Thermochemistry and Thermal Decomposition of the Chlorinated Disilanes ($Si_2H_nCl_{6-n}$, n = 0-6) Studied by ab Initio Molecular Orbital Methods

Mark T. Swihart* and Robert W. Carr

Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, University of Minnesota, 421 Washington Avenue SE, Minnesota, 55455

Received: May 16, 1997; In Final Form: July 2, 1997[⊗]

The thermochemistry and thermal unimolecular decomposition reactions of the chlorinated disilanes have been characterized using ab initio molecular orbital techniques. Silylene, chlorosilylene, dichlorosilylene, and hydrogen elimination reactions and their reverse insertions were considered. Reactant, product, and transition-state geometries and vibrational frequencies were calculated at the MP2/6-31G(d,p) level. Energetics were obtained at the MP2/6-31+G(2df,p), MP4/6-31+G(2df,p), G2(MP2), and/or G2 levels of theory, depending on the number of chlorine atoms in the molecule. In addition to the expected insertion reactions, direct reaction paths for SiHCl + SiH_nCl_{4-n} \rightleftharpoons SiH₂ + SiH_{n-1}Cl_{5-n} and SiHCl + SiH_nCl_{4-n} \rightleftharpoons SiCl₂ + SiH_{n+1}Cl_{3-n} were observed, with energetic barriers lying a few kcal/mol above the insertion reactions. To our knowledge, these concerted, two-atom exchange reactions have not previously been observed or predicted. They appear to represent a new type of elementary reaction for these compounds. Heats of formation for the chlorinated disilane reactants and chlorinated silylsilylene products of hydrogen elimination were calculated using isodesmic reactions. Energy barriers and conventional transition state theory rate constants for all of the reactions are presented. These can provide a basis for the construction of a detailed mechanism for the multistep thermal decomposition of the chlorinated silanes, which plays an important role in the chemical vapor deposition of epitaxial silicon from the chlorinated silanes.

Introduction

The chlorinated silanes, particularly dichlorosilane and trichlorosilane, are used as precursors for the chemical vapor deposition (CVD) of epitaxial silicon. At the high temperatures where this process is carried out, homogeneous decomposition of the precursor molecules can play an important role by generating reactive species that lead to film growth. Secondary reactions can both accelerate the decomposition of the precursor and consume reactive intermediates that could otherwise lead to film growth. SiHCl and SiCl₂, products of direct decomposition of the chlorinated silanes, can insert into the parent molecules to give chlorinated disilanes, which then decompose very quickly. This makes possible complex secondary chemistry involving the chlorinated disilanes. For example, dichlorosilane could decompose in a silylene- or chlorosilylene-catalyzed cycle such as

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{SiHCl} + \text{SiH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \longrightarrow \text{HCl}_2\text{SiSiH}_2\text{Cl} \\ & \text{HCl}_2\text{SiSiH}_2\text{Cl} \longrightarrow \text{SiH}_3\text{Cl} + \text{SiCl}_2 \\ & \text{SiH}_3\text{Cl} \longrightarrow \text{SiHCl} + \text{H}_2 \end{aligned}$$

This cycle of reactions, and others like it, provide lower energy paths for dichlorosilane decomposition than the corresponding direct reaction $SiH_2Cl_2 \rightarrow SiCl_2 + H_2$, which has a significant activation barrier. To understand the importance of such reactions and develop detailed kinetic models for the decomposition of chlorinated silanes, we need rates for the thermal decomposition reactions of the chlorinated disilanes and their reverse reactions, the insertion of SiH_2 , SiHCl, and $SiCl_2$ into the chlorinated silanes.

Theoretical investigations of the thermochemistry and thermal decomposition of silanes and halosilanes have been reviewed by Gordon et al.¹ The kinetics and mechanisms of silylene reactions have been reviewed by Becerra et al.2 and Jasinski et al.3 Elimination of silylene from disilane and the reverse insertion reaction have been well studied both experimentally⁴⁻⁹ and theoretically 4,5,10-13 The insertion reaction is effectively barrierless and exothermic by about 54 kcal/mol. Thermal decomposition reactions of the chlorinated silanes were studied theoretically by Su and Schlegel. 14,15 In this work we use the same levels of calculation and basis sets as those used by Su and Schlegel, so that consistent thermochemical and kinetic parameters can be obtained. Wittbrodt and Schlegel have recently published higher level calculations for dichlorosilane decomposition.¹⁶ These results differed only slightly from the previous study. Hay¹⁷ has recently assessed the use of density functional methods for calculating the thermochemistry of Si-H-Cl compounds and found that while DFT methods were promising, they did not do as well as the high-level conventional methods used here. Ignacio and Schlegel¹⁸ performed calculations similar to those presented here for the fluorinated disilanes with up to two fluorine atoms. McKean et al. 19,20 have calculated structures and vibrational frequencies for the chlorinated disilanes with up to three chlorine atoms in conjunction with an experimental study of the infrared spectra of these compounds, but they did not present calculated energies. To our knowledge, there have been no previously published theoretical studies of either the thermochemistry or reactions of the chlorinated disilanes. There is experimental information for the insertion of SiHCl into SiH₄ and SiH₂Cl₂ at room temperature.²¹ The insertion rate was roughly the same for both reactions and indicated that there is a significant barrier to this insertion. Jenkins et al.²² measured relative rates of SiH₂, SiHCl, and SiCl₂ elimination from H₂ClSiSiH₃ and HCl₂SiSiH₃. They observed comparable rates for competing elimination reactions.

[®] Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts*, September 15, 1997.

Doncaster and Walsh²³ investigated the thermal decomposition of Si₂Cl₆ and found an activation energy of 49 kcal/mol for SiCl₂ elimination near 600 K.

Based on our knowledge of disilane decomposition, chlorinated silane decomposition, and estimates of the thermochemistry from the sources cited above, there are four possible reactions for the decomposition of a chlorinated disilane. These are elimination of SiH₂, elimination of SiHCl, elimination of SiCl₂, and 1,1 elimination of H₂. Direct cleavage of any of the bonds would be too endothermic to be competitive with these channels, as would elimination of HCl or Cl₂. 1,2-Hydrogen elimination from disilane was found to have a high barrier¹¹ and be unimportant compared to 1,1-elimination. This is expected to be true for the chlorinated disilanes as well, so the 1,2-elimination is not considered here. Preliminary estimates of the thermochemistry were made by assuming that each chlorine substitution results in a constant increment of the heats of formation. In the decomposition direction, SiH₂ elimination and H₂ elimination are roughly 55 kcal/mol endothermic, SiHCl elimination is roughly 44 kcal/mol endothermic, and SiCl₂ elimination is roughly 33 kcal/mol endothermic. However, the order of reactivity of the silvlenes is well established as SiH2 > SiHCl > SiCl₂, so we expect the barriers for the reverse reactions to increase from SiH₂, which has no barrier for insertion into silane,4 to SiHCl, which has a barrier for insertion into silane and dichlorosilane,21 to SiCl2. The insertion of H₃SiSiH into H₂ has almost no barrier, so we might expect the corresponding insertions of chlorinated silvlsilylenes into hydrogen, the reverse of 1,1-hydrogen elimination, to also have small barriers. Thus, these four primary decomposition paths could all be competitive with one another, and we investigate all of them, realizing that different reactions could dominate for the different chlorinated disilanes.

Computational Method

The ab initio molecular orbital calculations presented here were carried out using the GAUSSIAN 94 series of programs.²⁴ Geometries were fully optimized using the "tight" convergence criteria at the MP2(full)/6-31G(d,p) level using analytical gradients. Vibrational frequencies were obtained at this same level using analytical second derivatives. The frequency calculations verified that the points located were indeed minima or first-order saddle points with zero or one imaginary frequency, respectively. Energies were calculated using a larger basis set and second-order Møller-Plesset perturbation theory (MP2/6-31+G(2df,p), frozen core) for all of the species considered. For molecules and transition states with three or fewer chlorine atoms, energies were also calculated using fourthorder Møller-Plesset theory (MP4SDTQ/6-31+G(2df,p),frozen core) and at the G2(MP2)²⁵ level. Full G2²⁶ energy calculations were performed for those molecules with two or fewer chlorines. The G2 methods approximate a quadratic configuration interaction²⁷ calculation at the QCISD(T)/6-311+G(3df,2p) level by applying basis set corrections additively to a QCISD(T)/6-311G(d,p) calculation.²⁶ In the original G2 method, some of these corrections are calculated at the MP4 level, whereas in the modified G2(MP2) method, all of the corrections are calculated at the MP2 level.²⁵ In both cases, zero-point energy based on the HF/6-31G(d) frequencies, scaled by 0.8929, is added to the energy, as is an empirical correction based on the number of paired and unpaired electrons in the molecule. Note that in all of the reactions considered here, all of the electrons remain formally paired, so that this empirical correction in the G2 methods cancels when taking energy differences between reactants and products or transition states.

Results and Discussion

The total energies for reactants, products, and transition states calculated in this work are given in Table 1. The energies of the chlorinated silanes and silvlenes at these levels have been previously published by Su and Schlegel.¹⁴ Our calculated results are identical with theirs and are presented here only for convenience. We have added the G2(MP2) calculations for these species and the G2 calculations for SiHCl₃ and SiCl₄. G2 energies for disilane and silvlsilylene have also been previously published.²⁸ Again, our results are identical. The zero-point energies and thermal energies are calculated from the geometries and unscaled frequencies at the MP2(full)/6-31G(d,p) level in the rigid rotor, harmonic oscillator approximations.

Geometries and Frequencies. Generic representations of a chlorinated silylsilylene and a chlorinated disilane are presented in Figure 1, parts a and b, respectively. The atoms numbered 1 and 2 are silicon for all of the structures considered, whereas those numbered 3 and higher can be either chlorine or hydrogen. The geometric parameters for these structures are given in Table 2. The atom labeling in Table 2 corresponds to the numbering in Figure 1. The geometries are described by bond lengths, bond angles, all relative to the Si-Si bond, and dihedral angles about the Si-Si bond, relative to the atom numbered 3 in the figure. In all cases, the structure given is that believed to be the lowest energy rotamer, although the other rotamers may be very close in energy to the one shown. There is little change in the geometries with chlorine substitution.

Figure 2 gives generic representations of the four types of transition-state structures considered here. The geometric parameters for these structures are presented in Table 3 and are the same bond lengths and angles used to describe the chlorinated disilanes. Figure 2a is the transition state for elimination of SiH₂, SiHCl, or SiCl₂. The atoms numbered 3-8 can be either hydrogen or chlorine. For some reactions there are multiple rotamers of this structure, obtained by interchanging atoms 3, 4, and 5. In those cases, only the structure believed to be the lowest energy rotamer is given. Note that there are some reactions where the transition state has C_s symmetry. However, there are others where C_s symmetry would be possible, including SiH₂ elimination from H₃SiSiH₃ and SiCl₂ elimination from HCl₂SiSiH₃, that have transition states which distort to C_1 symmetry. There is little change in the geometry of these structures with chlorine substitution. The Si-Si distance in the transition state tends to be longest for SiH₂ elimination and shortest for SiCl2 elimination. This can be explained by the fact that the reaction endothermicity increases from SiCl2 to SiHCl to SiH2, while the height of the barrier to reaction changes very little. This would lead us to expect that the transition-state location would move closer to the products as we go from SiCl2 to SiHCl to SiH2, and this is what is observed. It is expected that for each of the structures such as Figure 2a, there is a corresponding potential minimum with similar geometry but with the inserting chlorinated silvlene further removed from the chlorinated silane. These clusters are known to exist for SiH₂ insertion into SiH₄⁴ and for SiH₂, SiHCl, and SiCl₂ insertions into H₂, HCl, and Cl₂. ¹⁵ We located such clusters for the insertions of SiHCl into SiH₄ and SiH₂ into SiH₃Cl, but to conserve limited computational resources did not characterize them with higher level energy calculations. We did not attempt to locate the clusters for reactions with more than one chlorine.

Figure 2b shows the generic geometry for H₂ elimination. Atoms 3-6 can be hydrogen or chlorine, but atoms 7 and 8 are always hydrogen. Again, only the lowest energy rotamer is given. The transition-state geometry is almost unaffected by

TABLE 1: Total Energies^a

	MP2 6-31G(d,p)	MP2 6-31+G(2df,p)	MP4 6-31+G(2df,p)	G2(MP2)	G2	ZPE	therm + ZP
2	-1.157 66	Stable M -1.157 66	olecules -1.164 56	-1.166 36	-1.166 36	6.589	8.07
2 Cl	-460.215 62	-460.257 26	-460.284 61	-460.331 62	-460.340 17	4.471	5.95
l_2	-919.191 22	-919.284 23	-919.334 12	-919.425 73	-919.442 21	0.781	2.38
$\overset{\scriptscriptstyle{\circ}}{\mathrm{H}_{2}}$	-290.09398	-290.10622	-290.13449	-290.16426	-290.16771	7.763	9.55
HCl	-749.19537	$-749.255\ 01$	-749.30033	-749.37527	-749.38596	5.098	7.03
Cl_2	-1208.30179	-1208.40987	$-1208.472\ 01$	-1208.59240	-1208.61039	1.842	4.21
H_4	-291.34986	-291.36478	-291.39656	-291.41555	-291.41907	20.565	22.46
H ₃ Cl	-750.43414	-750.49647	-750.54532	-750.61044	-750.62181	17.307	19.40
H ₂ Cl ₂	-1209.52071	-1209.630 82	-1209.696 86	-1209.80894	-1209.82818	13.536	16.09
HCl ₃	-1668.607 26	-1668.765 26	-1668.848 59	-1669.008 35	-1669.035 48	9.313	12.52
Cl ₄	-2127.691 64	-2127.897 26	-2127.997 99 590 261 91	-2128.206 00	-2128.241 13	4.809	8.79
3SiSiH ₃SiSiCl	$-580.280\ 05$ $-1039.378\ 02$	-580.308 69 -1039.455 17	-580.36181 -1039.52529	-580.41077 -1039.62009	-580.417 83 -1039.634 33	20.161 17.024	23.08
2ClSiSiH	-1039.378 02 -1039.365 67	-1039.441 96	-1039.52529 -1039.51203	-1039.62009	-1039.62254	16.582	19.91
2ClSiSiTI 2ClSiSiCl	-1498.463 66	-1498.588 52	-1498.675 58	-1498.817 56	-1498.83937	13.387	17.32
Cl ₂ SiSiH	-1498.45422	-1498.579 31	-1498.666 04	-1498.809 38	-1498.83150	12.877	16.82
Cl ₂ SiSiCl	-1957.551 62	-1957.724 47	-1957.82847	-1958.018 08	1170.031 20	9.477	14.1
3SiSiH	-1957.54367	-1957.715 13	-1957.81912	-1958.010 25		8.529	13.3
3SiSiCl	-2416.640 66	-2416.860 96				5.165	10.60
SiSiH ₃	-581.534 62	-581.564 54	-581.621 23	-581.66095	-581.668 09	32.245	35.3
ClSiSiH ₃	-1040.61861	-1040.69608	-1040.76976	-1040.85625	$-1040.871\ 16$	28.629	32.1
Cl ₂ SiSiH ₃	-1499.70611	$-1499.831\ 29$	-1499.92200	-1500.05598	-1500.07868	24.613	28.8
ClSiSiH ₂ Cl	-1499.70251	-1499.82757	-1499.91826	-1500.05181	-1500.07450	24.943	29.0
Cl ₂ SiSiH ₂ Cl	-1958.78919	-1958.96222	-1959.06999	-1959.251 26		20.884	25.6
₃ SiSiH ₃	$-1958.795\ 11$	-1958.96773	-1959.07552	-1959.25766		20.289	25.2
Cl ₂ SiSiHCl ₂	-2417.87577	-2418.09692				16.765	22.3
₃ SiSiH ₂ Cl	-2417.87776	-2418.09832				16.560	22.1
3SiSiHCl ₂	-2876.964 23	-2877.23289				12.454	18.7
₃ SiSiCl ₃	-3336.052 76	-3336.368 86	G			8.095	15.1
$SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow SiH_4 + SiH_2$	-581.454 40	sition States with -581.489 51	Structure of Figure -581.547 61	e 2a -581.590 53	-581.597 04	31.196	33.9
ClSiSiH ₃ \leftrightarrow SiH ₃ Cl + SiH ₂ ^b	-1040.53582	-1040.617 68	-1040.693 57	-1040.78438	-1040.79870	27.693	31.0
$ClSiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiH_2^c$	-1040.52454	-1040.611 74	-1040.68615	-1040.77520	-1040.78953	27.728	31.0
ClSiSiH ₃ ↔ SiH ₄ + SiHCl	-1040.53476	-1040.617 84	-1040.693 09	$-1040.783\ 30$	-1040.79731	27.632	30.9
ClSiSiH ₂ Cl ↔ SiH ₂ Cl ₂ + SiH ₂	-1499.61737	-1499.75308	-1499.84416	-1499.98003	-1500.00220	23.899	27.7
ClSiSiH ₂ Cl ↔ SiH ₃ Cl + SiHCl	-1499.62442	-1499.75517	-1499.84712	-1499.98436	-1500.00628	23.866	27.6
$Cl_2SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiH_2$	-1499.61919	-1499.74941	-1499.84251	-1499.98140	-1500.00346	23.489	27.5
$Cl_2SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiHCl$	-1499.61476	-1499.75034	-1499.84177	-1499.97774	-1499.99965	23.975	27.9
$Cl_2SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow SiH_4 + SiCl_2$	-1499.61354	-1499.74447	-1499.83706	-1499.97509	-1499.99673	23.734	27.6
$Cl_2SiSiH_2Cl \leftrightarrow SiHCl_3 + SiH_2$	-1958.697 73	-1958.881 93	-1958.990 66	-1959.175 07		19.477	24.1
$Cl_2SiSiH_2Cl \leftrightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiHCl^b$	-1958.706 93	-1958.886 40	-1958.995 57	-1959.18042		19.761	24.2
$Cl_2SiSiH_2Cl \leftrightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiHCl^c$	-1958.705 78	-1958.889 97	-1958.998 02	-1959.181 17		20.052	24.6
Cl ₂ SiSiH ₂ Cl ↔ SiH ₃ Cl + SiCl ₂	-1958.703 66	-1958.882 31	-1958.991 56	-1959.176 90		19.868	24.3
$_{3}SiSiH_{3} \leftrightarrow SiHCl_{3} + SiH_{2}$	-1958.705 17	-1958.882 81	-1958.993 25	-1959.180 27		19.124	23.9
₃ SiSiH ₃ ↔ SiH ₃ Cl + SiCl ₂ Cl ₂ SiSiHCl ₂ ↔ SiHCl ₃ + SiHCl	-1958.70686 -2417.78811	-1958.89069 -2418.02074	-1958.999 12	-1959.182 76		19.851 15.698	24.6 21.0
$Cl_2SiSiHCl_2 \leftrightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiCl_2$	-2417.78694	-2418.02074 -2418.01390				15.864	21.0
$SiSiH_2Cl \leftrightarrow SiCl_4 + SiH_2$	-2417.777 13	-2418.00912				14.930	20.3
SiSiH ₂ Cl ↔ SiHCl ₃ + SiHCl	-2417.79073	-2418.01811				15.455	20.7
$_{3}SiSiH_{2}Cl \leftrightarrow SiH_{2}Cl_{2} + SiCl_{2}$	-2417.79559	-2418.02785				15.882	21.2
$_{3}SiSiHCl_{2} \leftrightarrow SiCl_{4} + SiHCl$	-2876.868 88	-2877.14921				11.153	17.3
$_{3}SiSiHCl_{2} \leftrightarrow SiHCl_{3} + SiCl_{2}^{b}$	-2876.87011	-2877.14553				11.357	17.3
$_{3}SiSiHCl_{2} \leftrightarrow SiHCl_{3} + SiCl_{2}^{c}$	-2876.88058	-2877.15990				11.821	18.0
$_{3}SiSiCl_{3} \leftrightarrow SiCl_{4} + SiCl_{2}$	-3335.962 19	-3336.291 02				7.187	14.1
6;6;U ↔ U 6:6:H ± H		sition States with			_501 505 04	20.010	22.0
$SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow H_3SiSiH + H_2$ $CISiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow H_3SiSiCl + H_2$	-581.44097 -1040.52068	-581.475 63 -1040.604 87	-581.53294 -1040.67899	-581.578 69 -1040 771 49	-581.585 04 -1040 785 04	29.919	32.9 29.7
CISISIH ₃ \leftrightarrow H ₃ SISICI + H ₂ CISISIH ₃ \leftrightarrow H ₂ CISISIH + H ₂	-1040.52068 -1040.52629	-1040.604 87 -1040.609 20	-1040.678 99 -1040.683 35	-1040.77149 -1040.77596	-1040.78504 -1040.79000	26.220 26.164	29.7 29.6
CISISIH ₃ \leftrightarrow H ₂ CISISIH $+$ H ₂ CISiSiH ₂ Cl \leftrightarrow H ₂ CISiSiCl $+$ H ₂	-1499.605 73	-1499.738 06	-1499.828 98	-1040.77396 -1499.96852	-1040.790 00 -1499.989 84	22.527	26.6
$Cl_2SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow HCl_2SiSiH + H_2$	-1499.614 25	-1499.74524	-1499.83626	-1499.90852 -1499.97657	-1499.99826	22.121	26.3
Cl_2SiSiH_3 \hookrightarrow $HCl_2SiSiH + H_2$	-1958.692 61	-1958.873 11	-1958.980 94	-1959.168 67	1177.770 20	18.318	23.1
$_{3}SiSiH_{3} \leftrightarrow Cl_{3}SiSiH + H_{2}$	-1958.70242	-1958.880 62	-1958.988 74	-1959.177 31		17.782	22.7
, , , , , - ,	-2417.78008	-2418.00840				14.028	19.5
$_{3}SiSiH_{2}Cl \leftrightarrow Cl_{3}SiSiCl + H_{2}$		sition States with	Structure of Figure		1040 555 33	20.051	21 -
				-1040.763 69	-1040.77738	28.071	31.2
H ₄ + SiHCl ↔ SiH ₃ Cl + SiH ₂	-1040.50958	-1040.59782	-1040.673 12				
$H_4 + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiH_2$ $H_3Cl + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiH_2$	-1040.50958 -1499.59987	-1040.59782 -1499.73572	-1499.82802	-1499.965 43	-1499.98686	24.547	28.1
$H_4 + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiH_2$ $H_3Cl + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiH_2$ $H_3Cl + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH_4 + SiCl_2$	-1040.509 58 -1499.599 87 -1499.604 33	-1040.597 82 -1499.735 72 -1499.741 78	-1499.82802 -1499.83399	-1499.965 43 -1499.971 62		24.547 24.072	28.1 28.0
$H_4 + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiH_2$ $H_3Cl + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiH_2$ $H_3Cl + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH_4 + SiCl_2$ $H_2Cl_2 + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiHCl_3 + SiH_2$	-1040.509 58 -1499.599 87 -1499.604 33 -1958.686 48	-1040.597 82 -1499.735 72 -1499.741 78 -1958.871 41	-1499.828 02 -1499.833 99 -1958.980 80	-1499.965 43 -1499.971 62 -1959.165 17	-1499.98686	24.547 24.072 20.406	28.1 28.0 24.7
$_3$ SiSiH $_2$ Cl \leftrightarrow Cl $_3$ SiSiCl + H $_2$ H_4 + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH $_3$ Cl + SiH $_2$ H_3 Cl + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH $_2$ Cl $_2$ + SiH $_2$ H_3 Cl + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH $_4$ + SiCl $_2$ H_2 Cl $_2$ + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH $_3$ Cl + SiCl $_2$ H_2 Cl $_2$ + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH $_3$ Cl + SiCl $_2$ H_2 Cl $_2$ + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH $_3$ Cl + SiU $_2$	-1040.509 58 -1499.599 87 -1499.604 33 -1958.686 48 -1958.694 88	-1040.597 82 -1499.735 72 -1499.741 78 -1958.871 41 -1958.879 63	-1499.82802 -1499.83399	-1499.965 43 -1499.971 62	-1499.98686	24.547 24.072 20.406 20.490	28.1 28.0 24.7 24.9
$H_4 + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiH_2$ $H_3Cl + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiH_2$ $H_3Cl + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH_4 + SiCl_2$ $H_2Cl_2 + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiHCl_3 + SiH_2$	-1040.509 58 -1499.599 87 -1499.604 33 -1958.686 48	-1040.597 82 -1499.735 72 -1499.741 78 -1958.871 41	-1499.828 02 -1499.833 99 -1958.980 80	-1499.965 43 -1499.971 62 -1959.165 17	-1499.98686	24.547 24.072 20.406	28.1 28.0 24.7 24.9 20.9 21.4

	MP2 6-31G(d,p)	MP2 6-31+G(2df,p)	MP4 6-31+G(2df,p)	G2(MP2)	G2	ZPE	thermal + ZPE
		Transition States w	ith Structure of Fig	gure 2d			
$H_3SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow SiH_4 + SiH_2$	-581.45676	-581.49138	-581.549 63	-581.593 66	-581.59977	31.485	34.329
$H_2ClSiSiH_2 \leftrightarrow SiH_2Cl + SiH_2$	-1040.537.90	-1040.61944	-1040.69523	-1040.78660	-1040.80066	27.827	31.109

^a Total energies in hartrees (1 hartree = 627.51 kcal/mol), zero-point energies (ZPE), and thermal energy in kcal/mol at 298 K and 1 atm using geometry and unscaled frequencies at the MP2/6-31G(d,p) level. MP2/6-31+G(2df,p) and MP4/6-31+G(2df,p) energies are at MP2/6-31G(d,p) geometry. Note that G2 and G2(MP2) energies include zero-point energy calculated using scaled HF/6-31G(d) frequencies. Entries left blank were not calculated due to computational expense. ^b Transition state corresponding to insertion into Si-H bond. ^c Transition state corresponding to insertion into Si-Cl bond.




Figure 1. Generic molecular geometries. Atoms 1 and 2 are silicon: atoms 3–8 may be hydrogen or chlorine. Bond lengths and angles are given in Table 2.

chlorine substitution. Potential minima with the H_2 molecule further removed from the chlorinated silylsilylene are expected to be present for these reactions, analogous to those for insertion of SiH₂, SiHCl, and SiCl₂ into H_2 .¹⁵ These were located for H_3 SiSiCl and H_2 ClSiSiH insertions into H_2 . We did not attempt to locate them for the reactions where more than one chlorine atom is present.

Figure 2d shows an alternative geometry for the elimination and insertion of SiH₂. For SiH₂ insertion into SiH₄, this type of geometry has been presented and discussed by several authors.4,5,12 It lies approximately 8 kcal/mol below the separated SiH₂ and SiH₄. We found that following the minimum energy path from this point toward $SiH_4 + SiH_2$ (at the MP2/ 6-31G(d,p) level) leads to a potential minimum of similar geometry but with SiH₂ further removed from SiH₄. This cluster can presumably form from SiH2 and SiH4 with no barrier. Following the minimum energy path toward disilane leads to another minimum in energy which has C_{3v} symmetry and corresponds to a complex between a normal SiH3 group and an inverted SiH₃ group. This is the structure presented by Becerra et al.,4 who showed that it can convert to disilane with a very small barrier. Thus, it appears that the insertion of SiH2 into SiH₄ proceeding along this path would go first through a structure like that of Figure 2d, then through the $C_{3\nu}$ structure and on to disilane. It should be kept in mind that the potential surface in this region is quite flat and the barriers are small, so that the insertion is not tightly constrained to this path, and there may be completely barrierless paths that have not been discovered. With this in mind, we located transition states with structures such as Figure 2d for insertion of SiH2 into the Si-H bonds of SiH₄ and SiH₃Cl. We were unable to locate corresponding structures for insertion of SiH₂ into the Si-H bonds of SiH₂Cl₂ and SiHCl₃. In these cases the potential surface is still very flat near the expected geometry for this structure, but appears not to have a saddle point.

Attempts to locate a structure like that of Figure 2d for insertions of SiHCl and SiCl₂ into Si-H and Si-Cl bonds and for insertions of SiH₂ into Si-Cl bonds led instead to the structure shown in Figure 2c. In this structure, atom 6 is chlorine and atom 7 is hydrogen. Reaction paths passing through this transition state do not lead to insertion, but instead lead to the concerted four-centered exchange of a hydrogen atom for a chlorine atom between the two silicon centers. This exchange motion is shown clearly when one looks at the normal mode corresponding to the imaginary vibrational frequency of this structure. For the reaction

$$SiHCl + SiH_4 \rightleftharpoons SiH_2 + SiH_3Cl$$

we followed the minimum energy path at the HF/6-31G(d) level from the structure of Figure 2c in both directions. This path connected to a cluster of SiHCl and SiH4 in one direction and a cluster of SiH₂ and SiH₃Cl in the other, confirming the path of this exchange reaction through the transition structure of Figure 2c. To our knowledge, these concerted, two-atom exchange reactions have not previously been observed or predicted for these compounds. Geometric parameters for the transition states for the eight possible reactions of this type are given in Table 3. Only the lowest energy rotamer of each structure is given. Based on the Si-H and Si-Cl distances, these appear to be genuinely four-centered structures. Both the hydrogen atom (7) and the chlorine atom (6) are approximately equidistant from the two silicon atoms in this structure. The distances R(2-6) and R(2-7) are given in Table 3 and range from 2.29 to 2.35 Å and 1.58 to 1.66 Å, respectively. The corresponding distances to the other silicon atom, R(1-6) and R(1-7), range from 2.28 to 2.41 Å and 1.60 to 1.73 Å, respectively. For all of the structures of type 2c the difference between the two Si-Cl distances is 0.12 Å or less, and the difference between the two Si-H distances is 0.14 Å or less.

Computed vibrational frequencies for the chlorinated disilanes, chlorinated silylsilylenes, and transition states are given in Table 4. There is limited experimental information on these compounds that can be used to estimate a scaling factor for the frequencies. Matching the calculated frequencies to experimental anharmonic frequencies for disilane²⁹ requires a mean scaling factor of 0.938. The Si-H stretching frequencies of H₂ClSiSiH₃, H₂ClSiSiH₂Cl, HCl₂SiSiH₃, and HCl₂SiSiH₂Cl would require scaling by a factor of 0.928 to match those measured by McKean et al.^{19,20} Schlegel¹⁴ suggested a scaling factor of 0.945 for the frequencies of SiH_mCl_n calculated at the same level, for which a number of comparisons with experimental frequencies were possible. Thus it appears that a factor of 0.93–0.94 is probably appropriate.

Geometries
Molecular
Optimized
6-31G(d,p)
's of MP2/
Parameter
TABLE 2:

molecules with structure of Figure 1a	atom labels	symmetry		R(1-2)	R(1-3)		R(1-4)	R(1-5)		3(2–6)	2213	7214	4	2215	7126	741	24123	Z5123	26213
H ₃ SiSiH	SiSiH H H H	ڻ		2.381	1.477		.481	1.481	1.5	1.510	114.2	109.4		109.4	89.3	-12	-121.0	121.0	18(
H ₃ SiSiCl	SiSiH H H CI	ڻ	- 1	2.402	1.479		.479	1.479	2.0	68	111.8	109		109.5	95.4	-12	90.4	120.4	18(
H_2 ClSiSiH	SiSiCIH H H	ڻ	- 1	2.372	2.073		.478	1.478	1.5	14	113.7	110		110.3	9.98	-12	20.2	120.2	18(
H_2 CISiSiCI	SiSiCIH H CI	ڻ	- 1	2.401	2.072		.476	1.476	2.0	06	110.7	110		110.7	93.0	-11	9.6	119.6	18(
HCl_2SiSiH	SiSiCIH CIH		- 1	2.359	2.057		.471	2.081	1.5	11	116.1	123		93.4	87.8	-13	33.4	113.9	161
$HCl_2SiSiCl$	SiSiCIH CICI	C ¹	- 1	2.401	2.060		.472	2.066	2.0	.82	110.3	118		103.8	95.1	-12	74.1	117.3	14
Cl ₃ SiSiH	SiSiCICICIH	Ű	- 1	2.377	2.052		2.056	2.506	1.5	.07	114.2	109		109.7	86.9	-12	20.2	120.2	18(
Cl ₃ SiSiCl	SiSiCICICICI	C^{s}	. •	2.413	2.054		.051	2.051	2.0	171	109.7	111		111.3	95.5	-11	19.3	119.3	180.0
molecules with structure of Figure 1b	atom labels	symmetry R	(1-2)	R(1-2) R(1-3) R(1-4)		R(1-5)	R(2-6)	R(2-7)	R(2-8)	2213	7214	7215	7126 2	17 7217	Z128 Z4123	`	25123 26	72 72 72	Z7213 Z8213
H ₃ SiSiH ₃	Н Н Н Н Н НSISIS	D_{3d} .	2.334	1.476	1.476	1.476	1.476	1.476	1.476	110.4	110.4		110.4 1	10.4 110.4	<u>'</u>				·
$H_2ClSiSiH_3$	SiSiCIH H H H H	Ű	2.332	2.069	1.473	1.473	1.477	1.474	1.474	109.3	111.6		_		_'				
HCl_2SiSiH_3	SiSiH CICIH H H		2.329	1.470	2.057	2.057	1.473	1.474	1.474	114.7	109.4	109.4	_	109.2 109	9.2 - 120.0		120.0 1	180.0 6	60.1 -60.1
$H_2ClSiSiH_2Cl$	SiSiCIH H CIH H	C_{2h} 2	2.331	2.067	1.472	1.472	2.067	1.472	1.472	108.1	1111.1				١.				
HCl_2SiSiH_2Cl	SiSiH CICIH H CI		2.330	1.469	2.055	2.050	1.471	1.472	2.060	114.0	107.7	_	_		_		I		·
Cl ₃ SiSiH ₃	SiSicicicih h h	C_{3v}	2.325	2.048	2.048	2.048	1.473	1.473	1.473	110.7	110.7	_	_		١.				
HCl ₂ SiSiHCl ₂	SiSiH CICIH CICI		2.331	1.468	2.049	2.049	1.468	2.049	2.049	112.6	108.6								
Cl_3SiSiH_2Cl	SiSiCICICICIH H		2.327	2.047	2.042	2.042	2.054	1.471	1.471	108.6	110.9	_	_		١.				·
Cl ₃ SiSiHCl ₂	SiSicicicih cici	ű	2.327	2.036	2.041	2.041	1.468	2.043	2.043	110.3	109.3		_						
Cl ₃ SiSiCl ₃	Sisicicicici	+	2.324	2.036	2.036	2.036	2.036	2.036	2.036	109.3	109.3								·

" Bond lengths in angstroms; bond angles and dihedral angles in degrees. Atom numbering as shown in Figure 1, i.e., SiSiH CIH H H CI means the atoms labeled 1 and 2 are Si, atoms 3, 5, 6, and 7 are H, and atoms 4 and 8 are Cl in Figure 1b.




Figure 2. Generic geometries for the four types of transition states considered in this paper. Atoms 1 and 2 are silicon: atoms 3–8 may be hydrogen or chlorine. Bond lengths and angles are given in Table 3.

Heats of Formation. Heats of formation for the chlorinated disilanes and chlorinated silylsilylenes were calculated from the energies given in Table 1 and are presented in Table 5. The heats of formation of the chlorinated disilanes were calculated using the isodesmic reaction

$$\operatorname{Si}_{2}\operatorname{H}_{6} + \frac{n}{4}\operatorname{SiCl}_{4} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Si}_{2}\operatorname{H}_{6-n}\operatorname{Cl}_{n} + \frac{n}{4}\operatorname{SiH}_{4}$$

For the chlorinated silylsilylenes, the reaction

$$\operatorname{SiH}_2 + \operatorname{Si}_2 \operatorname{H}_6 + \frac{n}{4} \operatorname{SiCl}_4 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Si}_2 \operatorname{H}_{4-n} \operatorname{Cl}_n + \left(1 + \frac{n}{4}\right) \operatorname{SiH}_4$$

was used to compute the heats of formation. Experimental heats of formation for SiH_4 , 30 $SiCl_4$, 30 Si_2H_6 , 31 and SiH_2^4 were used as references. For the results in Table 5, there is very good agreement among all of the levels of theory used, including MP2/6-31G(d,p). This increases our confidence in the results for the more chlorinated species for which MP2/6-31+G(2df,p) calculations were the highest level that could be performed. The heats of formation of SiH_4 and $SiCl_4$ are well established and show good agreement between experiment and theory. The heat of formation used for Si_2H_6 (19.1 kcal/mol) is from the CATCH tables. This differs somewhat from the best theoretical estimates for Si_2H_6 , which predict a heat of formation of 16 kcal/mol. However, the ΔH_f° of SiH_2 used as a reference here

TABLE 3: Parameters of MP2/6-31G(d,p) Optimized Transition State Geometries^a

	atom labels	symmetry	R(1-2)	R(1-3)	R(1-4)	R(1-5)	R(2-6)	R(2-7)	R(2-8)	2213	7214	2215	7126	7127	7128	24123	25123	26213	27213	28213
					Trans	tion State	s with Str	acture of	Figure 2a											
H ₂ SiSiH ₂ ↔SiH ₄ +SiH ₅	H H H H H HSIS	ů	2.457	1.482	1.469	1.469	1.671	1.489	1.489	130.6	98.6	98.6	41.4	107.8	107.8	-121.3	121.3	0.0	55.1	-55.1
H,CISiSiH,↔SiH,CI+SiH,b	SiSiH CIH H H H	ت ت	2.754	1.469	2.036	1.461	1.782	1.502	1.505	134.6	101.8	87.0	30.6	103.4	92.2	-130.0	117.0	19.3	47.7	-49.1
H,CISiSiH,↔SiH,CI+SiH,	SiSiH H H CIH H	່ ບ້	2.624	1.482	1.468	1.468	2.350	1.488	1.488	148.1	91.2	91.2	52.9	124.6	124.6	-121.3	121.3	0.0	70.4	-70.4
H ₂ ClSiSiH ₃ ↔SiH ₄ +SiHCl	SiSiH H H H CIH	ت	2.321	1.485	1.504	1.478	1.598	2.081	1.520	117.6	111.1	100.5	54.7	116.4	120.3	-122.8	120.6	-34.0	46.4	-90.0
H ₂ ClSiSiH ₂ Cl→SiH ₂ Cl ₂ +SiH ₂	SiSiCIH H CIH H	່ ບ້	2.552	2.099	1.462	1.462	2.336	1.484	1.484	154.1	91.3	91.3	53.1	124.2	124.2	-118.2	118.2	0.0	71.7	-71.7
H ₂ ClSiSiH ₂ Cl↔SiH ₃ Cl+SiHCl	SiSiCIH H H CIH	C_1	2.336	2.077	1.466	1.466	1.652	2.069	1.477	130.1	103.6	97.0	46.7	118.2	115.1	-119.5	119.9	-16.4	56.8	-72.0
$HCl_2SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiH_2$	SiSiH CICIH H H	Č	2.814	1.464	2.026	2.026	1.794	1.503	1.503	135.7	95.5	95.5	28.8	97.4	97.4	-122.5	122.5	0.0	48.4	-48.4
HCl ₂ SiSiH ₃ ↔SiH ₃ Cl+SiHCl	SiSiH H H CICIH	C_1	2.582	1.479	1.470	1.470	2.348	2.072	1.485	146.8	6.06	92.4	54.5	122.8	129.0	-120.8	122.2	-0.4	87.8	-65.9
$HCl_2SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow SiH_4 + SiCl_2$	SiSiH H H H CICI	C_1	2.378	1.477	1.474	1.467	1.658	2.071	2.070	125.3	103.7	97.1	46.0	117.6	116.7	-120.8	123.5	-29.0	36.5	-94.7
HCl ₂ SiSiH ₂ Cl↔SiHCl ₃ +SiH ₂	SiSiCICIH CIH H	C^{1}	2.701	2.074	2.040	1.456	2.421	1.488	1.489	156.2	92.2	9.98	48.9	121.4	122.2	-128.4	111.9	10.6	8.92	-54.2
HCl ₂ SiSiH ₂ Cl↔SiH ₂ Cl ₂ +SiHCl ^b	SiSiCIH CIH CIH	C^{1}	2.375	2.061	1.463	2.038	1.684	2.074	1.480	129.6	102.2	100.6	4.0	113.7	112.2	-117.4	125.3	-26.2	46.3	-74.8
HCl ₂ SiSiH ₂ Cl↔SiH ₂ Cl ₂ +SiHCl ^c	SiSiCIH H CICIH	C_{l}	2.484	2.096	1.465	1.465	2.310	2.060	1.480	151.4	92.3	93.7	56.1	123.4	128.9	-117.5	119.6	-1.4	84.4	-71.4
HCl ₂ SiSiH ₂ Cl↔SiH ₃ Cl+SiCl ₂	SiSiCIH H H CICI	C^{1}	2.314	2.072	1.465	1.467	1.645	2.056	2.056	128.3	9.96	105.1	48.9	119.1	120.8	-120.2	118.8	19.4	90.2	-50.9
Cl ₃ SiSiH ₃ ↔SiHCl ₃ +SiH ₂	Sisicicicih h h	ů	2.823	2.034	2.019	2.019	1.811	1.503	1.503	134.1	95.7	95.7	28.4	8.96	8.96	-123.1	123.1	0.0	48.3	-48.3
Cl ₃ SiSiH ₃ ↔SiH ₃ Cl+SiCl ₂	SiSiH H H CICICI	ů	2.545	1.476	1.470	1.470	2.334	2.062	2.062	144.5	92.3	92.3	9.99	125.8	125.8	-121.8	121.8	0.0	80.4	-80.4
HCl ₂ SiSiHCl ₂ ↔SiHCl ₃ +SiHCl	SiSiCIH CICICIH	C_{1}	2.574	2.079	1.461	2.051	2.419	2.062	1.484	156.2	88.4	93.1	51.5	122.0	126.5	-112.7	126.9	-8.5	72.8	-70.6
HCl ₂ SiSiHCl ₂ ↔SiH ₂ Cl ₂ +SiCl ₂	Sisicicih h cici	C_{1}	2.336	2.062	2.052	1.462	1.694	2.053	2.056	130.2	104.7	8.96	45.6	119.5	115.9	-120.1	122.4	-22.6	43.5	- 90.7
Cl ₃ SiSiH ₂ Cl↔SiCl ₄ +SiH ₂	SiSiCICICICIH H	ů	2.822	2.060	2.034	2.034	2.473	1.491	1.491	155.9	9.68	9.68	46.5	120.1	120.1	-121.2	121.2	0.0	62.3	-62.3
Cl ₃ SiSiH ₂ Cl↔SiHCl ₃ +SiHCl	Sisicicicih cih	C_1	2.432	2.056	2.035	2.033	1.738	2.074	1.487	134.3	6.66	98.4	40.7	112.7	107.1	-120.2	122.2	-11.8	57.3	-57.1
$Cl_3SiSiH_2Cl \leftrightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiCl_2$	SiSiCIH H CICICI	ű	2.460	2.088	1.466	1.466	2.290	2.052	2.052	149.1	93.7	93.7	58.4	125.8	125.8	-118.9	118.9	0.0	83.7	-83.7
Cl ₃ SiSiHCl ₂ ↔SiCl ₄ +SiHCl	Sisicicicicih	C^{1}	2.717	2.064	2.040	2.045	2.533	2.061	1.489	158.5	89.4	89.4	47.7	122.8	122.6	-121.2	120.6	-0.3	76.5	-58.0
$Cl_3SiSiHCl_2 \leftrightarrow SiHCl_3 + SiCl_2^b$	Sisicicicih cici	C_1	2.335	2.057	2.047	2.030	1.710	2.053	2.050	129.7	103.0	101.1	45.3	115.5	118.9	-117.5	124.7	-24.1	4.9	-88.3
$Cl_3SiSiHCl_2 \leftrightarrow SiHCl_3 + SiCl_2^c$	SiSiH CICICICICI	ů	2.575	1.462	2.058	2.058	2.546	2.046	2.046	157.2	91.2	91.2	50.4	124.2	124.2	-120.8	120.8	0.0	75.9	-75.9
Cl ₃ SiSiCl ₃ ↔SiCl ₄ +SiCl ₂	Sisicicicicici	ů	2.544	2.071	2.053	2.053	2.495	2.044	2.044	157.3	91.3	91.3	51.3	124.4	124.4	-120.9	120.9	0.0	77.2	-77.2
					Trans	tion State	s with Str	oture of	Figure 2b											
$H_3SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow H_3SiSiH + H_2$	HHHHHHISIS	C ¹	2.332	1.476	1.475	1.477	1.481	1.634	1.537	109.6	115.4	106.7	111.5	77.7	103.7	-121.5	117.0	103.3	-176.8	-148.1
$H_2ClSiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow H_3SiSiCl + H_2$	SiSiH H H CIH H	C^{1}	2.412	1.474	1.475	1.476	2.109	1.650	1.572	102.4	119.8	106.6	102.0	53.8	91.5	-118.5	115.4	77.8	165.4	178.2
$H_2CISiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow H_2CISiSiH + H_2$	SiSiCIH H H H H	C_1	2.323	2.071	1.472	1.474	1.482	1.637	1.544	110.1	115.5	108.2	109.4	73.9	100.6	-119.5	116.7	110.7	-170.4	-143.2
H ₂ ClSiSiH ₂ Cl↔H ₂ ClSiSiCl+H ₂	SiSiH CIH CIH H	C^{1}	2.386	1.470	2.066	1.474	2.095	1.648	1.572	104.2	121.7	105.3	104.8	54.7	91.5	-118.5	116.7	75.6	162.9	177.3
HCl ₂ SiSiH ₃ ↔HCl ₂ SiSiH+H ₂	SiSiCIH CIH H H	C^{1}	2.316	2.058	1.468	2.056	1.481	1.623	1.532	109.2	118.9	106.8	109.3	74.6	101.7	-120.4	118.3	95.5	175.0	-155.6
HCl ₂ SiSiH ₂ Cl↔HCl ₂ SiSiCl+H ₂	SiSiCIH CICIH H	C^{1}	2.368	2.054	1.468	2.051	2.092	1.648	1.555	105.6	121.5	105.6	104.6	55.4	93.2	-118.4	116.5	82.2	168.9	-173.6
Cl ₃ SiSiH ₃ ↔Cl ₃ SiSiH+H ₂	SiSiCICICIH H H	ر ک	2.309	2.051	2.048	2.049	1.478	1.623	1.527	110.3	115.9	107.8	109.9	75.0	101.7	-120.6	117.4	101.5	-178.8	-148.0
Cl ₃ SiSiH ₂ Cl←Cl ₃ SiSiCl+H ₂	SISICICICIH H	<u>ت</u>	7.369	2.047	2.046	2.045	7.084	1.651	1.551	105.6	120.5	0.901	0.501	53.2	92.1	-118.6	115.3	82.4	168.8	-1/3.4
		ı		!	Trans	tion State	s with Str	acture of	Figure 2c				i			,	;	;	,	,
SiH ₄ +SiHCl↔SiH ₃ Cl+SiH ₂	SiSiH H H CIH H	ن ت	2.933	1.472	1.480	1.464	2.309	1.585	1.503	132.5	104.7	93.5	51.9	29.0	82.8	-122.8	123.5	-21.9	136.9	-119.2
SiH ₃ Cl+SiHCl→SiH ₂ Cl ₂ +SiH ₂	SiSiH CIH CIH H	، ت	2.918	1.466	2.080	1.463	2.337	1.583	1.503	137.1	105.2	92.8	49.7	29.2	83.2	-119.2	126.2	-21.3	140.7	-117.4
SiH ₃ Cl+SiHCl+SiH ₄ +SiCl ₂	SISIH H H CIH CI	ت ت	2.963	1.470	1.470	1.477	2.312	1.602	2.102	127.4	103.0	98.0	52.4	27.1	89.1	-129.2	114.0	4.45	-16/.3	0.79
	Sisin Cicicin n	ت ت	2.000	1.400	0.040	1.000	2.510	1.000	0000	125.6	104.4	6.66	71.7	7.0.7	01.0	110.4	125.0	, 20.0 21.0	145.0	-110 6
Sin2Ci2+SinCi^Sin3Ci+SiCi2 SiHCl°+SiHCl↔SiCl,+SiH,	SISIN CIN CIN CI	ت ت	2.307	2.062	070.7	2.069	2.349	1 582	1.500	133 1	103.0	07.7	6.71 7.0	31.7	76.3	-110./ -136.6	0.021	0.17	143.0	-563
SILICIS SILICI SICI4 SILIZ GILCI- + GILCI ← GIL-CI- + GICI-	Sister CiCiDia Ci	כ	200.0	1 457	040.0	2000	202.0	1.502	2000	122.2	000	102.0	5. 5.	1.10	0.07	110.0	110.0	5 6	170.0	200.5
Sirica Sirici - Sirizci Siciz SiCl₄+SiHCl↔SiHCl₃+SiCl۶	SISICICICICIH CI	ت ت	2.962	2.052	2.035	2.061	2.293	1.659	2.077	132.2	100.7	103.7	52.8	24.0 24.2	95.5	-126.1	116.4	-28.3	172.2 -157.2	0.79
					Trans	tion State	with Str	cture of	Figure 2d											
$H_3SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow SiH_4 + SiH_2$	ВІЗІН Н Н Н Н Н	C_1	2.690	1.464	1.469	1.472	1.510	1.608	1.505	98.3	109.6	117.5	65.2	33.8	72.3	-115.9	116.7	58.2	-167.8	-47.1
$H_2ClSiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiH_2$	SiSiCIH H H H H	را د	2.813	2.038	1.462	1.469	1.511	1.672	1.503	102.7	98.0	125.7	61.3	28.5	81.8	-114.8	121.5	0.99	-134.4	-34.0
a Donal Lond Colonia in the section of the section	dip has solved buch	odeo loso	122 in doo	ν ουσ-	odomina	10 00 ~	I ui uition		0.111.0	111 111	5	47	40.00	-	1 1 0 0 0	0	, 000000	7	1	F

^a Bond lengths in angstroms; bond angles and dihedral angles in degrees. Atom numbering as shown in Figure 2 i.e. SiH ClH H H Cl means the atoms labeled 1 and 2 are Si, atoms 3, 5, 6, and 7 are H, and atoms 4 and 8 are Cl in any of the structures of Figure 2. ^b Transition state corresponding to insertion into Si-Cl bond.

TABLE 4: Unscaled MP2/6-31G(d,p) Vibrational Frequencies^a

TABLE 4. Cliscaled MI 2/0-3	r O (u,p	, 110	Tano	1141 1	rcqu	ciicii	<i>-</i> 13											
						Stab	le Mol	lecules										
H ₃ SiSiH	95	402	409	455	755	925	974	998	2163	2293	2306	2328						
H ₃ SiSiCl	70	144	397	416	509	547	918	972	986	2303	2322	2324						
H ₂ ClSiSiH	136	183	322	391	565		739	867	958	2148	2304	2320						
H ₂ ClSiSiCl	44	88	168	356	438	528	563	716	846	968	2315							
HCl ₂ SiSiH	42	144	190	292	411	526	595	683	763	848	2165	2348						
HCl ₂ SiSiCl	22			142				549	588	773		2348						
Cl ₃ SiSiH	30	109	184	184	209	233	365	563	593	600		2185						
Cl ₃ SiSiCl	11	75		141		188	236	366	544	573	599	614						
H_3SiSiH_3	144	384	384	460	667	667	901	980	985	985	1002	1002	2318	2328	2333	2333	2341	2341
H ₂ ClSiSiH ₃	115	128	372	436	542	571	627	742	861	943	987	988	1005	2325	2339	2345	2347	2355
HCl ₂ SiSiH ₃	109	121	152	201	414	534	550	567	607	809	841	939	984	987	2333	2353	2356	2361
H ₂ ClSiSiH ₂ Cl	47	81	165	367	468	560	573	598	712	745	810	913	991	998	2345	2349	2359	2367
HCl ₂ SiSiH ₂ Cl	39	71	146	156	211	428	517	552	584	607	717	780	838	893	985	2349	2365	2370
Cl ₃ SiSiH ₃	109	109	124	188	188	211	383	539	539	592	625	625	927	983	983	2340	2363	2363
HCl ₂ SiSiHCl ₂	23	64	125	130		194	241	455	532	591	602	617	728	826	829	863	2371	
Cl ₃ SiSiH ₂ Cl	39	66	110	142		195	234	386	509	554	604	624	626	727	871	982	2353	2373
-																		
Cl ₃ SiSiHCl ₂	27	66				183		211	262	388	521	610	613	628	636	806	830	
Cl ₃ SiSiCl ₃	28	68	68	130	130	136	184	184	225	225	254	368	484	625	625	637	637	656
			7	Γransi	tion S	tates v	vith St	tructur	e of Fi	gure 2a								
$H_3SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow SiH_4 + SiH_2$	192i	197	361	519	617		827	911	974	1018	1051	1456	1652	2247	2268	2296	2353	2382
$H_2ClSiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiH_2^b$	64i	109	163	403	520	593	620	704	787	942	997	1026	1299	2045	2179	2199	2366	2418
H_2 ClSiSiH ₃ \leftrightarrow SiH ₃ Cl + SiH ₂ ^c	271i	195	250	278	395	608	644	664	763	898	1017	1057	1101	2253	2274	2281	2341	2378
	470i		178	405	550	569	652	765	832	934	967	1057	1319	1673	2291		2333	
$H_2ClSiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow SiH_4 + SiHCl$ $H_2ClSiSiH_4 \leftrightarrow SiH_4 + SiHCl$	120i			237	432	487	573	605	712	814	900	970	1075	1290	1958	2029	2349	2356
$H_2ClSiSiH_2Cl \leftrightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiH_2$		76	154															
$H_2ClSiSiH_2Cl \leftrightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiHCl$	332i		114	269		479	534	596	732	817	837	900	939	1243			2357	
$HCl_2SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiH_2$	122i	77	164	165	209	506	536	593	648	731	742	905	1024	1268	2086	2185	2198	2392
$HCl_2SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiHCl$	284i			215		384	552	622	642	739	831	900	1044	1100		2294	2333	
$HCl_2SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow SiH_4 + SiCl_2$	488i	105	155	168	310	443	539	579	612	788	939	961	1060	1264	1658	2314	2320	2388
$HCl_2SiSiH_2Cl \leftrightarrow SiHCl_3 + SiH_2$	185i	89	133	179	222	270	304	441	516	577	580	690	730	909	1037	2253	2276	2418
$HCl_2SiSiH_2Cl \leftrightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiHCl^b$	254i	55	69	163	200	281	477	530	567	612	700	757	826	918	1361	1628	2301	2379
$HCl_2SiSiH_2Cl \leftrightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiHCl^c$	187i	54	122	159	204	279	400	478	501	575	676	817	829	932	962	2301	2344	2393
$HCl_2SiSiH_2Cl \leftrightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiCl_2$	373i	54	107	123	185	346	462	522	606	627	694	815	897	922	1069	1719	2350	2399
$Cl_3SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow SiHCl_3 + SiH_2$	106i	76	106	156	187	195	242	479	520	616	627	660	767	1026	1217	2115	2188	2201
Cl ₃ SiSiH ₃ ↔ SiH ₃ Cl + SiCl ₂	290i	61	125	151	179	186	285	378	561	569	642	715	895	1036	1093	2312		
HCl ₂ SiSiHCl ₂ ↔ SiHCl ₃ + SiHCl	155i	47	82	119	164	196	256	264	426	506	556	570	640	708	816	960	2283	2388
$HCl_2SiSiHCl_2 \leftrightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiCl_2$	256i	46	69	110	150	213	250	332	518	562	598	615	652	821	871	1246	1643	
			112	120	194	223	224	267	288	390	535		578	654	682	1032	2239	2261
$Cl_3SiSiH_2Cl \leftrightarrow SiCl_4 + SiH_2$	218i	86										558						
$Cl_3SiSiH_2Cl \leftrightarrow SiHCl_3 + SiHCl$	153i	54	64	135		198		260	445	542	570	584	649	737	827	1460	1630	
$Cl_3SiSiH_2Cl \leftrightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiCl_2$	224i	45	105	138		167	224	287	391	462	511	597	605	830	920	949	2342	
$Cl_3SiSiHCl_2 \leftrightarrow SiCl_4 + SiHCl$	195i	46	64			172		229	232	259	385	524	550	569	614	643	829	
$Cl_3SiSiHCl_2 \leftrightarrow SiHCl_3 + SiCl_2^b$	263i	26	68	98		164	185	218	232	326	484	564	602	617	635	743	1214	
$Cl_3SiSiHCl_2 \leftrightarrow SiHCl_3 + SiCl_2^c$	179i	52	58	90	120	131	166	177	240	293	393	537	576	596	620	921	928	2373
$Cl_3SiSiCl_3 \leftrightarrow SiCl_4 + SiCl_2$	153i	48	55	84	118	134	159	180	229	230	248	274	375	509	557	593	602	631
			7	Francii	ion S	tatec v	vith St	ructur	e of Fi	gure 2b								
$H_3SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow H_3SiSiH + H_2$	1021i	170		430					945	_		1078	1912	2167	2298	2322	2334	2338
2 2 2 2											1017							
$H_2ClSiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow H_3SiSiCl + H_2$	1041i								708	940					2020			
$H_2ClSiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow H_2ClSiSiH + H_2$		120	169	357	394	470	562	671	799	875	892	969		1818		2297		
$H_2ClSiSiH_2Cl \leftrightarrow H_2ClSiSiCl + H_2$	1048i	61	89	200	324	448	523	551	583	704	769	882	967		1780			2358
$HCl_2SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow HCl_2SiSiH + H_2$	1067i	116		176		348	451	558	581	629	819	853	872	1055	1817	2174		
$HCl_2SiSiH_2Cl \leftrightarrow HCl_2SiSiCl + H_2$	1061i	48	76	151	176	223	302	502	525	576	607	699	779	870	1106		2062	
$Cl_3SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow Cl_3SiSiH + H_2$	1088i	107	129	169	195	203	221	362	397	581	582	603	660	857	1045	1822	2188	2319
$Cl_3SiSiH_2Cl \leftrightarrow Cl_3SiSiCl + H_2$	1035i	41	65	142	150	199	204	227	311	471	534	590	601	632	735	1103	1743	2064
			7	Francis	tion C	totos v	with C	enotue	o of Ei	aura 2a								
CH CHCl + CH Cl CH	200:	170								gure 2c		1125	1167	1027	2107	2202	2220	2401
$SiH_4 + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiH_2$		178							916	975					2187			
$SiH_3Cl + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiH_2$		139	200	272		426		636	746	788	963	1023		1197	1919	2191	2354	
$SiH_3Cl + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH_4 + SiCl_2$	380i			183				532	678	908	961	993			1857		2341	
$SiH_2Cl_2 + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiHCl_3 + SiH_2$	113i			171			373	507	550	612	766	833	978	993		1868	2205	
$SiH_2Cl_2 + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiCl_2$	168i				196		353	514	531	561	776	887	1008	1018	1250	1801		
$SiHCl_3 + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiCl_4 + SiH_2$	85i	115	141	166	199		238	305	369	495	532	594	629	778	1027	1231	1906	2199
$SiHCl_3 + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiCl_2$	171i	35	53			174		350	380	500	537	622	859	910	943	1330	1732	2392
$SiCl_4 + SiHCl \leftrightarrow SiHCl_3 + SiCl_2$	156i	32	55	128	152	167	207	227	232	316	371	490	534	582	636	865	1345	1772
· -									a of E	aure 24								
П 6:6:П ↔ 6:П + 6:П	1.4.43	105								gure 2d		1225	1051	2160	2177	2251	2275	2206
$H_3SiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow SiH_4 + SiH_2$		105		560						1011			1854		2177		2375	
$H_2ClSiSiH_3 \leftrightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiH_2$	13/1	143	<i>LLL</i>	2/1	308	382	100	740	862	944	999	1033	1443	1002	2154	2193	2308	2410

^a Frequencies are in units of cm⁻¹ and are unscaled. Degenerate frequencies are simply repeated an appropriate number of times. ^b Transition state corresponding to insertion into Si-H bond. ^c Transition state corresponding to insertion into Si-Cl bond.

was derived from the experimentally established heat of reaction for $\mathrm{Si}_2\mathrm{H}_6$ decomposition and referenced to the value of 19.1 kcal/mol for $\mathrm{Si}_2\mathrm{H}_6$. So, if we changed the value of $\Delta H_\mathrm{f}^\circ$ for $\mathrm{Si}_2\mathrm{H}_6$, we would need to make a corresponding change in $\Delta H_\mathrm{f}^\circ$ for SiH_2 . The result of this is that the heat of reaction for all

of the reactions considered here is unaffected by the value of $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}$ for Si₂H₆, provided that the value used for SiH₂ is consistent with it.

The heats of formation in Table 5 can be reasonably reproduced by a bond additivity scheme. We can write the heats

TABLE 5: Heats of Formation Calculated Using Isodesmic Reactions^a

molecule	MP2 6-31G(d,p)	MP2 6-31+G(2df,p)	MP4 6-31+G(2df,p)	G2(MP2)	G2	S(298)
H ₃ SiSiH	76.0	75.1	75.1	75.4	75.4	67.7
H ₃ SiSiCl	27.2	25.8	26.0	26.3	26.8	76.0
H ₂ ClSiSiH	34.4	33.6	33.8	33.9	34.1	74.5
H ₂ ClSiSiCl	-14.3	-15.7	-15.3	-15.2	-14.6	83.4
HCl ₂ SiSiH	-8.8	-10.4	-9.8	-10.1	-9.6	83.0
HCl ₂ SiSiCl	-57.3	-58.9	-58.3	-58.5		92.1
Cl ₃ SiSiH	-53.1	-53.8	-53.3	-53.5		91.1
Cl ₃ SiSiCl	-101.3	-102.8				100.2
H ₂ ClSiSiH ₃	-21.3	-21.3	-21.1	-21.1	-21.0	76.3
H ₂ ClSiSiH ₂ Cl	-61.7	-61.6	-61.3	-61.4	-61.3	83.0
HCl ₂ SiSiH ₃	-64.2	-64.2	-63.9	-64.0	-63.8	83.7
HCl ₂ SiSiH ₂ Cl	-104.1	-104.2	-103.8	-104.1		91.8
Cl ₃ SiSiH ₃	-108.2	-108.0	-107.6	-107.9		88.5
HCl ₂ SiSiHCl ₂	-146.4	-146.8				98.0
Cl ₃ SiSiH ₂ Cl	-147.9	-147.8				98.4
Cl ₃ SiSiHCl ₂	-190.1	-190.3				105.5
Cl ₃ SiSiCl ₃	-233.8	-233.9				108.3

^a Heats of formation in kcal/mol at 298.15 K and 1 atm calculated using isodesmic reactions given in text and total energies, thermal, and zero-point energies from Table 1. Entropies are in cal/(mol/K) calculated using the unscaled MP2/6-31G(d,p) vibrational frequencies. Reference values for calculating heats of formation were $\Delta H_f(SiH_4) = 8.2 \text{ kcal/mol}, ^{30} \Delta H_f(SiCl_4) = -158.4 \text{ kcal/mol}, ^{30} \Delta H_f(SiH_2) = 65.2 \text{ kcal/mol}, ^{4} \text{ and}$ $\Delta H_f(Si_2H_6) = 19.1 \text{ kcal/mol.}^{31}$ Entries left blank were not calculated due to computational expense.

of formation for the Si-H-Cl compounds as

$$\begin{split} \Delta H_{\rm f}^{\,\circ} &= \Delta H_{\rm Si-Si} n_{\rm Si-Si} + \Delta H_{\rm Si-H} n_{\rm Si-H} + \Delta H_{\rm Si-Cl} n_{\rm Si-Cl} + \\ \Delta H_{\rm Si(d)-Si} n_{\rm Si(d)-Si} + \Delta H_{\rm Si(d)-H} n_{\rm Si(d)-H} + \Delta H_{\rm Si(d)-Cl} n_{\rm Si(d)-Cl} \end{split}$$

where n_{Si-Si} is the number of Si-Si bonds, n_{Si-H} is the number of Si-H bonds, n_{Si-Cl} is the number of Si-Cl bonds, $n_{Si(d)-Si}$ is the number of Si-Si bonds where one of the silicon atoms is divalent, $n_{Si(d)-H}$ is the number of Si-H bonds where the silicon atom is divalent, and $n_{Si(d)-Cl}$ is the number of Si-Cl bonds where the silicon atom is divalent. The ΔH values are the corresponding increments to the heat of formation. Values of $\Delta H_{\rm Si-Si} = 6.23$ kcal/mol, $\Delta H_{\rm Si-H} = 2.50$ kcal/mol, $\Delta H_{\rm Si-Cl}$ = -39.84, $\Delta H_{\text{Si(d)}-\text{S}} = 29.95$ kcal/mol, $\Delta H_{\text{Si(d)}-\text{H}} = 29.67$ kcal/ mol, and $\Delta H_{\text{Si(d)}-\text{Cl}} = 21.66 \text{ kcal/mol}$ were obtained by a leastsquares fit of the above expression to the heats of formation of the compounds in Table 5, plus disilane, the chlorinated silanes SiH_nCl_{4-n} , and the chlorinated silylenes SiH_nCl_{2-n} . These parameters fit the heats of formation of the 26 compounds considered to within an average absolute error of 1.1 kcal/mol and a maximum absolute error of 2.3 kcal/mol. This forms a reasonable basis for a bond additivity scheme that could be used to predict heats of formation for larger Si-H-Cl compounds.

Decomposition Reaction Energetics and Kinetics. The heats of reaction, forward and reverse reaction barriers, and estimated rate parameters at 1000 K for all of the thermal decomposition reactions considered are presented in Table 6. The reverse barriers are obtained by subtracting the calculated energy, including zero-point energy, of the products from that of the transition state. A negative barrier means that the transition structure is lower in energy than the separated products. It is still, of course, higher in energy than the minimum energy cluster between the products. The forward barrier is obtained by adding the reverse barrier to the overall energy change for reaction. This was obtained from the energies of formation of reactants and products at 0 K using the isodesmic reactions presented above. The insertion barriers were more sensitive to the level of calculation than the heats of formation, but are still reasonably consistent from the MP2/6-31+G(2df,p) to the G2 levels. The barriers increase by an average of 0.3 kcal/mol from the G2(MP2) to the G2 level, decreasing in only one case. Likewise, the barriers increase by an average of 0.5 kcal/mol from the MP4/6-31+G(2df,p) level to the G2 level,

decreasing in only three cases. The barriers increase in all cases from the MP2/6-31+G(2df,p) level to the G2 level, by an average of 1.8 kcal/mol, with the increases ranging from 0.3 to 3.5 kcal/mol. For estimating rate parameters, the barriers at the G2 level were used for compounds with two or fewer chlorines, and the barriers at the G2(MP2) level were used for the compounds with three chlorines. For those with four or more chlorines, the average increase of 1.8 kcal/mol was added to the barriers at the MP2/6-31+G(2df,p) level. These are the barriers given in the column labeled "best value" in Table 6.

The estimated rate constants, activation energies, and preexponential factors were obtained from conventional transition state theory calculations³² treating all vibrations as harmonic oscillators and all rotations as rigid rotors. Improved treatment of internal rotations and low vibrational frequencies, as well as a variational treatment for those reactions with negative calculated barriers, would be required to make more accurate estimates of rate constants, but would go beyond the scope of this paper. Note that ratios of rates of competing reactions, i.e., different decomposition reactions of a single reactant, depend only on the energies and partition functions of the transition states for the competing paths and not those of the reacting molecules. Many of these reactions are likely to be in the pressure dependent falloff regime at conditions of practical interest, so unimolecular rate theory calculations of the pressure and temperature dependence of the reactions are needed to fully characterize them. This also falls outside the scope of this work. Figure 3 gives a diagram of the energetics for the Si₂H₃Cl₃ system to illustrate typical results for the various possible reaction paths. Note that for this system, as well as most of the others, the energetic barriers for different reaction paths lie quite close together. The observations below are based on the energetics as presented in Table 5, realizing that relative changes of a few kcal/mol in the energetics would change the results significantly. However, these observations still represent the best information that is available at this time for the reactions considered.

H₃SiSiH₃ Decomposition. The results for disilane decomposition agree well with the previous studies cited above. Silylene elimination from disilane is predicted to occur via a loose transition state. The saddle points located for both SiH₂ elimination geometries lie well below the separated products. The rate parameters in Table 5 are those obtained with the

TABLE 6: Thermal Decomposition Barriers and Rate Parameters^a

					reverse barrier							
	TS		MP2	MP2	MP4			best	forward		log	
reaction	type	$\Delta H_{\rm rxn}$	6-31G(d,p)	6-31+G(2df,p)	6-31+G(2df,p)	G2(MP2)	G2	value	barrier	k(1000 K)	A	$E_{\rm a}$
H ₃ SiSiH ₃ →SiH ₄ +SiH ₂	a	54.3	-3.8	-8.8	-7.5	-6.7	-6.4	-6.44	46.7	7537	14.2	47.1
H ₃ SiSiH ₃ →SiH ₄ +SiH ₂	d	54.3	-5.0	-9.6	-8.5	-8.7	-8.2	-8.2	44.9	28740	14.4	45.3
$H_3SiSiH_3 \rightarrow H_3SiSiH + H_2$	b	56.3	1.1	-2.7	-1.0	-1.0	-0.5	-0.5	53.8	430	14.7	55.0
$H_2ClSiSiH_3 \rightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiH_2^b$	a	54.2	-2.2	-6.8	-6.0	-6.1	-5.8	-5.8	47.5	3351	14.0	48.0
$H_2ClSiSiH_3 \rightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiH_2^c$	a	54.2	4.9	-3.0	-1.3	-0.3	0.0	0.0	53.3	32	13.3	53.9
$H_2ClSiSiH_3 \rightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiH_2^b$	d	54.2	-3.4	-7.8	-6.9	-7.5	-7.0	-7.0	46.3	4226	13.8	46.6
H ₂ ClSiSiH ₃ →SiH ₄ +SiHCl	a	44.4	8.5	3.2	4.4	4.7	4.8	4.8	48.4	505	13.4	48.8
$H_2ClSiSiH_3 \rightarrow H_3SiSiCl + H_2$	b	47.8	12.0	7.6	9.4	9.4	9.8	9.8	55.7	33	14.0	57.0
$H_2ClSiSiH_3 \rightarrow H_2ClSiSiH + H_2$	b	55.2	1.1	-3.0	-1.3	-1.1	-0.7	-0.7	52.6	396	14.4	53.9
$H_2ClSiSiH_2Cl \rightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiH_2$	a	52.2	0.9	-7.5	-5.4	-4.3	-3.9	-4.0	47.4	457	13.1	47.6
$H_2ClSiSiH_2Cl \rightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiHCl$	a	44.4	4.7	-0.9	0.5	0.9	0.9	0.9	44.9	7737	13.8	45.3
$H_2ClSiSiH_2Cl \rightarrow H_2ClSiSiCl + H_2$	b	46.7	12.3	7.7	9.6	9.7	10.0	10.0	54.8	81	14.2	56.0
$HCl_2SiSiH_3 \rightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiH_2$	a	54.7	-0.6	-5.6	-4.8	-5.2	-4.8	-4.8	49.3	1503	14.1	49.8
HCl ₂ SiSiH ₃ →SiH ₃ Cl+SiHCl	a	47.0	10.8	2.3	4.0	5.0	5.1	5.1	51.7	226	13.8	52.1
$HCl_2SiSiH_3 \rightarrow SiH_4 + SiCl_2$	a	33.6	25.2	20.3	21.1	20.6	20.5	20.5	53.5	33	13.3	53.8
$HCl_2SiSiH_3 \rightarrow HCl_2SiSiH + H_2$	b	54.2	1.1	-2.6	-1.0	-0.5	-0.3	-0.3	52.2	485	14.4	53.5
$HCl_2SiSiH_2Cl \rightarrow SiHCl_3 + SiH_2$	a	52.3	4.6	-4.2	-2.4	-1.5		-1.5	50.0	83	13.1	50.9
$HCl_2SiSiH_2Cl \rightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiHCl^b$	a	44.5	6.9	0.8	2.1	2.4		2.4	46.6	903	13.3	47.1
HCl ₂ SiSiH ₂ Cl→SiH ₂ Cl ₂ +SiHCl ^c	a	44.5	7.9	-1.2	0.9	1.9		2.0	46.2	1530	13.4	46.8
$HCl_2SiSiH_2Cl \rightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiCl_2$	a	32.8	21.0	15.8	16.9	16.3		16.3	48.8	238	13.1	49.3
$HCl_2SiSiH_2Cl \rightarrow HCl_2SiSiCl + H_2$	b	45.6	12.7	7.9	9.8	9.9		9.9	53.6	80	13.9	54.9
$Cl_3SiSiH_3 \rightarrow SiHCl_3 + SiH_2$	a	56.1	-0.4	-5.1	-4.3	-4.8		-4.8	50.7	773	14.1	51.3
$Cl_3SiSiH_3 \rightarrow SiH_3Cl + SiCl_2$	a	36.6	18.9	10.5	12.1	12.6		12.6	49.2	1656	14.1	49.6
$Cl_3SiSiH_3 \rightarrow Cl_3SiSiH + H_2$	b	54.5	2.0	-2.3	-0.5	-0.4		-0.4	52.2	421	14.3	53.5
$HCl_2SiSiHCl_2 \rightarrow SiHCl_3 + SiHCl$	a	44.3	10.4	1.0				2.8	46.9	2124	13.7	47.7
$HCl_2SiSiHCl_2 \rightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiCl_2$	a	32.2	22.8	17.30				19.1	51.3	92	13.3	51.7
Cl ₃ SiSiH ₂ Cl→SiCl ₄ +SiH ₂	a	54.6	7.7	-1.2				0.7	54.5	10	13.2	55.5
Cl ₃ SiSiH ₂ Cl→SiHCl ₃ +SiHCl	a	45.3	8.5	2.4				4.2	49.4	420	13.5	49.8
$Cl_3SiSiH_2Cl \rightarrow SiH_2Cl_2 + SiCl_2$	a	33.2	17.4	8.6				10.4	43.7	12587	13.8	44.3
$Cl_3SiSiH_2Cl \rightarrow Cl_3SiSiCl + H_2$	b	45.1	13.7	8.7				10.5	53.7	228	14.4	55.0
Cl ₃ SiSiHCl ₂ →SiCl ₄ +SiHCl	a	46.6	12.6	3.2				5.0	51.3	180	13.7	52.3
$Cl_3SiSiHCl_2 \rightarrow SiHCl_3 + SiCl_2^b$	a	33.1	24.6	18.8				20.6	53.9	27	13.3	54.3
Cl ₃ SiSiHCl ₂ →SiHCl ₃ +SiCl ₂ ^c	a	33.1	18.5	10.2				12.1	45.3	7756	13.9	45.9
Cl ₃ SiSiCl ₃ →SiCl ₄ +SiCl ₂	a	35.4	20.1	10.7				12.5	48.1	3095	14.2	48.8

^a Energies are in kcal/mol. $\Delta H_{\rm rxn}$ is the standard heat of reaction at 298 K calculated from the heats of formation in Table 4. Forward and reverse reaction barriers at 0 K, including zero-point energy, calculated as described in the text. Transition-state type refers to the structures of Figure 2. Estimated rate parameters are at 1000 K. k and A are in s⁻¹, E_a is in kcal/mol. Entries left blank were not calculated due to computational expense. ^b Transition state corresponding to insertion into a Si-H bond. ^c Transition state corresponding to insertion into a

transition states located at the saddle points. A variational treatment with the transition state located closer to the separated products would be needed to make reasonable rate constant predictions for this reaction over wide temperature ranges.⁴ However, at high enough temperatures, the transition state will tighten until it lies at or near the saddle points located on the potential surface. At sufficiently high temperatures, greater than around 1000 K in this case, tight transition state calculations will then provide reasonable estimates of the rate parameters. The transition state for H₂ elimination is predicted to lie 0.5 kcal/mol below the separated products, giving an activation energy of about 55 kcal/mol, consistent with shock tube measurements of this reaction.³³ This reaction is slower than the silylene elimination, but is known to play a role in the mechanism of thermal decomposition of silane.³⁴

H₂ClSiSiH₃ Decomposition. Chlorodisilane is predicted to decompose by SiH₂ and SiHCl elimination, with SiH₂ elimination being faster. As was the case for disilane, the saddle point on the path for SiH₂ elimination via an H atom shift lies below the separated products. At low temperatures, this reaction should occur via a loose transition state with an activation energy near the heat of reaction. At higher temperatures, the transition state will tighten until the activation energy is near 48 kcal/mol and the preexponential drops to near 10¹⁴ s⁻¹, as predicted by the tight transition state calculations. By contrast, the barrier for SiH₂ elimination via a Cl atom shift is essentially isoenergetic with the products. That reaction would therefore proceed through a tight transition state and would be much slower than

the path involving transfer of an H atom. SiHCl elimination is predicted to have an activation energy comparable to the hightemperature activation energy for silylene elimination. Jenkins et al.²² found that the ratio of SiH₂ elimination to SiHCl elimination was 0.8 at 663 K, based on an analysis of the final products of H2ClSiSiH3 decomposition and reasonable mechanistic assumptions. This is inconsistent with our results, which predict SiH₂ elimination to be faster by a factor of at least 5, depending how the loose transition state for SiH2 elimination is treated. An increase of 2-3 kcal/mol in the activation energy for SiH₂ elimination relative to SiHCl elimination would be required to bring our results into agreement with the branching ratio observed by Jenkins et al. It should be noted that their experiments were carried out at reduced pressure and were probably in the unimolecular falloff regime, which may have affected the observed branching ratio. Their pyrolysis experiments also took place in the presence of hot walls, which may have catalyzed reactions that would change the branching ratio or observed final products. Hydrogen elimination is predicted to be slightly slower than SiHCl elimination, with production of H₂ClSiSiH strongly favored over production of H₃SiSiCl.

H₂ClSiSiH₂Cl Decomposition. For 1,2-dichlorodisilane, SiHCl elimination is predicted to have an activation energy of 45 kcal/mol and be faster than SiH₂ elimination. Silylene elimination again will be governed by a loose transition state and should show a decreasing activation energy with increasing temperature. H₂ elimination is predicted to have an activation




Figure 3. Diagram of energies of SiH₃Cl₃ species and saddle points on the paths connecting them. Energies are in kcal/mol at 0 K relative to Cl₃SiSiH₃. Energies of reaction and barrier heights were calculated as described in the text from the G2(MP2) energies. Transition-state labels (a, b, c) correspond to the transition-state types illustrated in Figure 2.

energy of 56 kcal/mol, making it much slower than the other reactions.

HCl₂SiSiH₃ Decomposition. Silvlene elimination is predicted to be the fastest reaction, again proceeding through a loose transition state with an activation energy decreasing from nearly the heat of reaction down to 50 kcal/mol as the temperature is increased. SiHCl and H₂ elimination proceed at comparable rates with activation energies of 52 and 53 kcal/ mol, respectively. SiCl2 elimination is predicted to be the slowest reaction, with an activation energy near 54 kcal/mol and a relatively low preexponential factor. These predictions disagree with the observation of Jenkins et al.²² that SiH₂ and SiCl₂ elimination proceeded at the same rate while SiHCl and H₂ elimination were not observed. Again, the experimental results were obtained in the presence of hot walls and at reduced pressure, and the branching ratio is based on final product analysis with assumptions about the mechanism of product production. Reconciling our results with the observation of Jenkins et al. would require at least a 4 kcal/mol reduction in the activation barrier for SiCl2 elimination relative to that for SiH₂ elimination.

HCl₂SiSiH₂Cl Decomposition. The energetics of these reactions are illustrated in Figure 3. SiHCl elimination is predicted to be the fastest decomposition route, with 1,2 H atom transfer and 1,2 Cl atom transfer contributing nearly equally. The predicted activation energy is 47 kcal/mol. SiCl₂ elimination is the next fastest process, with an activation energy of 49 kcal/mol. Silylene elimination in this molecule can only occur via a 1,2 Cl atom transfer and is substantially slower. H₂ elimination is also slower, with a rate comparable to the silylene elimination rate at 1000 K.

Cl₃SiSiH₃ Decomposition. The energetics of this reaction are also illustrated in Figure 3. SiCl₂ elimination, passing through a tight transition state with an activation energy of 50 kcal/mol, is predicted to be faster than silvlene elimination, which goes through a loose transition state but has a higher activation energy. H₂ elimination is slower yet, with an activation barrier 3 kcal/mol higher than SiCl₂ elimination.

HCl₂SiSiHCl₂ Decomposition. The only possible reactions are SiHCl elimination and SiCl2 elimination. The SiHCl elimination is predicted to be faster, with an activation energy of 48 kcal/mol, compared to 52 kcal/mol for SiCl₂.

Cl₃SiSiH₂Cl Decomposition. SiCl₂ elimination is fastest, followed by SiHCl elimination, H2 elimination, and SiH2 elimination. SiCl₂ elimination is predicted to have an activation energy of only 44 kcal/mol.

Cl₃SiSiHCl₂ Decomposition. SiCl₂ elimination is much faster than SiHCl elimination. These reactions have activation energies of 46 and 52 kcal/mol, respectively. The SiCl₂ elimination occurs almost entirely by Cl atom transfer. Elimination via H atom transfer is predicted to have a barrier that is 8 kcal/mol higher than Cl atom transfer. Thus, Cl atom transfer is preferred to H atom transfer for SiCl₂ elimination, in contrast to SiH₂ elimination, where H atom transfer was preferred.

Cl₃SiSiCl₃ Decomposition. The only possible reaction is SiCl₂ elimination, which is predicted to occur with an activation energy of 49 kcal/mol. This is in good agreement with the value of 49.2 kcal/mol measured by Doncaster and Walsh near 600 $K^{.23}$

Insertion and Exchange Reaction Energetics and Kinetics.

Table 7 presents energetic and kinetic results for the insertion reactions which are the reverse of the thermal decomposition reactions and direct exchange reactions between chlorinated silylenes and chlorinated silanes. The barriers and rate constants are determined by the same methods as for the thermal decomposition reactions. Direct exchange reactions in which a hydrogen atom and a chlorine atom are simultaneously transferred in opposite directions between a silvlene and a silane are considered along with the insertion reactions. These proceed through the four centered transition state illustrated in Figure 2c, and have higher barriers than the insertions. Since these direct reactions would have different pressure dependence than

TABLE 7: Energetics and Rate Constants for Insertion and Exchange Reactions

Exchange Reactions				
reaction	TS	barrier	k(298)	k(1000)
$\overline{\text{SiH}_2 + \text{SiH}_4 \rightarrow \text{H}_3 \text{SiSiH}_3}$	a	-6.4		2.1E-11
$SiH_2 + SiH_4 \rightarrow H_3SiSiH_3$	d	-8.2		8.0E-11
$SiH_2 + SiH_3Cl \rightarrow H_2ClSiSiH_3^b$	a	-5.8		4.1E-12
$SiH_2 + SiH_3Cl \rightarrow H_2ClSiSiH_3^b$	d	-7.0		5.2E-12
$SiH_2 + SiH_3Cl \rightarrow H_2ClSiSiH_3^c$	a	0.0		2.3E-13
$SiH_2 + SiH_3Cl \rightarrow SiHCl + SiH_4$	c	7.6		1.9E-15
$SiH_2 + SiH_2Cl_2 \rightarrow HCl_2SiSiH_3$	a	-4.8		1.5E-12
$SiH_2 + SiH_2Cl_2 \rightarrow H_2ClSiSiH_2Cl$	a	-4.0		2.3E-13
$SiH_2 + SiH_2Cl_2 \rightarrow SiHCl + SiH_3Cl$	c	5.7		1.2E-15
$SiH_2 + SiHCl_3 \rightarrow H_3SiSiCl_3$	a	-4.8		2.1E-12
$SiH_2 + SiHCl_3 \rightarrow HCl_2SiSiH_2Cl$	a	-1.5		1.5E-13
$SiH_2 + SiHCl_3 \rightarrow SiHCl + SiH_2Cl_2$	c	4.7		3.2E-15
$SiH_2 + SiCl_4 \rightarrow Cl_3SiSiH_2Cl$	a	0.7		2.1E-13
$SiH_2 + SiCl_4 \rightarrow SiHCl + SiHCl_3$	c	7.0		1.6E-15
$SiHCl + SiH_4 \rightarrow H_2ClSiSiH_3$	a	4.8	1.8E-17	2.0E-14
$SiHCl + SiH_4 \rightarrow SiH_2 + SiH_3Cl$	c	17.4	7.7E-27	2.0E-17
$SiHCl + SiH_3Cl \rightarrow H_2ClSiSiH_2Cl$	a	0.9	1.1E-15	1.9E-14
$SiHCl + SiH_3Cl \rightarrow HCl_2SiSiH_3$	a	5.1	9.6E-19	3.1E-15
$SiHCl + SiH_3Cl \rightarrow SiH_2 + SiH_2Cl_2$	c	13.1	4.7E-25	1.1E-17
$SiHCl + SiH_3Cl \rightarrow SiCl_2 + SiH_4$	c	9.5	6.1E-22	3.3E-16
$SiHCl + SiH_2Cl_2 \rightarrow HCl_2SiSiH_2Cl^b$	a	2.4	4.1E-17	5.4E-15
$SiHCl + SiH_2Cl_2 \rightarrow HCl_2SiSiH_2Cl^c$	a	1.9	5.2E-17	4.6E-15
$SiHCl + SiH2Cl2 \rightarrow SiH2 + SiHCl3$ $SiHCl + SiH2Cl2 \rightarrow SiH2 + SiHCl3$	c	12.0	1.3E-24	1.1E-17
$SiHCl + SiH2Cl2 \rightarrow SiCl2 + SiH3Cl$ $SiHCl + SiH2Cl2 \rightarrow SiCl2 + SiH3Cl$	c	6.6	1.5E-20	2.4E-16
$SiHCl + SiHCl_3 \rightarrow Cl_3SiSiH_2Cl$	a	4.2	1.6E-18	2.2E-15
SiHCl + SiHCl ₃ \rightarrow HCl ₂ SiSiHCl ₂	a	2.8	1.6E-17	5.0E-15
$SiHCl + SiHCl_3 \rightarrow SiH_2 + SiCl_4$ $SiHCl + SiHCl_3 \rightarrow SiH_2 + SiCl_4$	c	15.6	1.3E-27	8.6E-19
$SiHCl + SiHCl_3 \rightarrow SiCl_2 + SiH_2Cl_2$	c	7.4	1.2E-20	6.4E-16
$SiHC1 + SiCl_4 \rightarrow Cl_3SiSiHCl_2$ $SiHC1 + SiCl_4 \rightarrow Cl_3SiSiHCl_2$	a	5.0	1.8E-18	7.5E-15
$SiHCl + SiCl_4 \rightarrow SiCl_2 + SiHCl_3$	c	10.2	3.0E-22	4.1E-16
$SiCl_2 + SiH_4 \rightarrow HCl_2SiSiH_3$	a	20.5	3.8E-29	7.0E-18
$SiCl_2 + SiH_4 \rightarrow SiHCl + SiH_3Cl$	c	23.1	6.2E-31	2.5E-18
$SiCl_2 + SiH_4 - SiHCl + SiH_3Cl$ $SiCl_2 + SiH_3Cl \rightarrow HCl_2SiSiH_2Cl$	a	16.3	4.3E-27	8.4E-18
$SiCl_2 + SiH_3Cl \rightarrow Cl_3SiSiH_3$	a	12.6	2.1E-24	8.9E-17
$SiCl_2 + SiH_3Cl \rightarrow SiHCl + SiH_2Cl_2$	c	18.3	7.5E-29	1.4E-18
$SiCl_2 + SiH_3Cl_2 \rightarrow HCl_2SiSiHCl_2$ $SiCl_2 + SiH_2Cl_2 \rightarrow HCl_2SiSiHCl_2$	a	19.1	9.9E-30	7.7E-19
$SiCl_2 + SiH_2Cl_2 \rightarrow Cl_3SiSiH_2Cl$ $SiCl_2 + SiH_2Cl_2 \rightarrow Cl_3SiSiH_2Cl$	a	10.4	2.1E-23	7.9E-17
$SiCl_2 + SiH_2Cl_2 \rightarrow SiHCl + SiHCl_3$ $SiCl_2 + SiH_2Cl_2 \rightarrow SiHCl + SiHCl_3$	c	19.3	1.2E-29	1.2E-18
$SiCl_2 + SiH_2Cl_2 \rightarrow SiHCl + SiHCl_3$ $SiCl_2 + SiHCl_3 \rightarrow HCl_2SiSiCl_3^b$	a	20.6	1.1E-30	6.4E-19
$SiCl_2 + SiHCl_3 \rightarrow HCl_2SiSiCl_3^c$ $SiCl_2 + SiHCl_3 \rightarrow HCl_2SiSiCl_3^c$	a	12.0	2.1E-24	6.3E-17
$SiCl_2 + SiHCl_3 \rightarrow SiHCl + SiCl_4$ $SiCl_2 + SiHCl_3 \rightarrow SiHCl + SiCl_4$		23.3	8.4E-33	1.2E-19
	c	12.5	2.6E-24	
$SiCl_2 + SiCl_4 \rightarrow Cl_3SiSiCl_3$	a			1.3E-16
$H_3SiSiH+H_2 \rightarrow H_3SiSiH_3$	b	-0.5	3.2E-12	7.9E-13
H_2 ClSiSiH + $H_2 \rightarrow H_2$ ClSiSiH ₃	b	-0.7	6.0E-12	1.4E-12
$HCl_2SiSiH + H_2 \rightarrow HCl_2SiSiH_3$	b	-0.3	1.5E-12	6.9E-13
$Cl_3SiSiH + H_2 \rightarrow Cl_3SiSiH_3$	b	-0.4	1.1E-12	3.8E-13
$H_3SiSiCl + H_2 \rightarrow H_2ClSiSiH_3$	b	9.8	7.8E-20	4.6E-15
H_2 ClSiSiCl + $H_2 \rightarrow H_2$ ClSiSiH ₂ Cl	b	10.0	6.3E-20	4.5E-15
$HCl_2SiSiCl + H_2 \rightarrow HCl_2SiSiH_2Cl$	b	9.9	3.6E-20	2.7E-15
$Cl_3SiSiCl + H_2 \rightarrow Cl_3SiSiH_2Cl$	b	10.5	6.6E - 21	9.6E-16

^a Energies are in kcal/mol. Reaction barriers are at 0 K, including zero-point energy, calculated as described in the text. TS refers to the transition-state structures of Figure 2. Estimated rate constants at 298 and 1000 K are in units of cm³molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹. ^b Transition state corresponding to insertion into a Si−H bond. ^c Transition state corresponding to insertion into a Si−Cl bond.

the insertion reactions, they may play a role at high temperatures and low pressures. Figure 3 illustrates these paths along with the insertions for reactions involving trichlorinated species.

SiH₂ Insertion and Exchange Reactions. Silylene insertions into Si-H bonds are all found to have saddle points on the potential surface which lie substantially below the separated reactants, typically by about 5 kcal/mol. The energetics show very little change with substitution of chlorine on the silane into which the silylene is inserting, provided insertion is into the Si-H bond. As mentioned above, there may be paths for these reactions that are completely barrierless. These reactions should behave much like the well-studied silylene insertion into silane, which shows a small negative activation energy that becomes more negative with increasing temperature. Insertions

into Si-Cl bonds have higher barriers than insertions into Si-H bonds, but the saddle points are still at or slightly below the energy of the separated reactants. The rate constants in Table 7 are calculated assuming a tight transition state at the location of the saddle point on the potential surface. This results in overestimates of the rate constants, especially at low temperatures, where the transition state should be much closer to the products, and therefore at higher energy. No room-temperature rate constants are presented for the silvlene reactions because calculations using a tight transition state do not give reasonable results for many of the insertions. At 1000 K the predicted rate constant for silvlene insertion into silane is in reasonable agreement with experimental results.⁴ Transition states for direct exchange of a hydrogen atom for a chlorine atom to give SiHCl were located and have barriers which are 5-7 kcal/mol above the separated reactants. Due to the relatively high barriers, these paths are not expected to be observed except at very high temperatures or at low pressures, where falloff effects have reduced the rate of the insertion reactions.

SiHCl Insertion and Exchange Reactions. Barriers for insertion of SiHCl into Si-H bonds are predicted to range from 1 to 5 kcal/mol, with no clear trend with increasing chlorination of the silane into which it is inserting. Likewise, barriers for insertion of SiHCl into Si-Cl bonds range from 2 to 5 kcal/ mol, again with no clear trend observed as the silane is chlorinated. However, for the three cases where there is competition between the two processes, there is a relative trend. For reaction with SiH₃Cl, insertion into the Si-H bond is faster. For reaction with SiH₂Cl₂ the rates are nearly the same. Finally, for reaction with SiHCl₃, insertion into the Si-Cl bond is faster. The insertion barriers are smaller than for SiHCl insertion into diatomics. Insertions of SiHCl into H₂ and HCl were predicted to have barriers of 7 and 20 kcal/mol, respectively. 15 Exothermic exchange reactions that convert SiHCl to SiCl₂ have barriers of 7-10 kcal/mol, lying 5-8 kcal/mol above the lowest energy insertion barrier. Again these might become competitive at high temperatures and low pressures. The endothermic exchange reactions which convert SiHCl to SiH₂ have barriers of 12-17 kcal/mol and are therefore not likely to be important.

Ho et al.²¹ measured room-temperature rates of insertion of SiHCl into SiH₄ and SiH₂Cl₂. Consistent with our results, they found that the rates were roughly the same. However, our predicted rates at room temperature are nearly 2 orders of magnitude smaller than what they observed. This is not as bad a disagreement as it might first appear, since these room-temperature rates are very sensitive to the activation barrier. Lowering the barriers by about 2–3 kcal/mol would bring our estimates in line with the experimental observations. Additionally, our treatment of the low-frequency modes of the transition state as harmonic vibrations likely results in somewhat of an underestimate of the preexponential factor. Loosening of the transition structure by treating these modes anharmonically would also bring our estimates closer to the observations of Ho et al.

SiCl₂ Insertion and Exchange Reactions. Barriers for insertion of SiCl₂ into Si-H bonds range from 16 to 21 kcal/mol, while barriers for insertion into Si-Cl bonds are only 10—13 kcal/mol. We see that SiCl₂ preferentially reacts with Si-Cl bonds, whereas SiH₂ preferentially reacted with Si-H bonds, and SiHCl exhibited no clear preference. Consistent with previous studies, SiCl₂ is predicted to be much less reactive than SiH₂ or SiHCl. For comparison, the barriers for insertion into HCl and H₂ were predicted to be 16 and 40 kcal/mol, respectively.¹⁵ The exchange reactions that convert SiCl₂ to SiHCl have barriers of 18–23 kcal/mol. Thus, they are

consistently slower than than the insertion reactions, having barriers that are 3–11 kcal/mol higher than the lowest energy insertion channel.

Chlorinated SilyIsilylene Insertions into H₂. Insertions of H_nCl_{3-n}SiSiH into H₂ all have transition states that are essentially isoenergetic with the separated reactants, ranging from 0.3 to 0.7 kcal/mol below the reactants. Chlorine substitution on the tetravalent silicon is predicted to have almost no effect. Insertions of H_nCl_{3-n}SiSiCl into H₂ have barriers near 10 kcal/mol. Again, chlorine substitution on the tetravalent silicon has almost no effect. However, chlorine substitution on the divalent silicon raises the insertion barrier by 10 kcal/mol. This is a smaller effect than is seen for chlorine substitution on silylene. SiH₂, SiHCl, and SiCl₂ have insertion barriers for reaction with hydrogen of 2, 20, and 40 kcal/mol, respectively. Thus, chlorine substitution on the divalent silicon of the silylsilylenes raises the insertion barrier only about half as much as is observed for chlorine substitution on the silylenes.

Summary and Conclusions

The thermochemistry and reaction kinetics of the thermal decomposition of the chlorinated disilanes have been explored using high-level ab initio calculations. These results provide a basis for the modeling of multistep homogeneous decomposition of chlorinated silanes and a basis for predicting the reactivity of the compounds considered. Comparable energy barriers were found for the different possible elimination reactions, with different reactions dominating for different chlorinated disilanes. In addition to the insertion reactions, which are the reverse of the thermal decomposition reactions, new reaction paths were found where a hydrogen and chlorine atom are simultaneously exchanged between a chlorinated silane and a chlorinated silylene in a concerted four-centered process. These paths may become important at high temperatures and low pressures, where the insertion reactions exhibit falloff effects.

Acknowledgment. M.T.S. would like to thank the National Science Foundation and the University of Minnesota for support in the form of graduate fellowships. Generous grants of supercomputer time from the Minnesota Supercomputer Institute were used for portions of these calculations and are gratefully acknowledged.

References and Notes

- (1) Gordon, M. S.: Francisco, J. S.; Schlegel, H. B. Adv. Silicon Chem. 1993, 2, 137.
- (2) Becerra, R.: Walsh, R. In *Research in Chemical Kinetics*, Compton, R. G.; Hancock, G., Eds.; Elsevier Science: Amsterdam, 1995; Vol. 3.

- (3) Jasinski, J. M.; Becerra, R.; Walsh, R. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 1203.
- (4) Becerra, R.; Frey, H. M.; Mason, B. P.; Walsh, R.; Gordon, M. S. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1995, 91, 2723.
- (5) Becerra, R.; Frey, H. M.; Mason, B. P.; Walsh, R.; Gordon, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, 114, 2751.
 - (6) Inoue, G.; Suzuki, M. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1985, 122, 361.
 - (7) Jasinski, J. M.; Chu, J. O. J. Chem. Phys. 1988, 88, 1678.
- (8) Baggot, J. E.; Frey, H. M.; Lightfoot, P. D.; Walsh, R.; Watts, I. M. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1990, 86, 27.
- (9) Martin, J. G.; Ring, M. A.; O'Neal, H. E. Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 1987, 19, 715.
 - (10) Gordon, M. S.; Gano, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 5421.
- (11) Gordon, M. S.; Truong, T. N.; Benderson, E. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 2191.
 - (12) Sakai, S.; Nakamura, M. J. Phys. Chem. 1993, 97, 4960.
 - (13) Trinquier, G. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1993, 89, 775.
 - (14) Su, M.-D.; Schlegel, H. B. J. Phys. Chem. 1993, 97, 8732.
 - (15) Su, M.-D.; Schlegel, H. B. J. Phys. Chem. 1993, 97, 9981.
 - (16) Wittbrodt, J. M.; Schlegel, H. B. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1997, 265, 527.
 - (17) Hay, J. P. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 5.
 - (18) Ignacio, E. W.; Schlegel, H. B. J. Phys. Chem. 1992, 96, 1758.
- (19) McKean, D. C.; Palmer, M. H.; Guest, M. F. J. Mol. Struct. 1996, 376, 289.
- (20) McKean, D. C.; McPhail, A. L.; Edwards, H. G. M.; Lewis, I. R.; Mastryukov, V. S.; Boggs, J. E. Spectrochim. Acta 1993, 49A, 1079.
- (21) Ho, P.; Breiland, W. G.; Carr, R. W. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1986, 132, 422
- (22) Jenkins, R. L.; Vanderwielen, A. J.; Ruis, S. P.; Gird, S. R.; Ring, M. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1973**, *12*, 2968.
- (23) Doncaster, A. M.; Walsh, R. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 1980, 76, 272.
- (24) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B. G.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Keith, T.; Petersson, G. A.; Montgomery, J. A.; Raghavachari, K.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Ortiz, J. V.; Foresman, J. B.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Peng, C. Y.; Ayala, P. Y.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Andres, J. L.; Replogle, E. S.; Gomperts, R.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Binkley, J. S.; Defrees, D. J.; Baker, J.; Stewart, J. P.; Head-Gordon, M.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. *GAUSSIAN 94*, revision D.2; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 1995.
- (25) Curtiss, L. A.; Raghavachari, K.; Pople, J. A. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 1293.
- (26) Curtiss, L. A.; Raghavachari, K.; Trucks, G. W.; Pople, J. A. J. Chem. Phys. 1991, 94, 7221.
- (27) Pople, J. A.; Head-Gordon, M. H.; Raghavachari, K. J. Chem. Phys. 1987, 87, 5968.
- (28) Curtiss, L. A.; Raghavachari, K.; Deutsch, P. W.; Pople, J. A. J. Chem. Phys. **1991**, *95*, 2433.
 - (29) Bethke, G. W.; Wilson, K. W. J. Chem. Phys. 1957, 26, 1107.
- (30) Chase, M. W.; Davies, C. A.; Downey, J. R.; Frurip, D. J.; McDonald, R. A.; Szverud, A. N. *JANAF Thermochemical Tables*, 3rd. ed.; *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **1985**, 14.
- (31) Pedley, J. B.; Iseard, B. S. CATCH Tables for Silicon Compounds; University of Sussex, 1972.
- (32) Steinfeld, J. I.; Francisco, J. S.; Hase, W. L. *Chemical Kinetics and Dynamics*; Prentice Hall: Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1989.
- (33) Dzarnoski, J.; Rickborn, S. F.; O'Neal, H. E.; Ring, M. A. Organometallics 1982, I, 1217.
 - (34) Ring, M. A.; O'Neal, H. E. J. Phys. Chem. 1992, 96, 10848.