec{R}; a)}$$

Note: The knowledge of \mathbb{V} is equivalent to knowing the likelihood function. Thus the score \mathbb{V} is sufficient statistic in this case.

Properties of Score

i) Mean:

$$E(\mathbb{V}) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\frac{\delta}{\delta a} p(\vec{R};a)}{p(\vec{R};a)} p(\vec{R};a) d\vec{R}$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\delta}{\delta a} p(\vec{R};a) d\vec{R}$$
$$= \frac{\delta}{\delta a} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \underbrace{p(\vec{R};a) d\vec{R}}_{=1}$$
$$= \frac{\delta}{\delta a} (1) = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow E(\mathbb{V}) = 0$$

ii) Variance of score:

$$\begin{split} I_{\vec{R}}(a) &= var(\mathbb{V}) = E(\mathbb{V}^2) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta a} \ln p(\vec{R}; a) \right]^2 p(\vec{R}; a) d\vec{R} \end{split}$$

Note that the variance of score is only a function of the parameter a. The subscript \vec{R} in $I_{\vec{R}}$ is used to identify the channel that is used for observation. In other words, this is for the class or set of measurements from a specific channel.

 $I_{\vec{R}}(a)$ is called the <u>Fisher information</u> and it depends on the specific channel that is used for the observation. However, it is invariant in a specific \vec{R} . Let

$$\vec{R} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_N \end{bmatrix}$$

where r_i 's are independent. Thus, we have:

$$\begin{split} p(\vec{R};a) &= \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(r_i;a) \\ \Rightarrow \ln p(\vec{R};a) &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln p(r_i;a) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\delta}{\delta a} \ln p(\vec{R};a) &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\delta}{\delta a} \ln p(r_i;a) \end{split}$$

If we denote the individual score for the ith measurement as:

$$\mathbb{V}_i \triangleq \frac{\delta}{\delta a} \ln p(r_i; a)$$

then

$$\mathbb{V} = \frac{\delta}{\delta a} \ln p(\vec{R}; a) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{V}_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{V}(\vec{R}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{V}_i(r_i)$$

Since r_i 's are independent, \mathbb{V}_i 's are also independent rv's. Therefore, we have:

$$Var(\mathbb{V}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} Var(\mathbb{V}_i)$$

Also, variance of score is the Fisher information; thus

$$I_{\vec{R}}(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{r_i}(a)$$

This implies that Fisher information is additive for independent observations.

If r_i 's are independent identically distributed (iid) with pdf $p_0(r_i)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}_i &= \frac{\delta}{\delta a} \ln p_0(r_i) \\ \Rightarrow I_i(a) &= Var(\mathbb{V}_i) \triangleq I_0(a) \\ \Rightarrow I_{\vec{R}}(a) &= \sum_{i=1}^N I_i(a) = N.I_0(a) \end{aligned}$$

Another expression for Fisher information

We begin with

Consider

$$\begin{split} \frac{\delta}{\delta a} \mathbb{V} &= \frac{\delta^2}{\delta a^2} \ln p(\vec{R}; a) = \frac{\delta}{\delta a} \left(\frac{p'}{p}\right) \\ &= \frac{p'' p - (p')^2}{p^2} = \frac{p''}{p} - \left(\frac{p'}{p}\right)^2 \\ E(\frac{p''}{p}) &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\frac{\delta^2}{\delta a^2} p(\vec{R}; a)}{p(\vec{R}; a)} p(\vec{R}; a) d\vec{R} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\delta^2}{\delta a^2} p(\vec{R}; a) d\vec{R} \\ &= \frac{\delta^2}{\delta a^2} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{Z}} p(\vec{R}; a) d\vec{R}}_{=1} \end{split}$$

Since $\frac{\delta^2}{\delta a^2} p(\vec{R}; a) = \frac{p''}{p} - \left(\frac{p'}{p}\right)^2$, the expectation on both side yields:

$$E\left[\frac{\delta^2}{\delta a^2}p(\vec{R};a)\right] = E\left[-\left(\frac{p'}{p}\right)^2\right]$$
$$= -E[\mathbb{V}^2]$$
$$= I_{\vec{R}}(a)$$
$$\Rightarrow I_{\vec{R}}(a) = -E\left[\frac{\delta^2}{\delta a^2}p(\vec{R};a)\right]$$
$$= -E\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta a}\mathbb{V}\right]$$

Cramer Rao Bound

An estimate $\hat{a_1}(\vec{R})$ is said to be more "efficient" than another estimate $\hat{a_1}(\vec{R})$ if

$$E\left[[a - \hat{a_1}(\vec{R})]^2\right] < E\left[[a - \hat{a_2}(\vec{R})]^2\right]$$

Let $\hat{a}(\vec{R})$ be an estimate of a, e.g.

$$\hat{a}(\vec{R}) = a + \underbrace{b_{\hat{a}}(\vec{R})}_{bias}$$

We know that the mean value of the score is zero, i.e. $E(\mathbb{V}) = 0$. Thus, for the covariance of the estimator and score, we have:

$$E\left[(\mathbb{V} - \bar{\mathbb{V}})(\hat{a} - \bar{\hat{a}})\right] = E(\mathbb{V}\hat{a}) - \bar{\hat{a}}E(\mathbb{V}) = E(\mathbb{V}\hat{a})$$

Substitute for $\mathbb V$ on the right side:

$$\begin{aligned} cov(\mathbb{V}, \hat{a}) &= E(\mathbb{V}.\hat{a}) \\ &= E\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta a} \ln p(\vec{R}; a).\hat{a}(\vec{R})\right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\frac{\delta}{\delta a} p(\vec{R}; a)}{p(\vec{R}; a)}.\hat{a}(\vec{R}) p(\vec{R}; a) d\vec{R} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\delta}{\delta a} p(\vec{R}; a).\hat{a}(\vec{R}) d\vec{R} \end{aligned}$$

Since \vec{R} and as a result $\hat{a}(\vec{R})$ are invariant in a, we can move the $\frac{\delta}{\delta a}$ outside:

$$cov(\mathbb{V}, \hat{a}) = \frac{\delta}{\delta a} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{Z}} \hat{a}(\vec{R}) p(\vec{R}; a) d\vec{R}}_{\text{expected value of the estimate}}$$
$$= \frac{\delta}{\delta a} [a + b_{\hat{a}}]$$
$$= 1 + f_{\hat{a}}(a)$$

where $f_{\hat{a}}(a) = \frac{\delta}{\delta a} b_{\hat{a}}$. Note that $f_{\hat{a}}(a)$ is not an rv. Also, for an unbiased estimator $f_{\hat{a}}(a) = 0$ and cov = 1.

Moreover, from Schwartz inequality, we have:

$$var(\hat{a}).var(\mathbb{V}) \ge cov^2(\mathbb{V}, \hat{a})$$

Note that

$$E[(x + \alpha y)^2] = E(x^2) + E(y^2) + \alpha^2 + 2E(xy)\alpha \ge 0$$

Consider the quadratic in α

$$E(y^2).\alpha^2 + \alpha.2E(xy) + E(x^2) = 0$$

For this to have no solution,

$$\begin{split} E^2(xy) &< E(x^2)E(y^2) \\ \Rightarrow var(\hat{a}) \geq \frac{cov^2(\mathbb{V}, \hat{a})}{var(\mathbb{V})} \\ \Rightarrow var(\hat{a}) \geq \frac{[1+f_{\hat{a}}(a)]^2}{I_{\vec{R}}(a)} \end{split}$$

This is called the **Cramer Rao bound** which shows how Fisher information limits the performance of estimators. e.g. For an unbiased $E(\hat{a}) = \bar{a} = a$

$$var(\hat{a}) = E\left[(\hat{a} - \bar{\hat{a}})^2\right]$$

= $E\left[(\hat{a} - a)^2\right] \triangleq$ mean square error

Also for an unbiased estimator, $f_{\hat{a}}(a) = 0$. Therefore, the CR bound for unbiased information is given by:

$$MSE(\hat{a}) \ge \frac{1}{I_{\vec{R}}(a)}$$

The efficiency of an unbiased estimator is defined by:

$$eff(\hat{a}) = \frac{\frac{1}{I_{\vec{R}}(a)}}{var(\hat{a})} \le 1$$

It is to be noted that an ML estimator is not necessarily an *efficient* one. However, if one such estimator exists, then it must be ML.