CE 530 Molecular Simulation

1

Lecture 17 Beyond Atoms: Simulating Molecules

David A. Kofke Department of Chemical Engineering SUNY Buffalo kofke@eng.buffalo.edu

Review

O Fundamentals

• units, properties, statistical mechanics

O Monte Carlo and molecular dynamics as applied to atomic systems

- simulating in various ensembles
- biasing methods for MC

O Molecular models for realistic (multiatomic) systems

- *inter- and intra- atomic potentials*
- electrostatics

O Now examine differences between simulations of monatomic and multiatomic molecules

Truncating the Potential

- Many molecular models employ point charges for electrostatic interactions
- O Potential-truncation schemes must be careful not to split the

charges



O For a 9Å truncation distance, using water-like charges, the interaction energy for a molecule with bare charge is (huge)
 O Always use cutoff based on molecule separation, not atom

• for large molecules, OK to split molecule but do not split subgroups

Volume-Scaling Moves

- O Scaling atom displacements leads to large strain on intramolecular bonds
- O Instead perform volume scaling moves using molecule centers-ofmass (or something similar)
 - Let \mathbf{R}_i be COM of molecule i

 $\mathbf{R}_i = \sum_{\text{atoms on i}} m_i \mathbf{r}_j^{(i)}$

- $\mathbf{q}_{j}^{(i)}$ be position of atom j w.r.t. \mathbf{R}_{i} $\mathbf{q}_{j}^{(i)} = \mathbf{r}_{j}^{(i)} - \mathbf{R}_{i}$
- For size scaling s, $L^{new} = sL^{old}$
 - $\mathbf{r}_{j}^{(i)}(\text{new}) = s\mathbf{R}_{i} + \mathbf{q}_{j}^{(i)}$

Number of molecules

• Acceptance based on change in $-\beta(U+PV) + N_m \ln V$ (not atoms)



Rigid vs. Nonrigid Molecules

- O MC and MD can be performed on molecules as already described
 - *MD* moves advance atom positions based on current forces
 - *MC* moves translate atoms and accepts based on energy change
 - both are done considering inter- and intra-molecular forces
 - *limiting distribution has same form*

 $\pi = \frac{1}{h^{3N}} dp^N dr^N e^{-\beta \sum p_i^2 / 2m_i} e^{-\beta U(r^N)} \qquad N = Number \text{ of atoms}$

- *if this is all that is done, there is nothing more to say*
- O Often it is much more efficient to use a rigid-bond model
 - *MD integration then doesn't have to deal with fast intramolecular dynamics, so a larger time step can be used*
 - *MC* can sample configurations more efficiently using rigid-body moves (even if model does not have rigid bonds) but much care is needed to do this properly

Molecule Coordinate Frame

O Molecule-frame coordinates are defined w.r.t. molecule COM with molecule in a reference orientation



O Simulation-frame coordinate is determined by molecule COM R and orientation ω



O For rigid molecules, the molecule-frame coordinates never change

Orientation 1.

O Orientation described in terms of rotation of molecule frame v

O Direction cosines can be used to describe rotation

$$\alpha_1 = \mathbf{e}'_x \cdot \mathbf{e}_x \qquad \alpha_2 = \mathbf{e}'_x \cdot \mathbf{e}_y$$
$$\beta_1 = \mathbf{e}'_y \cdot \mathbf{e}_x \qquad \beta_2 = \mathbf{e}'_y \cdot \mathbf{e}_y$$

O Relation between same point in two frames

$$\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

O Rotation matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{r'} = A\mathbf{r}$$

ames β_{2} x x y $x' = \alpha_{1}x + \alpha_{2}y$ $x' = \alpha_{1}x + \alpha_{2}y$

7

- O Kinematics of rigid-molecule rotation described in terms of rotation of the molecule coordinate frame (*i.e.* the direction cosines)
 - r' never changes in a rigid molecule

Orientation 2.

O We also need to invert the relation

• get the simulation-frame coordinate from the molecule frame value $\mathbf{r} = A^{-1}\mathbf{r'} = A^T\mathbf{r'}$

O Direction cosines are not independent

- *in 2D, all can be described by just one parameter*
- use rotation angle θ

 $A = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \qquad A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$

• inverse can be viewed as replacing θ with $-\theta$

X

Euler Angles

9

O The picture in 3D is similar: (x, y, z) → (x', y', z')
O Nine direction cosines

O Three independent coordinates specify orientation O Euler angles are the conventional choice $\omega = \phi \theta \psi$

• three rotations give the simulation-frame orientation



3D Rotation Matrix

O Rotation matrix expressed in terms of Euler angles $\mathbf{r}' = A\mathbf{r}$

 $A = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi\cos\phi - \cos\theta\sin\phi\sin\psi & \cos\psi\sin\phi + \cos\theta\cos\phi\sin\psi & \sin\psi\sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi\cos\phi - \cos\theta\sin\phi\cos\psi & -\sin\psi\sin\phi + \cos\theta\cos\phi\cos\psi & \cos\psi\sin\theta \\ & \sin\theta\sin\phi & -\sin\theta\cos\phi & \cos\theta \\ \end{pmatrix}$

O To get space-fixed coordinate, multiply molecule-fixed vector by A⁻¹

• again,
$$A^{-1} = A^T$$
 $\mathbf{r} = A^T \mathbf{r}'$

Transforming Coordinates 1.

O Consider a simple diatomic

• positions of two atoms described by

 $x_1, y_1, z_1, x_2, y_2, z_2$

• can instead describe by molecule COM and stretch/orientation coordinates

 X, Y, Z, L, θ, ϕ

• in molecule frame, each atom position is given by $\mathbf{r}'_1 = L\mathbf{e}_z$ $\mathbf{r}'_2 = -L\mathbf{e}_z$



Transforming Coordinates 2.



 $z_1 = Z + L\cos\theta \qquad \qquad z_2 = Z - L\cos\theta$

Transforming Coordinates 3.

O The ensemble distribution for the transformed coordinates is obtained via the Jacobian

210

 $-L\sin\theta$

 $L\sin\theta$

$$\pi = \frac{1}{Qh^{3N}} dp^N dr^N e^{-\beta \sum p_i^2 / 2m_i} e^{-\beta U(r^N)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{Qh^{3N}} dp^N dq^N |\mathbf{J}| e^{-\beta \sum p_i^2 / 2m_i} e^{-\beta U(q^N)}$$

	• the elements of J are the derivatives					$atives J_{\alpha\beta} =$	$\frac{\partial r_{\alpha}}{\partial a_{\alpha}}$
	X	Y	Ζ	L	ø	θ	οqβ
X ₁	(1	0	0	$\sin\theta\sin\phi$	$L\sin\theta\cos\phi$	$L\cos\theta\sin\phi$	

 $0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad -\sin\theta\cos\phi \quad L\sin\theta\sin\phi \quad -L\cos\theta\cos\phi$

 $0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad \sin\theta\cos\phi \quad -L\sin\theta\sin\phi \quad L\cos\theta\sin\phi$

0

0

$x_1 = X + L\sin\theta\sin\phi$
$y_1 = Y - L\sin\theta\cos\phi$
$z_1 = Z + L\cos\theta$
$x_2 = X - L\sin\theta\sin\phi$
$y_2 = Y + L\sin\theta\cos\phi$
$z_2 = Z - L\cos\theta$

• For this transformation $|\mathbf{J}| = 8L^2 \sin \theta$

 \mathbf{X}_2 | 1 0 0 $-\sin\theta\sin\phi$ $-L\sin\theta\cos\phi$ $-L\cos\theta\sin\phi$

 $0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad \cos\theta$

 $-\cos\theta$

y₁

Z₁

y₂

0

1

0

Z₂

O But we also need to transform the momenta

13

14 **Transforming Coordinates 4.** O Begin with the Lagrangian • *in the original coordinate system* L = K - U $=\frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}_{1}^{2}+\dot{y}_{1}^{2}+\dot{z}_{1}^{2}+\dot{x}_{2}^{2}+\dot{y}_{2}^{2}+\dot{z}_{2}^{2})+U(x_{1},y_{1},z_{1},x_{2},y_{2},z_{2})$ assume m=1 $=\frac{1}{2}\sum \dot{r}_{i}^{2}+U(\mathbf{r})$ • transform to new coordinates $\dot{x}_{1} = \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial X} \dot{X} + \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial Y} \dot{Y} + \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial Z} \dot{Z} + \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial I} \dot{L} + \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial \theta} \dot{\theta} + \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial \phi} \dot{\phi}$ $\dot{y}_1 = etc.$ • in general $\dot{r_i} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial q_{\alpha}} \dot{q}_{\alpha}$ $\mathbf{r} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ z_1 \\ x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{r'} = q = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \\ L \\ \theta \\ \phi \end{pmatrix}$ $\dot{r}_i^2 = \sum_{\beta} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial q_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial q_{\beta}} \dot{q}_{\alpha} \dot{q}_{\beta}$ $= \dot{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \mathbf{g}_i \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}}$ $\sum \dot{r}_i^2 = \dot{\mathbf{q}} \cdot G \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}}$

Transforming Coordinates 5.

O Derive momenta

 $L = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathbf{q}} \cdot G \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}} - U(\mathbf{q}) \qquad \mathbf{p} = G \dot{\mathbf{q}}$ $\dot{\mathbf{q}} = G^{-1} \mathbf{p}$ $\dot{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\alpha}} = \sum_{\beta} G_{\alpha\beta} \dot{q}_{\beta} \qquad \dot{\mathbf{q}} \cdot G \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{p} \cdot G^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{p}$

Uses G and thus G^{-1} are symmetric

O The Hamiltonian is

$$H = K(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) + U(\mathbf{q})$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p} \cdot G^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{p} + U(\mathbf{q})$$

• The Jacobian for the momentum transformation is the reciprocal of the Jacobian for the coordinate transformation

$$\pi = \frac{1}{Qh^{3N}} dp_q^N dq^N |J| |J|^{-1} e^{-\beta \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p}_q \cdot G^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{p}_q} e^{-\beta U(q^N)}$$

• we don't have to worry about the Jacobian with the full transform

Integrating Over Momenta

O If we integrate out the momentum coordinates, the Jacobian again arises

$$\pi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{Qh^{3N}} dp^N dq^N e^{-\beta \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p} \cdot G^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{p}} e^{-\beta U(q^N)}$$
$$\pi(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{Qh^{3N}} dq^N e^{-\beta U(q^N)} \int dp^N e^{-\beta \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p} \cdot G^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{p}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{Qh^{3N}} dq^N e^{-\beta U(q^N)} |\mathbf{G}|^{1/2}$$

O For the diatomic, this term is

$$|\mathbf{G}|^{1/2} = |\mathbf{J}| = cL^2 \sin \theta$$
 c = constant

• In MC simulation, the terms must be included in the construction of the transition-probability matrix

Averages with Constraints 1.

- O Very stiff coordinates are sometimes treated as rigidly constrained
 - e.g., the bond length L in the diatomic may be held at a constant value

O MC and MD have different ways to enforce this constraint
 O Regardless of simulation technique, the constrained-system ensemble average may differ from the unconstrained value

• even when compared to the limit of an infinitely stiff bond!

O Why the difference?

- A rigid constraint implies no kinetic energy in vibration
- Examine the Lagrangian

The Lagrangian $L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \neq L} \sum_{\alpha \neq L} \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial q_\alpha} \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial q_\beta} q_\alpha q_\beta - U(\mathbf{q}^S; L)$ $s = \text{"soft" coordinate} \qquad = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathbf{q}}^S \cdot G^S \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}}^S - U(\mathbf{q}^S; L)$

Averages with Constraints 2.

- The Jacobian for the coordinate transform is the same as for the unconstrained average
- O But the momentum Jacobian no longer has the term for the constrained coordinate
- O Thus, in general, the distribution of unconstrained coordinates differs

$$\pi(\mathbf{p}_{s},\mathbf{q}_{s};L) = \frac{1}{Qh^{3N-l}} dp_{s}^{N-l} dq_{s}^{N-l} e^{-\beta \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p}_{s} \cdot G_{s}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{s}} e^{-\beta U(q_{s}^{N})}$$
$$\pi(\mathbf{q}_{s};L) = \frac{1}{Qh^{3N-l}} dq_{s}^{N-l} e^{-\beta U(q_{s}^{N})} \int dp_{s}^{N-l} e^{-\beta \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p} \cdot G^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{p}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{Qh^{3N-l}} dq_{s}^{N-l} e^{-\beta U(q_{s}^{N})} |\mathbf{G}_{s}|^{1/2}$$

O The difference is

$$\frac{\pi(q_s)}{\pi(q_s;L)} = \sqrt{\frac{|G_s|}{|G|}}$$

Averages with Constraints 3.

• To get correct (unconstrained-system) averages from a simulation using constraints, averages should be multiplied by this factor

$$\langle M \rangle_{unconstained} = \left\langle M \sqrt{\frac{|G_s|}{|G|}} \right\rangle_{constrained}$$

O Evaluating this quantity could be tedious

- but there is a simplification
- the ratio of determinants (of N-by-N and (N-l)-by-(N-l) matrices) can be given in terms of the determinant of an l-by-l matrix

$$\frac{|G_s|}{|G|} = |H| \qquad \qquad H_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_i \frac{\partial \sigma_a}{\partial r_i} \frac{\partial \sigma_\beta}{\partial r_i}$$

• for the diatomic with L constrained, H = 1

Rotational Dynamics

- For completely rigid molecules, only translation and rotation are performed
- Translational dynamics uses methods described previously, but now applied to the COM
- O Rotational dynamics must consider angular velocities and accelerations
- O Can treat via rotation of the molecule-frame coordinates in the spaced-fixed frame

 $\dot{\mathbf{e}}^{s} = \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times \mathbf{e}^{s}$ Angular velocity

• changes in angular velocity are given via torque on molecule

Quaternions

O Rate of change of the Euler angles looks like this

$$\dot{\phi} = -\omega_x^s \frac{\sin\phi\cos\theta}{\sin\theta} + \omega_y^s \frac{\cos\phi\cos\theta}{\sin\theta} + \omega_z^s$$

O A problem arises when θ is near 0

• *no physical significance, but very inconvenient to integration of equations of motion*

O Quaternions can be used to circumvent the problem

- *describe orientation with 4 (non-independent) variables*
- rotation matrix, equations of motion simply $q_0 = \cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\phi+\psi}{2}$ expressed in terms of these quantities $q_1 = \sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\phi-\psi}{2}$

• note:
$$q_0^2 + q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2 = 1$$

$$q_1 = \sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\phi - \psi}{2}$$
$$q_3 = \sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\phi - \psi}{2}$$
$$q_4 = \cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\phi + \psi}{2}$$

Monte Carlo Rotations

O MC simulations of molecules include rotation moves

- must do this to sample orientations of rigid molecules
- not strictly necessary for non-rigid molecules, but very helpful
- very easy to do this incorrectly

O Trial rotation of a linear molecule

- Let present orientation be given by vector **u**
- Generate a unit vector **v** with random orientation
- Let new trial orientation be given by

 $\mathbf{u}^{new} = \mathbf{u}^{old} + \gamma \mathbf{v}$

where γ is a fixed scale factor that sets the size of the perturbation

O Nonlinear molecule

• same procedure, but do perturbation on the 4-dimensional vector of quaternions

Random Vector on a Sphere

O Acceptance-rejection method of von NeumannO Iterate

(a) Generate 3 uniform random variates, r_1 , r_2 , r_3 on (0,1)

(b) Calculate $z_i = 1-2r_i$, i=1,3, so that the vector z is distributed uniformly in a cube of side 2, centered on the origin

(c) Form the sum $z^2 = z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2$

(d) If $z^2 < 1$, take the random vector as $(z_1/z, z_2/z, z_3/z)$ and quit (e) Otherwise, reject the vector and return to (a)

O Alternative algorithms are possible